TRANSACTIONS

OF THE

ASIATIC SOCIETY.

I.

An Essay on the Hindu History of Cashmir.

By HORACE HAYMAN WILSON, Esq. Sec. A. S.

INTRODUCTION.

THE only Sanscrit composition yet discovered, to which the title of History, can with any propriety be applied, is the Rájá Taringiñi, a history of Cashmir. This work was first introduced to the knowledge of the Mohammedaus by the learned minister of Acber, Abulfazl, but the summary which he has given of its contents, was taken as he informs us from a Persian translation of the Hindu original, prepared by order of Acber. The example set by that liberal Monarch, introduced amongst his successors, and the literary men of their reigns, a fashion of remodelling, or retranslating the same work, and continuing the History of the Province, to the periods at which they wrote.

The was a Google

The earliest work of this description, after that which was prepared by order of ACBER, is one mentioned by BERNIER, who states an abridged translation of the Rájá Taringińi into Persian, to have been made, by command of JEHANGIR; he adds, that he was engaged upon rendering this into French, but we have never heard any thing more of his translation : at a subsequent period, mention is made in a later composition, of two similar works, by MULLA HUSEIN, Kári, or the reader, and by Hyder Malec, C'hadwaria, whilst the work, in which this notice occurs, the Wakiat-i Cashmir was written in the time of Mohammed Shah, as was another History of the Province, entitled the Nawadir-ul-Akhbar. The fashion seems to have continued to a very recent date, as GRULAM HUSEIN+ notices the composition of a History of Cashmir having been entrusted to various learned men, by order of JIVANA the Sic'h, then Governor of the Province, and we shall have occasion to specify one History of as recent a date, as the reign of Shah-Alem.

The ill directed and limited enquiries of the first European settlers in India, were not likely to have traced the original of these Mobammedan compositions, and its existence was little adverted to, until the translation of the Ayin Acberi by the late MR. GLADWIN was published. The abstract then given naturally excited curiosity, and stimulated enquiry, but the result was unsatisfactory, and a long period intervened before the original work was discovered. I SIR WM. Jones was unable to meet with it, although the history of India from the Sanscrit-Cashmir authorities, was amongst the tasks his undaunted and indefatigable intellect had planned, and it was not until the year 1805, that Mr. Colebrooke & was successful in his search. At that time he procured a copy of the work from the heirs of a Brahman, who died in Calcutta, and about the same time, or shortly afterwards, another transcript of the Raja Taringińi was obtained by the late Mr. Spers from

1 A. R. i. 431; and iv. 188.

[·] A summary taken from this work, and which appears to have been the one alluded to by Bernier, is given in the Description de l'Inde from Tieffenthaler (1. 89.) † Seir Mutakherin-3, 210. § A. R. ix. 294.

Lucknow. To these two copies I have been able to add a third, which was brought for sale in Calcutta; and I have only to add, that both in that city and at Benarcs, I have been hitherto unable to meet with any other transcript of this curious work.

The Raja Taringini has hitherto been regarded as one entire composition: it is however in fact a series of compositions, written by different authors, and at different periods; a circumstance that gives greater value to its contents, as with the exception of the early periods of the history, the several authors may be regarded almost as the chroniclers of their own times. The first of the series is the Raja Taringińi of Calhana Panoit, the son of CHAMPACA, who states his having made use of earlier authorities, and gives an interesting enumeration of several which he had employed. The list includes the general works of Suvrata and Narendra; the History of Gonerda and his three successors, by Héla Rájá, an Ascetic; of Lava, and his successors to Asoca, by Padma Minira; and of Asoca and the four next princes by Sri Ch'havillacára. He also cites the authority of Nila Muni, meaning probably the Nila Purana, a Purana known only in CASHMIR; the whole forming a remarkable proof of the attention bestowed by Cashmirian writers upon the history of their native country: an attention the more extraordinary, from the contrast it affords, to the total want of historical enquiry in any other part of the extensive countries peopled by the Hindus. The history of Calhaña commences with the fabulous ages, and comes down to the reign of SANGRAMA DEVA, the nephew of DIDDA RANI, in Saca 949 or A. D. 1027, approaching to what appears to have been his own date, Saca 1070 or A. D. 1148

The next work is the Rájavali of Jona Rájá, of which I regret to state I have not yet been able to meet with a copy. It probably begins where Calhaña stops, and it closes about the time of Zein uláb-ad-dín, or the year of the Hijra 815, as we know from the next of the series.

The Sri Jaina Raja Taringini is the work of Sri VARA PANDITA, the pu-

pil of Jona Raja, whose work it professes to continue, so as to form with it, and the history of Calhaka, a complete record of the Kingdom of Cashmir. It begins with Zein ul Áb-ed-dín, whose name the unprepared reader would scarcely recognise, in its Nágart transfiguration, of Sri Jaina Ollabha Dína, and closes with the accession of Fatteh Shah, in the year of the Hijra 882, or A. D. 1477. The name which the author has chosen to give his work of Jaina Taringiáí has led to a very mistaken notion of its character: it has been included amongst the productions of Jaina literature, whilst in truth the author is an orthodox worshipper of Śiva, and evidently intends the epithet he has adopted as complimentary to the memory of Zein ul Áb-ed-dín, a prince who was a great friend to his Hindu subjects, and a liberal patron of Hindu letters, and literary men.

The fourth work, which completes the aggregate current under the name of Rdjá Taringiáí, was written in the time of Acber, expressly to continue to the latest date, the productions of the author's predecessors, and to bring the history down to the time at which Cashmir became a province of Acber's enpire. It begins accordingly where Saí Vara ended, or with Fatter Shah, and closes with Nazek-Shah; the historian apparently, and judiciously, avoiding to notice the fate of the kingdom during Hamayun's retreat into Persia. The work is called the Rájá valt Patácá, and is the production of Pugya or Prájáya Bhaffa.

Of the works thus described, the manuscript of Mr. Spere, containing the compositions of Calhasa and Śri Vara, came into my possession at the sale of that gentleman's effects. Of Mr. Colebrooke's manuscript, containing also the work of Pusya Bhaffa, I was permitted by that gentleman, with the liberality I have had former occasion to acknowledge, to have a transcript made; and the third manuscript, containing the same three works, I have already stated I procured by accidental purchase. Neither of the three comprises the work of Jona Rájá, and but one of them, the transcript of Mr. Colebrooke's manuscript, has the third Tarang or section of Calhasa's history.

Division Google

The three manuscripts are all very inaccurate; so far so indeed, that a close translation of them, if desirable, would be impracticable. The leading points, however, may be depended upon, agreeing not only in the different copies, but with the circumstances narrated in the Compendium of ABULFAZI, and in the Mohammedan or Persian histories which I have been able to procure.

The Persian works which I have consulted are the following: the Nawādir-ul Akhbar, the work of Refiuddín Mohammed, the Wakiat-i-Cashmir by Mohammed Azim, the Tarikh Cashmir of Narayan Cul, and the Goheri Alem Tohfet us shahi, by Badia ud-din. The first of these authors has the advantage of being a Cashmirian by birth, although descended of a Balkh family. He alludes to the work of Calhasa Pasdir, which he avows his purpose of correcting where at variance with the true faith; and it must be acknowledged, that he has altered without remorse, although it may be questioned, whether he has corrected. His chief disagreements are those of mission however, as in the Hindu portion of his history, he occasionally passes over whole dynasties, and connects the disjuncta membra of his original, with very little regard to accuracy of time or descent. The date of his work is 1133 of the Hijra, in the reign of Mohammed Shab.

The Wakiat-i-Cashmir contains a much fuller account of the Province, and is a closer approximation to the Hindu original. The History follows the order of the Sanscrit work very regularly, but the work is not confined to the History of Cashmir, two of the three portions into which it is divided being appropriated to the description of the country, its natural and artificial curiosities, and the religious and literary characters it has given birth to since the establishment of Islam. Mohammed Azim, the author, calls himself the son of Khelir-uz-zeman Khan, and writes in the year of the Hijia 1140: living therefore, as well as Rafi-ad-din, in the Reign of Mohammed Shah. The same reign produced the third work, which is professedly a translation of the Rajā Taringińi. It has all the usual defects of oriental translation, and follows the original with a whimsical interchange of fidelity and variation;

District of Google

some passages, especially those of a legendary character, being minutely given, whilst others of more historical importance are imperfectly rendered or altogether omitted. The author, Náráyan Cul, was a Hindu Brahman, and a native of Cashmir.

The last work enumerated is of very modern date, having been written in the time of the last Shah Alem: the author Bedia-ud-din was the son of Moham-MED AZIM, the author of the Wakiat, whose omissions he purposes to supply, from authorities peculiarly his own, and of which he had subsequently become possessed. He particularly specifies the Nur Namah, an aucieut history of Cashmir, written by Sheikh Núr-ad-din Wall in the Cashmirian language, and rendered into Persian by Moulavi Ahmen Almen, in the reign of ZEIN UL AB-AD-DIN. A copy of this the author had procured from one of the descendants of the last independant princes of Cashmir, who were settled as private individuals in Akberabad or Agra; and it is to be presumed that to this work Bedia-up-din owes the extraordinary additions which he has made occasionally to the labours of his predecessors, and their common original. None of the works above particularised, offer much valuable illustration of the Sanscrit original history; nor do they furnish any additions of historical importance. As well as the summary of ABULFAZL however they are very useful in corroborating or explaining many parts of the Sanscrit text, whilst they do comprise a few additional circumstances, which are curious at least in their origin and character, although very questionable in point of probability or truth. The chief value of these works, however, is the notice they take, of the comparatively modern condition of many towns and temples, the foundation of which is commemorated by the Hindu writers, and the existence of which at all, cannot perhaps now be verified, except upon the testimony of these Mohammedan authors; the short interval that has elapsed since their days, having been sufficient to sweep away the vestiges of antiquity, which in their time continued to bear witness to the public spirit, and munificence, of the Hindu Sovereigns of Cashmir.

In the atter darkness which envelopes the history of India previous to the

Musselman invasion, the appearance of such a record as that furnished us by the Cashmirian writers acquires an importance, not otherwise derived from the value of the record itself, nor the character of the transactions it commemorates. Its being the sole luminary, however, of the gloomy interval alluded to, renders us naturally curious to follow the track it singly serves to light, and the history of Cashmir, has accordingly attracted the attention of those best competent to have prosecuted the investigation. I have already stated it to be one of the Desiderata of Sir Wm. Jones; and at the time that Mr. Colebrooke announced the discovery of the manuscript, he also declared his intention of giving to the public an account of its contents. The execution of his purpose has probably been impeded by other more important labours, and the too contracted term of Sir Wm. Jones's splendid career, disappointed his hope of performing this, and greater undertakings. A more satisfactory account of the contents of the Raja Taringińi than that furnished by ABULFAZL is therefore still a desideratum, and in the little probability that now exists of the task being undertaken by living talent more adequate to its accomplishment, I have been induced to prepare, from it chiefly, the following sketch of the Hindu history of Cashmir.

The want of a copy of the connecting series of Jona Raja, and the occupation of the works of Śaí Vara and Puńya Bhaffa by Musselman transactions, will prevent me, at present at least, from extending the limits of my essay, beyond those of Calhaña Pandit, or following any other Hindu guide. His work as a historical composition is clear and consistent, and contains fewer extravagancies than most of the works to which the name of History has been assigned, by the unphilosophical and credulous natives of the East. Like the mass of the Hindu compositions on all subjects, it is written in verse, and as a poem, it contains many passages of merit, both in sentiment and style. The summary of its contents given by ABULFAZL is too concise to be of much service, and in the transformation of names occasioned by the difficulty of expressing the Nagari alphabet in Persian characters, excites not unfrequently a doubt, whether the persons named were possessed of

District of Google

Hindu appellations. Farther, it is in many places inaccurate, and it does not therefore preclude a necessity, for some such fuller account of the Raja Taringiñí and its contents, as is attempted in the essay now submitted to the Society, and which, whilst it follows the order and authority of Calhasa Pander, proposes to comprehend such occasional illustration of his history of Cashmir, as may be derived from the Mohammedan writers above mentioned, or from classical authorities, or more modern investigation.

AN ESSAY ON THE HINDU HISTORY OF CASHMIR.

THE Hindu History of Cashmir commences with the statement, that the beautiful valley forming that kingdom was originally a vast Lake, called Satisaras,* and this assertion has not only been copied by the Mohammedan writers, but it agrees with the local traditions of the Country, and as

* सती, a virtuous woman, and सर्स, a Lake; the original does not give the etymology, but Abulfazl makes it the Lake of UMA, the wife of MAHADEO, one of whose names, it is true, is SATI in the character of a virtuous spouse.

Wak. C. so Abulfazl, Gladwin's translation, ii. 169. Bernier says, les Histoires des anciens rois de Cachemire, veulent que tout ce pays n'ait eté autrefois qu'un grand Lac. And, according to Forster, the Legeuds of the country assert that Solomon visited the valley, and finding it covered, except one emisence, with a noxious water, which had no outlet, he opened a passage in the mountains, and gave to Cashmir its beantiful plains.

From the general concurrence of the Persian writers, with the account of the Hindu historians, must be excepted Bedia ud-din: he begins with the creation, and brings Adam from Serandip, where all Musselman authorities place him after the fall, to Cashmir. The sovereignty of Cashmir continued in the Line of Seth for 1110 years, when the Hindus conquered the Province under Harinand Rajá, and his family ruled it till the period of the deluge. After the flood, Cashmir was peopled by a tribe from Turkestan. The inhabitants were taught the worship of one God, by Moss, who died there, and whose tomb or place of sepulture is still to be seen in Cashmir. The relapse of the Cashmirians into the Hindu idolatry was punished by the local inundation of the province, and the solitary supremacy of the Afrit, Jaladeo, as described in the Wakiat-i-Cashmir. See Appendix No. I. These details are sufficient to give an idea of Bedia ud-din's, or probably of the Sheikh Nar-ad-din's, historical merits.

Districting Google

HISTORY OF CASHMIR.

far as probability is regarded, has received the sanction of that able geographer Major Rennel.*

The draining of the water from the valley is ascribed, by the Hindu Historians to the Saint Casyapa, the son of Marichi, the son of Brahmd, the Cashef or Kasheb of the Mohammedans, according to some of whom, he was not the Hindu Seer, but a Deo or Genie, the servant of Suliman, by whose orders he effected the desiccation of Cashmir. The method of doing this was opening a passage through the mountain at Baramouleh,† by which the water passed off; but the Hindu accounts do not specify the channel by which Casyapa originally drained the Valley. As however it is not improbable that the Valley was really submerged, it is equally possible, as Bernier supposes,‡ that some natural convulsion rent the confining mountainous barrier, and opened to the waters, an outlet to the plains of the Punjab.

The district thus recovered by CASTAFA, was also it is said peopled by him, with the assistance of the superior deities, whom he brought from heaven for that purpose, at the beginning of the seventh or present Manuantara. We must of course subject Cashmir to the same periods of destruction and renovation, as the other parts of the universe, if we wish to reconcile this date with the usual chronology, but as this is not very indispensible, it has

- " "So far am I from doubting the tradition respecting the existence of the Lake that covered Cashmir, that appearances alone would serve to convince me without either the tradition or the history."—Memoir of a Map of Hindoostan, 107.
- † The Wakiat-i-Cashmir has another legend relative to the opening on this occasion of the Baramouleh pass, which is ascribed to Vishnu: the story is not worth quoting, except as a curious specimen of a Mohammedan disposition to enlarge upon Hindu fable: not a syllable of the legend is to be found in the Rájá Taringiñi. See Appendix, No. 1.
- ? "Pour moi Je ne voudrois nier que touté cette terre néut autrefois eté couverte d'eaux : on le dit bien de la Thessalie, et de quelques autres pays, mais J' ai de la peine a croire que cette ouverture soit l'ouvrage d'un homme parceque la montagne est tres large et tres haute. Je croireis plutot que quelque grand tiemblement de terre, comme ces lieux y sont assez sujets, auroit fait ouvrir quelque caverne souterraine, ou la montagne se seroit enfoncée."—Voyage de Kachemire. The remark made by Bernier continues applicable to the neighbouring and analogous districts : during the labours of Capt. Hodgson in Gerwhal, in 1817, he noticed forty shocks.

been overlooked by the original authority. We also have nothing in the Sanscrit text here, respecting the colony of Brahmins, whom ABULFAZL says, he introduced into the province, and from which it might be inferred that he then introduced the Brahmanical religion, an event that probably occurred, as we shall see, at a subsequent period; the worship in Cashmir, being in the mean time apparently that of the Nágas or snake Gods; a superstition of very obvious occurrence, amongst the rude in this interest of a country, recently recovered from the waters, and consequently abounding with the venomous reptiles common to slimy and marshy places.

From the period of the first settlement of Cashmir to the reign of GonerDA, the first prince whose name has been recorded, the country was governed by a succession of 52 kings of the Caurqua family, whose reigns formed
a period of 1266 years: these princes were not worthy of record, says our Hindu author, on account of their disregard of the precepts of the Vedas, and
their impure and vicious lives; and he assigns a better reason for their being
forgotten, did they ever indeed exist, in this expression, क्यारा विशेषकाय
वार्यक्रियोध which we may employ Horace to translate, Illacrymabiles
urgentur ignotique longa nocte, carent quia vate sacro.

The blank thus left in the history by the Hindu writer, is partly filled up by Mohammedan authority, and we may therefore here desert our usual

- * See Appendix, No. 2
- † With respect to the Leader of the colony, Dr. Hamilton correctly observes, much confusion prevails, arising probably from different persons being designated by the name CASYAPA. He has endeavoured to distinguish three of the name. I. H. "CASYAPA MUNI son of MARICHI; 2nd. KASYAPA married to the daughter of DACSHA, also named TARKSHYA, who led a colony of civilized people into Cashmir. And 3d. KASYAPA married to the daughters of VAISWANARA, grandson of the preceding."—Genealogies of the Hindus. There is reason to fear however that this distinction can scarcely be made out on original authority. Dr. Hamilton's chronology would rather confirm the assertion of the text that it was the son of Marichi who colonised Cashmir, for he places this sage in the 20th century before the Christian Æra, and it appears not unlikely that Cashmir was colonised about that period.
- ? So also the Ayin Acberi: the author of the Wakiat Cashmir cites Hindu authority, for a Series of 55 Princes and a period of 1919 years.

guide, to contemplate the series of monarchs, derived from another source. According to Bedia ad Din, after the settlement of the country by Suliman, he left the sovereignty to his cousin, Isaun, who reigned over Cashmir twenty-five years, and was succeeded by his son

- 2. Cassaldham, who fixed his capital at Islamabad and reigned nineteen
- 3. Maherkaz his son succeeded and reigned thirty years; being childless, he adopted for his son and successor
- 4. Bándu or Pándu-khan. The birth of this prince was miraculously effected, his mother becoming pregnant from bathing in a reservoir or tank: his death was equally marvellous, as upon bathing himself in the same reservoir, he dissolved, and returned to the element whence he sprang: he is said to have had a most numerous offspring, and to have seen in his life time, no fewer than fifteen thousand descendants : these were the Pandavas, afterwards so celebrated in Indian History.

We may here pause to notice the concurrence of this account, with that which we have already extracted from Hindu authority, of the subjection of Cashmir to a long series of Caurava princes, as these are in the estimation of the Handus, the offspring of a common ancestor, and virtually the same with the Pándava race. This position of the family in the north west of India, is referred to in many works, and the chief scene of their early exploits is the Punjab, and its vicinity; and these traditions therefore all hough much embarrassed by uncertainty and fiction, seem to support the idea that this part of India was the native seat of the Pandavas. Besides the positive assections to this effect in the history of Cashmir, I find, that in an unfinished manuscript essay by Colonel Wilford, and liberally put into my hands by that eminent scholar, he has also particularised Cashmir as the birth place of the Pándavas upon Hindu authority, and we find in classical authors the realm or city of Panda, or of the Pandavas, in a similar direction, although not precisely the same position : at the same time, it is true, that

Conv the progenitor of the Caurava and Pandava races is placed by the Pauranie writers in a more central part of India, and made king of Hastinápur: the five suppositious sons of Pándu were however according to the same authorities actually born in the Himalaya mountains, whither Pándu with his wife Cunt had accompanied the Rishis, and where the Gods descended to rear posterity for the prince: there can be little doubt therefore, that either the original Caurava family, or a very important branch of it, came from the northwest and mountainous parts of India.

To return however to the series of princes enumerated by Bedia Ad-din; we have

- 5. Ládi-khan, son of Pandu-khan.
- 6. LEDDER-KHAN, his son.
- 7. Sunder-khan in whose reign the idolatry of the Hindu worship again made its appearance: the prince was slain in endeavouring to obstruct its progress, and was succeeded by
- 8. Cunder-khan his son, who reigned thirty-five years.
- रहं प्राक्षेत्रः सुत्ताः मध् देवदत्ता मध्यवतः । सम्मृतः वीर्तिमत्तव कुरुवेशविवर्धनाः । शुभवध्यसम्पन्नाः सामनतियदर्शनाः । सिंदर्याः मध्यासाः सिंदविज्ञात्तासामनः । सिंदर्यीया मनुष्येत्रा वष्ट्यदेविज्ञसाः । विवर्धमानाचे वज्र गुरा हिमवता सिरीः ।

Mahábhárat Adi Paroa (2.64.) "Thus the five God-given some of Pandu grew up in the holy mountain of Himárat, endowed with divine force, with the strength, the gait and prowess of lions, expert archers, lovely as the moon, and graced with every ashpicious mark, renowned through the world, and honouring the race of Curu." In the first or Anucramenic portion of the Mahábhárat a curious passage occurs relative to the spurious descent of the Phálísea, for when the boys are brought to Hastinépur by the Rishis, their preceptors, some of the citizens say, they cannot be the sons of Pásídu, for he has long been dead; যুহা বিষ্কেত বাহাৰ মুখ্য মুখ্য সামাহ"! the passage is, not the less remarkable from its being singular, that is to say, it is not adverted to in the subsequent part of the poem which details the orent at length. The Anucramanicá is a summary of the whole work, and not impossibly the original, the bulk of the poem being merely a repetition and expansion of the brief narration, which it contains.

† As one additional argument, the complexion of Pάχρυ may be mentioned; it is said in the Μαμάρμα that he was named Páκάν, pale, from the paleness of his colour. Υνάβα says to the younger widow of his late brother यसात्रायुक्तमायद्वा विरूप घेना सामित्र । तसा दिक्त सुतकों ने पायुदेव भविष्यात् । जाम चार्य नेदेने द भविष्यात् मुभानने॥ Mahd. Ad. P.

Distriction Google

- 9. Sunder-khan, the second. Idolatry was now the national religion, and the king erected a temple to Sadasiva.
- 10. TUNDU-KHAN.
- 11. Bedde-khan, who reigned 115 years.
- 12. MAHAND-KHAN.
- 13. DURBINASH-KHAN.
- 14. DEOSIR-KITAN.
- 15. Tehab-rham. This prince was attacked and slain by his neighbour and relation, the king of *Cabul*, who seized upon the throne of *Cashmir*, and reigned under the name of
- 16. Са́ыл-кнам; after a reign of seven years he was driven out by his *Páńdava* relatives, who raised to the throne
- 17. Surkháb-khan; his reign lasted 191 years.
- 18. Shermabaram-khan.
- 19. NAURENG-KHAN; this prince was a great conqueror and extended his dominions to the kingdom of China.
- 20. Barigh-khan.
- 21. GAWASHEH-KHAN.
- 22. Pandu-khan the second; he recovered the provinces that had been subject to the crown of Cashmir, and which extended to the shores of the Indian sea.
 - 23. Haris-khan; his reign lasted 23 years.
 - 24. SANZIL-KHAN.
 - 25. ARBER-KHAN.
 - 26. Jaber-Khan.
 - 27. NAUDER-KHAN, he introduced the worship of fire.
- 28. Śanker-Khan, who was attacked and slain by Bakra-Raj, a neighbouring chief who headed the Cashmirian nobles driven into rebellion by the tyranny of their king.

The six sons of SANKER-KHAN succeeded in due order to their father's sovereignty, and also to his fate. Their accession and deaths were the work of a few hours, whence originated the proverb, said to be still current in Cashmir:

کیب و کیب طعام در دیگوان تا مختام پنتن منت بادشای وید

"One Caldron, on one fire, saw seven kings before the flesh was boiled;" a proverb, which though not of literal, has been in a general sense, of not inappropriate application, to events of eastern history, of a more authentic character, than the one to which its origin is here ascribed.

29. BACRA-BAJ then took possession of Cashmir, and bequeathed it to his descendants: their names are however unknown, and a blank interval precedes the succession of Augmand the first monarch, with whom all the authorities are agreed to commence, what may be regarded, as the dawn of legitimate historical record.

The list above inserted, although of an obviously fabulous construction, still contains matter to excite curiosity, and awaken some speculation as to the possibility of any part of it being true; it seems very probable that it originates with tradition, and is not altogether unfounded, although no doubt much disfigured, and most probably misplaced: the title of Khan attached to the names, few of which too appear to be Hindu, indicates a race of Tartar princes, and we shall have occasion to notice the presence of Tartar rulers in Cashmir, accompanied with something like chasms in the history, which Broia Additional accompanied would enable us to fill, conveniently enough: if we might conjecture from the names of several princes on the west of India, the invasion of Alexander was the period of Tartar rule in this direction, as Oxyganus and Musicanus might easily be resolved into Tartar appellations with the designation Khan attached: *it may be resting too much on conjecture only, however, to give a period of existence to what

* I am not disposed to attach any importance to etymological conjectures in general, and merely adduce such analogies, as possible identifications in the absence of better guides; at the same time I am very much disposed to think with the learned Dr. Vincenn, that "most, if not all of the Indian names, which occur in classical authors, are capable of being traced to native sppellations, existing at this day among the Hindoos, at least, if not the Moguls." (Voyags of Nearchus, 120.) Licut. Pottinger finds a similarity between Musicanus and Moschkens, the names of two contiguous districts in Sind, and usually connected in utterance. They lie exactly, where we are told, the Greeks found that chief's territories. Tracels in Bilochiston.

Districtor Google

are perhaps after all but phantoms, and we must remain satisfied with the possibility, that they were real personages, who ruled Cashmir as foreigners, and that as foreigners, they were extruded from the Hindu annals, and were preserved only by undefined traditions, which have been embodied into the Mohammedan history of Sheik Nuraddin with little regard to chronology, or truth.

As the first named sovereign of the Hindu history of Cashmir, succeeded to the princes who had governed the country for nearly thirteen centuries, there should have been little or no chronological difficulty about the period of his accession: the introduction of Manwantaras and Calpas, has however obscured a system, otherwise clear at least, if not unexceptionable, and has left it doubtful, whether these princes, as well as the first settlement of the country, come within the limits of the Cali-age, and consequently at what date in that age, Gonerda, the Augnand, * of the Mohammedan writers, was king of Cashmir: there are other chronological points, connected with his history, that have received the notice of the Hindu historian.

The passage of the original is however here not very distinct, and refers evidently to computations of an uncommon churacter. Gonedo as appears from the transactions of his reign, was contemporary with Crisháa and Yuddhishína, who according to the generally received notions, lived at the end of the Dwápar age: this however the author observes is irreconcileable with the series of Gonerda's successors, which agrees better with the opinion, that places the existence of the Caurava and Páróava princes about the middle of the seventh century of the Cali Yug; a computation it may be remarked which is at variance with Gonerda's succeeding to the throne, after that had been occupied for 1466 years, unless some of those years be carried into the preceding age: it is of very little use however to attempt to reconcile these discrepancies, as the different statements are all probably equally incorrect;

* In Nagari त्रीतम् or in some copies त्रीतम् Gonerda or Gonanda; the Persian is ماركند Augnand and the author of the Wastari Casharir as well as Bedia-Ad-din leave no doubt of the intention of the Musselman writers as they detail the letters of this and other names, in the manner, common in Arabic and Persian Lexicons.

Distriction Google

and it is only of importance to observe, the disagreement between this author and the popular belief, as to the age of Yudhishf'hir and Crishka, and the reduction of the antiquity usually assigned to them, which is thus derivable from Hindu authority: any other conclusions, we shall be better prepared to make when we have gone through the different dynasties of princes, and the events recorded to have happened during their reigns.* If we may trust the Hindu historian, Gonerda the first was a relation of JARASANDHA, king of Magadhá, to whose assistance he led an army from Cashmir: the confederates were opposed to Calsnéa, in the province of Mat'hurá, and were defeated in an engagement upon the banks of the Yamuna by that chief, and his brother BALARÁMA, by whose hands Gonerda was slain, whilst attempting to rally his flying troops: the prince was succeeded by his son Damo-DARA who in his impatience to revenge his father's death, attacked a party of the friends of Саїзня́а on their return from a marriage in Gandhár on the Indus ;† the bride was killed in the affray ; but the rage of the bridegroom and his friends was irresistible, and the followers of the prince were defeated, and himself slain; the whole transaction being such as was probably of not unfrequent occurrence, in the history of these mountainous regions, in a state of society much more advanced, than that of which it is narrated. Di-MODARA left his wife YASOVATÍ pregnant, and ill able to resist the victorious Yádava. Chishéa however sent Brahmans to appease her anxiety, and establish her in the kingdom, silencing the remonstrances of his friends by this quotation from the Puranas कमोरा:पार्वती तब राजा श्रीयो श्रर्शक्तः नावस्यः स दुछार्राय विद्वा भूविमिक्ता " Cashmir is as Párvarí, and the king is a portion of HARA: if even vicious therefore, he is not to be disrespected by the sage who hopes for heaven."

In due time Yasovarf was delivered of a son, who was immediately anointed king, the minister of his father conducting the affairs of the state

```
* Appendix No. 4. † Appendix No. 5. ; Appendix No. 6.
```

Distress to Google

[§] This appears to be a pun, Párvatí meaning both mountainous and the wife of SIVA.

^{||} There is no other word that can be used to express the Abhishee, considered an essential part of the ceremony of coronation; the word means in fact sprinkling, and implies in these cases, the sprinkling of the king with water from some sacred stream, as the Ganges, &c.

during his minority: he was named Gonerda* after his grand-father: his tender years prevented him from taking any part in the war that continued during his youth, to rage between the Caurava and Pandava families.

A dark period follows the reign of this prince, and the chasm is filled by a nameless troop of thirty-five kings, who deviating from the precepts of the Vedas were consequently immersed in the waters of oblivion: † to them succeeded a monarch of some celebrity, Lava, the Loo or Looloo of the Mohammedan historians, of whom the only action recorded is the foundation of the city Lolora‡, a city which, according to the extravagant accounts and parties, contained originally an incredible number of stone edifices, § and which in modern times, continued to be a celebrated and populous Tappa or village.

Lava is also said to have been a benefactor of the Brahmanical tribe.

Cuséava, I the son of Lava, succeeded his father, whom he resembled in conferring endowments of land upon the Brahmanical priesthood. •• He was followed in habits and sovereignty by his son Khagéndra, †† of whom it is recorded that he constructed the towns Khagi and Ehanmusha. †† Suréndra, §§ the son of this prince succeeded him, and was actively employed in founding

- Abulfazl has Bala; the designation of the infant monarch, or Bála, a child, having been mistaken for his own appellation.
 - † According to Bedia-ad-din they were all of the Pandava race.
 - ? Perhaps the Durroe or Lurroe of Forster, ii. 5.
- § Abulfazi luas 80 Crore; the original, one Crore minus 16 Lacs or 84,00,000; both Refinddeen and Mahommed Azim say, that Loolos or Lolot was a populous place in the Pergannah of Cantraj, or the western division of Cantmir. Ayeen Acberi, ii. 162.
 - || Kishn. Abulfazl, &c.
- ** The term used on these occasions is Agrahára, which imports a portion of land, or a village, given to the Brahmans, with or without a temple or dwelling.
 - + Khagunder. Abulfazl.
 - ;; Cacapur and Gowmoha in the time of the Mohammedan writers,
 - Serendair, Abulfazl.

towns and building temples and palaces: one city of his construction was Suraca situated near the Dárada country, or at the foot of the mountains.

According to the Mohammedan writers, this prince had a daughter named Catapan Bhanu of great beauty and accomplishments; the reputation of which induced Bahman, the son of Isfendiar, who afterwards governed Persia under the name of Ardisheer Dirazdest, to solicit and obtain the princess in marriage. It does not appear from what source they have derived this story, as it is not found in the Hindu records, nor in the historical romance of Firdausi, unless we suppose it to have originated in the adventures of Gushtasp, the grandfather of Buhman, who whilst in exile in the west married Kattyson, the daughter of the Emperor of Room. (Malcolm's Persia 56.) Had there been any foundation for the tradition, it might have been of some chronological utility, but it is probably either an idle invention, or it is a misrepresentation of the fables which relate to the adventures of Behram Gor, who according to Firdausi, visited India, and there married Sipanud the daughter of Suancat king of Canouj.*

As Surendra however had no son, he was succeeded by a prince of ano-

° Or rather of the whole tract of country from Canonj to Khorann, according to the Persian poet. Thus Bahram, he says, sends an embassy to Shancal, who is sovereign of India from the river of Canonj to the borders of Siad مرزویک شنکل نکهدار شنک زود یاچی قنو چ تا مرزهند the king in his reply tells him, that the region he rules is full of mountains and streams, and extends from Canonj to Iran in one direction, and in the other from Siclab (Sclavonia or Tartary) to China.

The SHANCAL here mentioned is probably the Shincal of Meerkhond and Ferinta; they have however added to his history, and have made him contemporary with APRASIAN. The union noticed in the text terminated according to Bedia-ad-din unhappily, and Behman was murdered by the attendants of the princess at her instigation, in resentment of his contemptuous mention of her father; and he did not perish, he observes, as said by other reports, of the bits of a snake.

Distriction Google

ther family named GODHARA; whose successors Suverna, Janaca and Sachinara† followed him in regular descent, and continued to build cities, and construct and endow temples for the advantage of the Brahmans, and chiefly it would seem for the worship of Siva. Janaca the second of these princes is said by Bedia-ad-din to have sent one of his sons into Persia, with a hostile force during the reign of Homai: the invader however was repelled and slain by the Persians under Darab, the son of Bahman.

The last of these princes being childless, the crown of Cashmir reverted to the family of its former rulers, and devolved on Asoca who was descended from the paternal great uncle of Khagéndra. This prince, it is said in the Ayin Aeberi, abolished the Brahmanical rites, and substituted those of Jina: from the original however it appears, that he by no means attempted the former of these heinous acts, and that on the contrary, he was a pious worshipper of Siva, an ancient temple of whom in the character of Vijayésa‡ he repaired. With respect to the second charge, there is better foundation for it, although it appears that this prince did not introduce, but invented or originated the Jina Sasana § He is said to have founded a city called Srinagar, a different place howey. I from the present capital, which is attributed to a much later monarch || In the reign of Asoca, Cashmir was overrun by the Micch'has, for

- Gowdher, Ayin Acberi. † SUREN, JENER and SEIJUNER. Ibid,
- 1 There are a Vijayésa and Vijaya caketra at Benarcs. The Vijaya Linga adjourned, or in other words, his worship was brought, according to the Casi Chand from Cashmir. Sec. 69. काम्मीरादिव सम्प्राणं लिई विजयसंचियं । सदाविजयदं पुंसां प्राचीहालाहिकटं कटात् ॥
- § Bedia-ad din says, the new faith was brought from Ajem, in which case it must have been the worship of fire that was introduced, a circumstance of no unlikely occurrence, but which at this period of our history is utterly irreconcilable with the chronology of the original, as if it took place after Daráb the son of Homai—it very little preceded Alexander's invasion of India—but we have not yet come to the second Gamerda, who lived, agreeably to the assertion of CALHIANA PADIT, 1182 B. C.—It must not be forgotten that these Persian transactions are taken from the Mohammedan writers, and are not hinted at in the Rôjá Taringiái.
- || RAFI-AD-DEEN calls it Bahara; the Wakist-i-Cauhmir and Narayan Cal call it Sir. and the latter states that it was in Miraj, or the eastern division of Cashmir, and that traces of its site were visible in his time.

whose expulsion the king obtained from Siva a pious and valiant son, as a reward for the austerities he had practised.

JALOCA, the son and successor of Asoca, was a prince of great prowess: he overcame the assertors of the Bauddha heresies, and quickly expelled the Mlech'has from the country, thence named Ujjhtta dimba: he then carried his victorious arms to foreign regions, and amongst others to the North of Persia, which he subjugated in the reign of Darab, and then proceeding in an opposite direction he subdued the country of Canonj.

. The conquest of Canyacubja by this prince, is connected with an event not improbable in itself, and which possibly marks the introduction of the Brahmanical creed, in its more perfect form, into this kingdom. Jaloca is said to have adopted thence the distinction of casts, and the practices which

* The faith of Asoca is a matter of very little moment, as the prince himself is possibly ant ideal personage: as however the comparative antiquity of the Bouddha and Brichmanical creeds in Cashair has been supposed to be affected by it, and the events sub-sequently recorded, it may be adviscable to give the passages of the original, which shew that Asoca was a worshipper of Siva; it is not improbable however, if we are to attach credit to any part of this portion of the Cashmirian history, that he permitted heretical, possibly Bauddha doctrines, to be introduced into the kingdom during his reign from his Tartan neighbours.

ख्यावद्यदेशोकाष्यस्य सस्यो वसुत्यरां। यः शान्तविज्ञे राजा प्रपत्री जिनशासनं।

"Then the prince Asoca, the lover of truth, obtained the earth; who sinning in subdued affections, produced the Jiaa Sasana." This may mean possibly something very different from the received idea, and may imply his neglect of affairs of state through excess of devotion, and his consequently omitting to prevent the intrusion of a foreign power, rather than a foreign faith, into the kingdom, the expulsion of which was the object of his son's birth.

स्रेक्ः संकादिते देशे स तद्ष्किनये हपः तपःसंतिषिताक्षेभे भृतेश्चात् सुक्रतीमुतं ।।

"The country being overspread with Mlech'kas, the king for their expulsion obtained from Bhutisa (Sirea as the Lord of the elements) pleased with his Tapas, an excellent son."—Dr. BUCHANAN has made a strange misquotation from ABULFAZL; (A. R. vi.163.) He calls Asoca Raja Jennet, and says be established in his reign the Brahmany rites, instead of abolished them as it occurs in the Ayin Acberi; an error which justly drew down the angry censures of the Oriental Critics in the Edinburgh Review for October, 1802, and the Asiatic Annual Register of the same year; the Mlech'has might have been Seythians or Tartars. See the observations on the Tartar princes.

† Bedia-ad-din,

Ing and by Google

were at that time established in the neighbouring districts : he also introduced into the Government the forms and offices elsewhere prevalent, and first assigned titles and duties to the following seven officers of state, the Dhermádhyacsha, the justiciary, or chancellor; Dhana-adhyacsha, treasurer; Cosha-adhyacsha, master of the military stores; Chamupati, commander in chief; Dúta, messenger or embassador; Purodhá, the royal chaplain or almoner, and the Duivajnya or chief astrologer. The eighteen offices, and their duties, were also defined by this prince, who appears to have been the first of the Cashmir kings who introduced religion and government into that kingdom. He is said to have particularly worshipped SIVA as NANDÉSA in consequence of having had read to him the Nandipurána by one of Vyása's scholars: he also erected temples to the same deity as Jyéshta Rudra. This prince was possessed of supernatural powers, and several marvellous stories are narrated of him, which we need not pause to extract : he was also a prince of a generous disposition, and a rigid observer of his word: although devoted to Siva, he forbore in the latter part of his reign from molesting the followers of the Bauddla schism, and even bestowed on them some endowments as the Vihar* called Crityasrama, in honor of one of their female divinities, or spirits named Crityádévi, by whom he had been addressed as a Bodhisatwa himself. + After a long and glorious reign, he went on a pilgri-

- * Vikár is a common Sanscrit word usually employed to designate a Bauddha temple as well as an establishment or College of Bauddha priests. It seems to have been also used by the old Persians in a similar sense, and to have been applied to their fire temples. See Ouseley's Persia 126, and sote. In the work before us, it has frequently an extended meaning, and also signifies a Royal pleasure house or garden.
- + The divinity who appeared to the prince to intercede for the Bauddhists explains the term 3odhisatwa;
- वीधिसलैक्यर्याः कोसंयसानसः स्वयं श्लेकि भगवतिश्लेकनायादारम्य केचन । ये जन्मव गतक्रियान् वेधिसलाववेदि तान्। सामसेऽपिन कुर्यात समया धेपपजुर्वते । वेधिं करोववेयान्ति ते विजयस्कोत्यताः॥
- 'Those who are Bodhisatwas trusting to the one great refuge, are desirous of the destruction of darkness; they proceed in the universe of the Lord, from the Lord of the universe, and are not

mage to Chiramachana Tirtha. where after worshipping JYÉSHTA RUDRA, the prince and his queen were both identified with that deity.

The successor of this celebrated monarch was Damodara, of whose descent various opinious were entertained; some deducing him form Asocia and others considering than as spring from a different family; he was a devont worshipper of Siva; this prince constructed several stone bridges and can-eways, the remains of which were visible in modern times; and there were also two remarkable places, which in the time of Mohammed Asim were connected with the legendary history of this prince; the one a set of small irregular springs, and the other a spot of uneven and marsby ground near the city.

On one occasion as Damodara was proceeding to perform his customary ablutions in the Vitasta, he was importuned for food by some hungry Brahmans; he deferred complying with their solicitations till he had bathed in the river, then at some distance: to shorten the interval they proposed to bring the river to him, and immediately the water of the Vitasta bubbled up from different places near them, forming the springs that are still to be seen; the king was unmoved by this miracle, and being still determined to bathe in the genuine stream, the Brahmans denounced a curse upon him, and transformed him into a snake, in which shape he haunts the ground near

wroth sinfully at the distresses inflicted on animal nature unpervaded by waking truth, but alleviate them by patience. Those who seek to understand themselves, they are strenous in bearing all." A BODHISATW is therefore nothing but a man of patience and piety, and may be regarded as a living type, and figuratively as a lineal descendant of BUDDIA; his origin from the Lord of the universe (Locanat'h, an epithet of BUDDIA) in this passage, may be so intended; at the same time it appears that BODHISATWA is sometimes considered literally as the son of BUDDIA; (In verra dans la suite de cet ouvrage que Phou sa ou Boudhisatous, les fils de Bouddha, &c. Mous. Renasat, on the polyglot Chinese vocabulary. Mines de l'Orient vol. iv. 108, note. The continuation he refers to has not yet been received. The term, as a generic appellation of a living Buddha, is common in all Buddha countries; one of the Bourkhans of the Calanucka is named Khomschin Bodi. Sada (Pallas. Fr. Trans. Oct. ii. 222.) An Indian teacher of Bouddhis, who was invited into Tibet, is named Pothi satho (Giorgi. 240), and according to Louber one of the annes of Sommono Codom (Samana Gotama) amongst the Siamese, is Pouti Sat, or Seigneur Pouti. (Vie de Thecetat.)

Division Google

the Capital, and is often to be seen: this spot is called Damodar-uder according to the Musselman accounts.

Damodara was succeeded by three princes who divided the country, and severally founded capital cities named after themselves. These princes were called Hushca, Jushca, and Canishca, + and these appellations are strongly corroborative of an assertion of our author, that they were of Turushca, that is, of Turc or Tartar extraction: they are considered as synchronous, but may possibly be all that are preserved of some series of Tartar princes, who, it is very likely, at various periods, established themselves in Cashmir. The chief event recorded of their reign is the foundation of the three several capitals, named of er themselves, that another and more important consequence of their Sovereignty is said to have been the almost entire change of the national faith, and the nearly exclusive prevalence of the doctrines of the Bauddhas under a Bodhisatwa or hierarch named Nágársuna. The period at which this took place is said to have been 150 years before the death of Sacaysinha & The presence of the Turushca princes in Cashmir, we may observe, is in harmony with Tartar traditions; according to these, Oghuz their patriarch is represented to have subdued that country, and introduced the religion of Japhet there, so long back as 2800 years before the Christian æra. A second Scythian irruption and subjugation of India, bordering on

- I understand from some natives of Cashmir that this superstition still exists, and that DAMOBARA, transformed to a serpent, still haunts a lake about seven cor from the Capital, and is still occasionally visible: no doubt, in that form.
- † BEYSHEK, RESHEK, KINSHEK. Abulfazi. Brothers according to the same authority, but not so termed in the original.
- 1 Hushcapur, said by the modern writers to be the modern Sheeroh in the Pergannah of Lar, and a town of some extent: Jushcapur and Canishcapur are identified with Dahimpur and Cansapur, two inconsiderable villages in the time of Mohammed Shah.
- § Appendix, No. VII.
- If OGILUZ conquit ainsi toute la Bukharie, Balkh, Khor, Kaboul, Ghazna et le Kaschmir ou il y avoit un prince fort puissant nomme Jagma. Des Guignes Tome prem. Partie seconde p. 10. We cannot find in the text any name resembling the Jagma of the Tartar tradition, but it is appearedly a Hindu appellative, and the omission of its original is easily accounted for; we have an evident chasm in the history here, and the accession or expulsion of the Turushea princes is equally unexplained.

Division Google

the Sind is also said to have occurred about the middle of the 7th century before Christ:* neither of these dates will correspond precisely with that of the reigns above described, but they are all perhaps equally of little value, and only corroborate the general fact, that at some remote period the Tartars or Scythians did govern Cashmir, and render it probable, that they first gave the sanction of authority to their national religion, or that of Burdina, in India.

The Tartar princes were succeeded by Arbinanyu, a monarch evidently of a Hindu appellation, and a follower of the orthodox faith, which he reestablished in Cashmir. The chief instrument in this reform was Chandra, a Brahmin celebrated as the author of a grammar, and a teacher of the Mahhashya.† In consequence of the disuse of the prescribed institutes, the abolition of every form of sacrifice, and a departure from the lessons of the Nila Purána,‡ the Nágas were particularly incensed, and visited the offences of the people with severe and unseasonable storms of rain and snow, in which those especially perished who had adopted the Bauddha heresy:§ in this situation of the kingdom, Chandra, descended it is said from Caéyapa, addressed his prayers to Mahéśwara as Níla Nága, the tutelary deity of the country, and obtained from him a termination of what our author calls, the double plague of Cashmir, the severity of the seasons, and the predominance of the Bauddhas.

The reign of Abhimanyu closes the first series of princes, and introduces us to a period in which the author of the Raja Taring ini affects greater pre-

- "Maurice's Ancient History of India, ii. 224; according to Blair, B. C. 624 in the reign of Cynares or Kaikaoos. A subsequent irruption took place in the reign of Davius Hystarpes, if he be, as he probably is, the same with Gushtarp: this last was of a decidedly religious character. Malcolai's Persin, i. 62.
- The name of CHANDRA occurs amongst the eight ancient Grammarians of the Hindus, Colebrooke on the Sanscrit and Pracrit Languages, A. R. vii. 201 and 5.
 - ; The Purana of the Naga or Scrpent god, named Nila.
 - Appendix, No. VIII.

cision than before, and specifies the term of each prince's reign: it is evident however that the reigns of the earliest sovereigns are much too protracted, and they must be considerably reduced to be brought within the limits of probability: the object of the author is evidently to reconcile the details with the gross amount of years, which he has stated to extend, from the first prince of the new series, the third Goneba, to 1070 of Saca, and which he has made 2330: how far this postulate is correct we are not yet prepared to determine; and must refer its discussion to the close of the history, when we shall have the whole subject before us: in the mean time the chronology of our author may be admitted, and the dates of the various reigns assigned to them on the principles of his computation; commencing accordingly with the year before Christ 1182 corresponding with 2330 years before Saca 1070 or A. D. 1143.

GONERDA* the third, succeeded ABHIMANYU, and prosecuted the reform which that prince had commenced; the ancient ritual agreeably to the Nila precepts, was restored, and the worship of the Nila and the offering of sacrifices re-established; by acts of this description, the fame of monarchs is perpetuated, and this prince gave the same lustre to his family, as Rághava diffused upon the race of Raghu. He reigned 35 years.

GONERDA was succeeded by several princes of whom we have only recorded the dry list of names, and the duration of their reigns. These were

Vibníshaša, who reigned 53 years; Indrajira, 35 years and 6 months; Rávaša, 30 years; Vibníshaša 2d, 35 years and 6 months;

Making an aggregate of 154 years. Of Rávasa, it is said, that he extended the worship of Siva as the Linga Vatéswara, and of the second Vibrasiansa that he was both a Patron and Cultivator of the art of Music; the Moham-

According to Bedia-ad-din he was not born but elevated to the throne; how, is not mentioned; the same authority makes him subdue, by means of his general Nand Ram, the whole of Hindustan as far as the Nermada.

District of Google

medan writers say, that in their days Tiránehs ascribed to this prince were current in Cashmir.*

B C.998

NARA+ the son of VIBHISHASA SUCCEEDED his father; this prince began his reign virtuously, but one of his wives having been seduced from her fidelity by a Bauddha ascetic, the king committed a thousand Vihars to the flames, and gave the lauds attached to them to the Brahmans; the only measure, which seems to authorise the account of ABULFAZL, that in this reign the Brahmans got the better of the followers of Buddha, and burnt down their temples: in fact, however this prince seems to have been as little disposed to regard one sect as the other will complacency, and finally fell a victim, it is said, to the resentment of one of the orthodox priesthood.

The legend which introduces this catastrophe is not without poetical merit, although too purely poetical to be here transcribed at length. A Brahman had become the son-in-law of Susravas, the Naga, whose palace was in a lake, near the borders of the Vitasta, and in a city founded by NARA near that river. The wife of the Brahman, Chandrabaha, residing there with her husband, attracted the illicit affection of the King, and having resisted all his solicitations, obliged him at length to attempt to carry her off by force: the attempt failed: the Brahman invoked the aid of his father-in-law, who rising from the lake in wrath, excited a violent storm which destroyed the guilty monarch and his people. The sister of the snake God aided him in his attack upon the city with a shower of large stones brought from the Ramanya mountain, the cavities whence they were taken are still, says our author, to be seen. The Nága, a little ashamed of his cruelty, deserted the country, taking with him his son-in-law and his daughter; the waters of the lake he formerly inhabited, he changed to the whiteness of milk, as may be seen at the Amaréswara yatra; this lake is sometimes called Jamátrísar:

- Bedia-ad din here inserts another prince Inderáyan, who was a magician and tyrant, and therefore put to death by his brother Cailás Sinh.
 - † Written Booz by the Mohammedan writers,

Distriction Google

the story is recalled to the minds of men, when they visit Upachaera Dhara. We may observe however that the destruction of the city, and death of this prince, are ascribed by Bedia-ad-din to a popular tumult, excited by the conduct which is here stated to have produced the catastrophe.

NARA was succeeded by his son Siddha, who had escaped the late calamity by having been sent with his nurse to Vijayacshétra some time before. He collected the dispersed and frightened people, and restored prosperity to the kingdom: he reigned sixty years.

We have again a barren series of successive princes, whose names and reigns alone are recorded:—

							Years.	Months,
Utpalácsha, who reigned				-	-	-	30	6
Hiranyácsha,		-	-	-	-	-	37	7
Hiranyacula,		4	-	-	-	-	60	0
Vámacula.	_	-	-	_	-	-	60	0

The last of these was succeeded by his son Mihira Cula, † a prince of vio-

B. C. 705 or 310-

* Chacder near Mabrah, according to the author of the Wahiat-i-Cashmir: Narayana Culsays, there are two fountains, one of the snake and the other of his son-in-law, on the borders of Dutckenpara, and their united water runs to Laider. Abulfarl speaks of a rivulet with a bed of white clay in this situation (Ayin Acberi, ii. 133). The origin of this and similar fables is very obvious: they are invented to account for the various phenomena, especially with regard to lakes and springs, with which Cashmir so plentifully abounds. Abulfazl has a long list of the Ajstibea Gharaibof this district, and is far from having exhausted the subject, if we may depend upon other authorities. We know leas of Cashmir from European enquiry than of almost any other district in the East: it would no doubt amply reward more minute investigation.

† These names are strangely transformed in the Ayin Acberi to

Adutbulabeh. Hernya. Herenkul. Ebeshek, and Mirkhul.

The first prince appears to be intended by the name of PUSCHCARACSHA, which means the same thing, the lotus-eyed, who is mentioned in the Madrá Rácshaza, as the king of Cashmir, who was one of the princes confederated against Chandragupta or Sandrocottus. The second of the series Hirany acoust is the pero of a marvellous story in the Vridat Cathd, which leads to

lent and cruel propensities; the kingdom upon his accession was crowded with Mlech'has, although whether as attached to the king, or as enemies, does not appear. The violent disposition of this monarch led him to an attack upon Lanca. The cloth of Sinhala was stamped with a golden foot as the seal of its prince; the wife of Miniracula wearing a jacket of Sinhalá cloth, the impression of the seal came off upon her bosom, and the king happening to observe it, was filled with unappeasable indignation, at the idea of the foot of a stranger being impressed upon the bosom of his wife. To revenge the fancied insult, he led his army to Lanca, deposed the king, and placed another on the throne, stipulating that the $Sinhal\acute{a}$ cloths called Yamushadeva should in future bear his own seal, a golden sun. On his way back to Cashmir, he subdued the sovereigns of Chola, Carnata, Lata, and other monarchs of the Decshin. Arrived in Cashmir, he founded the temple of Mihireswara in the capital, and built the city Mihirapur in the district of Holora, in which the Gandhare Brahmans, a low race, and therefore the more highly esteemed by this iniquitous monarch, were permitted to seize upon the endowments of the more respectable orders of the priesthood. According to Mahommed Azim, he also constructed in the purgunal of Ouder the Chandracul canal, which existed in that writer's time.

Two instances of this monarch's ferocious disposition are recorded by the original authority, and have both been transcribed with some alteration by ABULFAZL and the other Mohammedan authors: on the return of MIBIRACULA to his own kingdom, one of his elephants fell, whilst proceeding along a narrow defile, and was crushed to pieces by the fall: the cries of the dying animal were music to the ears of the prince, and so delighted was he with the sound, that he ordered 100 elephants to be precipitated in a similar manner, that his entertainment might be protracted; according to Abulfazl the pass was thence called Hasti Wuttar; Hasti signifying an elephant and Wuttar meaning injury; the latter part of which etymology is scarcely

his marriage with a Vidhyádhari, a Hindu goddess of an inferior order. The prince is called in the Vrihat Cathá, the son of Cánacha: in other respects there is no question of the identity.

* The Mahábhárat mentions the Brahmans of this country as of an inferior tribe, as is noticed in Appendix, No. VI.

Distriction Google

of Sanscrit origin: besides which, that author is a little at variance with himself, as he had previously separated the two words, and told us that they were different portions of the Bember road, through both of which an army might pass. The other anecdote has been supposed to account for the title by which this prince was known of Tricotiha, the slayer of three millions: amongst the ruins of Narapur, destroyed as we have seen in the reign of NARA by the Naga Susravas, some Khasa tribes had taken up their abode: to drive them from the prohibited residence, a large stone fell into the bed of the Chandracula river, and completely obstructed the current; the prince was instructed in a dream that its removal could only be effected by a female of unsullied virtue, and he accordingly commanded women of respectable birth and station, to perform the task: their efforts were unavailing: women of the first families and supposed irreproachable conduct, attempted in vain to remove the stone, and its removal was at last effected by a female of a low class, the wife of a potter: the king inceused by this divine proof of the corrupt lives of the female part of his subjects, ordered them to be put to death, together with their husbands, children, and brothers, as implicated in their disgrace. The blood shed by the commands of this sanguisnary sovereign, was expiated by his death: suffering under a painful disease and awakened to some sense of his past cruelty, he determined to put a voluntary term to his existence and end his days upon the finneral pile. He found it impossible, however, to meet with persons qualified to conduct the ceremonies of his cremation, as his kingdom was crowded with the impure tribes of Daradas, + Bhoteas and Micch'has. Revoking therefore his grants to the Gandhara Brahmans, he invited those of Aryadésa, on whom he bestowed a thousand Agraharas in Vijayéswara. The pile was constructed of military weapons, and the king having seated himself on the summit, the fire was applied, and quickly put a period to his sufferings and his crimes. The duration of his reign is said to have been 70 years.

^{*} The point of this story is the same as of that related of PHERON by HERODOTUS, is. 111. and Ancient Universal History, i. 294.

[†] A. R. vi. 417. Daward, the mountainous range north west of Cashmir, and the present residence of the Durds.

Vaca* the son of Mibira Cula succeeded his father; he founded the city Lavanotsa on the banks of Vacavati river; he was prevailed upon to assist a Yogiswari at a rite, which enabled her to traverse the air at a spot where the impression of her knees is still visible on a rock.† He was accompanied by a hundred of his descendants, and the legend of Satacapálésa and the Matrichaera stone is still commemorated at Khira Matha or Khira College: Vaca reigned 63 years and 13 days. The names and reigns of his immediate successors are all that has been recorded of them:

CSHITINANDA, ruled	30 years.
Vasunanda,	52 years and 2 months,
BARA,	60 years.
Асяна, ‡	60 years.

B. C.

A Cama Sastra is ascribed to the second of these princes. Acsua was succeeded by his son Gopáditya, a prince of eminent piety, whose virtue brought back the Satya or golden age: he enforced a strict observance of the ritual and distinctions of cast, removed those Brahmans who had adopted impure practices from their endowments, and invited others from distant countries to replace them, and finally he forbad the killing of any animal except for the purpose of sacrifice. According to the Mohammedan authorities, he built a temple, or the mound near the capital of Cashmir, called the Takht Suliman. It was destroyed with other places of Hindu worship by Secander, one of the first Mohammedan kings of Cashmir, and who, on account of the bigoted assiduity with which he demolished the vestiges of Hindu superstition, is constantly alluded to by the title But Skeken, the idol breaker,

^{*} Beck. Ayin Acberi.

⁺ At Beren or Meren according to NARAYAN CUL, who adds that she killed the king: the subsequent allusion is not further explained by CALHANA PUNDIT.

¹ Kutnund. Vistnund. Nir. Aj. Ayin Acberi. 6 Kulvarit.-Ibid.

^{||} Bedia-ad-din notices a tradition that the tomb in this building was said to enshrine the remains of a christian apostle.

[¶] This is from Refaddin, but NARAYAN Cul. asserts that it was still standing in his time.

Forster does not notice any ruins or buildings on this spot, but we have mention made of them

GOPÁDITYA, after a reign of 60 years, was succeeded by his son GOKERNA, of whom it is merely stated that he elected a temple to GOKERNÉSWARA.

Narendráditya,† his son, succeeded him, after a reign of 57 years: he reigned 31 years and a few months, and left the crown to his son Yudhishíhas, surnamed the blind, from the smallness of his eyes.

The commencement of this monarch's reign was influenced by the same attention to virtue and propriety, as had governed the conduct of his pious predecessors. As fortune had however decreed that he should be the last of his dynasty, he gradually ceased to regard the lessons of prudence and piety, and addicted himself to sensual pleasures and disgraceful society : he was constantly inebriated with wine : his companions were harlots and buffoons, and he treated with levity and scorn the admonition of his counsellors : the administration of affairs was neglected ; the chief nobles defied the royal authority, and foreign princes encroached upon the confines of the kingdom. To prevent the ruin of the state, and to revenge upon the prince the insults they had received or prevent those which they anticipated, the ministers approached the palace with a numerous and well appointed force : as resistance was hopeless, the king precipitately fled from Srinagar, and secreted himself in the woods and mountains with his women and a few followers, doomed now to exchange luxury for privation, the downy couch for the sharp rock, and the harmony of minstrels for the wild dashing of cascades, or the wilder horns of the mountaineers; he at last found a refuge in

by Bernier. A'l opposite de cette montagne il en paorit une aussi avec une petite mosquée avec unjardin et un tres ancien batiment qui marque avoir eté un temple d' Idoles, quoiqua l'appelle Tact Souleman, Le trone de Souleman il. 274.

B. C.

^{*} Kurren .- Ay. Ac.

[†] The lord of Gokerna, being in fact a Linga, as whenever that emblem of Siva is set "up, it receives the appellation of Iswara compounded with some word expressive of the divine attributes, as Visweiwara, the Lord of all; of the locality of its site, as Gangeswara. Coloresteepers, &c. or of the person by whom it is erected, as in the text.

¹ Nurundrawut.—Ay. Ac.

[§] Jewdishter.—Ibid.

the courts of some compassionate princes, where, according to general belief he died in exile; according to other accounts, he engaged in unsuccessful attempts to recover his kingdom, in one of which he was taken prisoner by the nobles and thrown into captivity, from which he was released only by his death. The term of his reign was 48 years.

The successor of Υυρμισμτμία was Pratapapitya, who was invited from another country: he was a kinsman of the king Vicramaditya; a different monarch, says our author, from the Sacári Vicramaditya, although sometimes identified erroneously with that prince: † he was a virtuous monarch and enjoyed a prosperous reign of 32 years, leaving his crown to his son,

JALAUCAS,‡ who also reigned 32 years, and was succeeded by his son,

Tunjína, § who with his queen Vacpushtá, erected the temple of Tungíswara, and founded the city Haravasantici, in a district watered by the Satahrada, and Payovahá like the bow of Indra, and its string. ¶ In their time existed Chandaca a portion of Dwaipayana, whose Natya is well known,

In the reign of this prince an unseasonable fall of snow in the mouth Bhadra destroyed the crops, and caused a famine, in which great numbers of people perished: such was the general distress, that all the ties of society were dissolved, and all the duties of life disregarded: modesty and pride, family honor, and public respect were all forgotten; the love of parent and child, of husband and wife, no longer prevailed: every individual sought alone for self-preservation, and although reduced to bones and tendons, the famished skeletons fought with fury for the carcases of the dead,

^{*} Pertaubdut .- Ay. Ac.

[†] Notwithstanding our author's assertion, it seems probable that the identification is right, Narayan Cul and Bedia-ad-din state that Pratapaditya was related to Vicramáditya, the celebrated prince of Malwa: we shall have occasion to advert hereafter to this subject more fully,

¹ Juggook .- Ay. Ac.

Bunjir .- Ay. Ac.

 $[\]P$ The first is the Setlej, the second must be the B eyah, to which the name in the text sufficiently approaches.

The king exerted himself to relieve the distresses of his subjects, and exhausted his own treasures, as well as those of his ministers, in procuring supplies of grain: the jewels of his court and queen were appropriated to the same purpose, but the famine still continuing, the monarch, despairing of relieving his afflicted people, and unable to witness their sufferings, determined to put a period to his existence by committing his body to the flames: from this purpose he was dissuaded by his queen, and once more addressing their earnest supplications to the gods, they obtained by their divine interposition, a misaculous shower of pigeons, who fell dead in the streets of the capital every day for a considerable period, and furnished the inhabitants with food until the products of the earth once more supplied them with subsistence. This prince died after a reign of 36 years: his wife accompanied him on the funeral pile at a place thence called Vacpushtalavi, and to which it was customary, in our author's time, for persons to bring the dead bodies of those husbands to be burnt, whose wives had the virtue to emulate the example of this pious princess.

As the pure piety of this couple did not permit their having posterity, a prince of another family ascended the throne: he was named Vijaya,* and built the temple of Vijayéswara in the capital. He reigned 8 years, and was succeeded by his son

JAYÉNDRA,† who was distinguished by the length of his arms, his hands touching his knees: this prince was fortunate at first in a minister of great integrity and talent, named SANDHIMATI, but influenced by the advice of these who envied the minister's superiority, the king conceived an aversion for him, and dismissed him from his employments: the poverty to which he was thus reduced served only to heighten his reputation: he devoted all his thoughts to religion, but a report, of heavenly origin, soon prevailed, that he was yet destined to wear a crown: when the report reached the king, his fears were excited, and seizing the person of Sandhimatri he threw him into prison, and kept him several years in close confinement; at the expiration of that term, the king, feeling his end approach, was determined before his death

* Bejeery .- Abulfasl,

† Chunder .- Ibid.

to frustrate the decrees of fate, and to carry with him into a future state the spirit of his obnoxious minister: accordingly, on the same night on which the monarch's body was burnt, the executioners put Sandhimati to death upon a stake. Jayéndra reigned 37 years.

When Isana, the Guru of Sandhimati, heard of his death, he repaired to the place of execution, to recover the body, and secure for it funeral rites. On taking the body from the stake, and fastening the feet and head together, in order to remove the corpse more commodiously, he was struck by an inscription on the forehead, which his knowledge enabled him to decypher; it was to this effect, "a life of poverty, ten years' imprisonment, death on a stake, and accession to a throne;" predictions of which three had come to pass, and the fourth was yet to be fulfilled. For the accomplishment of the splendid part of our hero's fate, the Brahman performed those rites which compel the attendance of the ministers of Siva, the Yoginis; who accordingly appeared, and restored animation to the lifeless body of Sandhimati, whom they endowed with singular beauty and supernatural powers, and hailed as future king by the title of ÁRYA RÁJA. † The news of this miraculous restoration spread through the kingdom, and all classes of people, impelled by resistless destiny, hastened to salute him as king: they led him in triumph to the capital, and he commenced his pious reign.

Whoever might have been the person, thus made the subject of miraculous tradition, it appears from our author's account, supported by him by reference to local corroboration, that he was an active promoter of the worship of Siva as the *Linga*, with the usual accompaniments of the Trident and the Bull. Many temples of this description, continued at a long subsequent period, to be ascribed to this reign, and particularly one called Sa-

करेः वधकर्माधिकारिभः सन्धिमितः पूले समारोख विवादितः।

"Sandhimati being elevated by the savage executioners on the Sala was killed." Hewas perhaps impaled. Major Wilford however considers the instrument to be a cross.—See A. R. z. Barthe punishment of impaling has always prevailed in the east: accounts of it in Ceyloa, Java, the Burman Empire, &c. are numerous and authentic.

Distress to Google

[†] Ariraj.—Abulfazl.

hasralingam, from its containing a thousand Lingas, constructed of stone, the remains of which were visible in the time of Calhasa Pasóir.

After reigning 47 years, ARYA, the pious monarch, whose court was like the palace of Mahéswara, where the articles of fashionable dress were ashes of burnt cowdung, rosaries of the Eleocarpus, and matted locks of hair, and the favorites and companions of the prince were mendicants and ascetics, grew weary of the cares of state, and determined to retire into the seclusion, better suited to his apparently fanatical propensities: having found that a descendant of Yudhisht'hir still lived, he recommended the youth as his successor, and delivering the government into the hands of the nobles, he divested himself of his royal ornaments, and with no other garment than the Dhoti, bare-footed, and without his turban, carrying with him the Archalinga, and observing a strict silence, he came out from the city, followed by an immense concourse of people: at the end of about two miles, he sat down under a tree, and addressed his followers, whom he prevailed upon to disperse; he then resumed his route to the Tirt'ha of Nandísa or Nandícshé-TRA, where he ended his days in ascetic mortification, and the assiduous worship of the god whom the three worlds obey.

Меснауанава, † who was invited to succeed to the throne of his ancestors, was the third in descent from Yudhisht'hir, being his great grandson; his father had found an asylum at the court of Gorántva, king of Gandhár, whose assistance had restored him to some degree of opulence and consequence; his son Меснауанама was thence enabled to present himself amongst the candidates for the hand of the princess of Pragyotish or Asam, and to obtain her election. † With his wife, and a suitable dower, he had rejoined his father,

* The Jungum profess the exclusive worship of Siva, and an appropriate emblem of that deity, in its most obscene form, inclosed in a diminutive silver or copper shrine or temple, is suspended from the neck of every votary as a sort of personal god.—Withis Mygore, i. 501. This is probably the Archalingam of our original, archa meaning worship. The introduction of this sect into the Deckin in the eleventh century must have been long subsequent to its establishment in the north of India, by any calculation that may be adopted.

⁺ Megdahen,-Abulfazl.

According to Bedia-ad-din the lady was the princess of Khota,

when the Nobles of Cashmir sent a deputation to solicit and accompany his return to that kingdom, to which he immediately hastened, and of which he assumed the sovereignty.

Mέσκανάκανα, although a worshipper of the orthodox divinities, was inclined to adopt the Bauddha doctrine: he encouraged the professors of that heresy to settle in his dominions, and particularly prohibited the destruction of animal life, granting from the public revenue a maintenance to such individuals as followed the business of hunters or butchers, whom his enactments deprived of their accustomed means of support.

Although thus careful of brute existence, he seems to have been less scrupulous about human life; being a warlike and victorious sovereign, and engaging in remote and hostile expeditions he is said to have led his armies to the sea shore, and by the aid of Varuna, who opened a dry path through the waters for his army, to have crossed over to Lanca or Ceylon, where he ascended, with his troops, the Gem-enshrining peak of the mountain Rohafia. Whilst encamped on the mountain, the king of the island, the Racshasa Vinnísunán, teame voluntarily, and submitted to his invader, in consequence of which he was confirmed in his sovereignty, on condition of his no longer permitting in his island the expenditure of animal life ‡ Mf-

- *Aidem's peak the Rahu (اهر) and Rahun (اهر) of the Mohammedans, according to whom also it contained mines of precious gems. Rohana implies the act or instrument of ascending as steps, a ladder, &c. and may refer to the rude steps and links of iron chain work, described by Yafentyn, and more tecently by Mr. Pereisul, and Sir Wittiam Guedey, i. 69.
- † After the defeat and death of RAVANA, RAMA conferred the sovereignty of Lancá upon RÁVANA'S younger brother VIBH SHANA, who is generally supposed to be still the monarch of Lancá.
- 1 In other words, he introduced or enforced the Bauddha faith. Whatever credit it may be thought, that these Cashmirian tales of a conquest of Ceylon by one of their kings deserve, they are curiously connected with the Sishalese traditions of foreign invasion, and consequent intendeduction of the Bauddha faith. VIJAYA RAJA, the first monarch of that island, and who introduced the present religion, invaded it, it is said eithe 534 years before Christ, or A. D. 77 or 106 or 350. A. R. vii. 51 and 421. Molony and Joinville's accounts of Ceylon. Discordancies that admit perhaps of some explanation, the first referring to the period at which Gautama the founder of the Bauddha faith existed, and the others to the date of its introduction in the Island, an event to which foreign conquest was chiefly conducive.

Districtor Google

GRAYÁHANA/then returned to Cashmir, where the memory of his transmarine expedition, says our Sanscrit guide, is still preserved on the banners, which on particular occasions, are carried before the kings of Cashmir.

The son of the last prince, Sreshtasena, also called Pravarasena, succeeded his father: the Hindu record only commemorates his founding a temple of PRAYARÉSA; but Bedia-ad din makes considerable additions to his history: according to him, this prince established his mother on the vacant throne of Khota, and extended his own authority to Khotai; Chin and Muchin. He reigned 30 years, and left his kingdom to his two sons Hrannat and Toramana; the former holding the superior station of the Samrajya, and the latter that of the Yauvarajya, or being respectively Emperor and Cosar, a division of power of considerable antiquity amongst the Hindus, and one which, with them, as well as with the Latin, Greek, or German princes, was often a source of public contention : it proved to be so in the instance before us : the latter having proceeded to strike coins; in his own name, the elder brother took offence at the measure, and deposed the Yuvartija, and kepthim in close confinement. The wife of Toramana, who was pregnant at the time, effected her escape, and found shelter and privacy in a potter's cottage, where she was delivered of a son: the boy was brought up by the potter as his own, but his high birth betrayed itself, and he was a prince in all his sports and amongst his play-fellows; his juvenile imperiousness having caught the attention of JayEndra, his maternal uncle, then searching for his sister, led to

· Sereshsain .- Abulfuzl

† Heren .- Ibid.

1 Dinars: the word is Sanserit, and although generally signifying a certain weight of gold, also means as above, a gold coin perhaps of the weight of 32 rettis or about 40 grains. The Dinar must have been common in Persia and Syria at the time of the Arabic invasion, as the Arabs to whom an original coinage, was then anknown, adopted both it and the Dirhem or Drachma. According to the Ayin Acberi, the Dinar weighs one miscal, and is equal to 1 and 3-7th of a Dirhem, which weighs from 10 to 5 miscals, or, at 7½, the average giving a proportion of gold and silver, as 1 to 10. According to Ferishta the Dinar was worth 2 Rupees, which will give us about the same proportion. There is an evident etymological affinity between the Dinar of the Hindus and the Denarius of the Romans: the latter, though originally a silver coin, was also of gold, and the author of the Periphus named Adrian's, states, that Denarii, both gold and silver, were amongst the articles exported from Europe and carried to Barygaza or Baroach: the Sanscrit, Dinár, may therefore be derived from the Roman coin.

their discovery, and that nobleman privately took home his sister and her son. In the mean time Toramána died in captivity; on which event the princess, to divert her grief, went, accompanied by her son upon a pilgrimage to the sonth: during her absence the king died, after a reign of thirty years and two months. He left no posterity, and the claims of his nephew being unknown, the throne of Cashmir was vacant, and continued so for a short period.

The ruler of Ujayini at that time was Sriman Hersha Vicramaditya, who after expelling the Mieckeh'has, and destroying the Sacas, had established his power and influence throughout India.* In his train was a Brahman named Mitricurra, to whom he was much attached: upon hearing of the vacant situation of the Cashmir throne, and the indecision of the nobles with regard to a successor, he sent the Brahman to them, with a letter from himself, recommending him to their election: they complied with the recommendations of a sovereign, whose commands they felt themselves unable to resist, and crowned Mitricurra? as their king,

The reign of the Brahman was of limited duration; the death of his powerful protector exposed him to the disaffection of his chief subjects,

*Who was this prince? As the enemy of the Sacas, and also from our author's chronology, be is synchronous with Săliréânas, with whom indeed, notwithstanding a difference in date of 135 years, all the Hindu accounts represent him to have been engaged in hostility. We have had a Vicramáditya before him in this history, not the Sacári as expressly remarked by the hustorian, and therefore we cannot doubt our author's meaning, although we may question his chronological correctness, as I shall bereafter endeavour to shew: it is singular that in a very long eulogium on this prince, which I have not thought it necessary to translate, the author never alludes to Sălireânan, nor to any of the literary ornaments usually assigned to Vicrama's court. The name Hersha appears to bear some sfinity to Hersha Migha (A. Rix. 176) father of the Vicrama of the fifth century, in which indeed he may not very improbably be placed. We must however leave these points for the present, as we are not yet prepared for their due discussion. The Mohammedaa writers are of no assistance here, as they repeat the name of Bicramajit without any comment on its again occurring.

† Mater kunt. - Abulfazi.

Distred by Google

and to the arms of the lawful heir Pravara Séna, who with a small but resolute band of friends, was approaching Cashmir: he seems to have surprized the Brahman by an unexpected attack upon his camp, or at least to have encountered him upon a journey when unprepared for a contest, and although no serious engagement ensued, the issue was Matricupta's abdication of the throne and his departure to Benares, where he passed the rest of his life in religious duties: he reigned four years and nine months.

Pravarasána, so named after his grandfather, to whose dominion he had succeeded, was an active and enterp ising prince: he invaded the kingdoms of the south, and turned his arms against the son and successor of Vieramaditya, named Pratára Síta or Sítáptra, whom he drove from his capital, and took prisoner. He seems to have been contented with this expression of his resentment, and not only to have spared the life of the prince, but put him again in possession of his hereditary kingdom, carrying off however the throne of the Apsarasas, which he transferred to his own capital. After his

· Pirwirsein .- Abulfazl.

† I have not been able yet to trace this son of VICRAMA in any other works with much success. Col. Wilford informs me that in the CSHETRA SAMÁSA it is stated that Vicramáditya had a son named NATHA SÍLA whom he is disposed to regard as the grandson of VICRAMA, and the son of this SÎLÁDITYA. A Jain work of some celebrity, the Satrunjaya Mahátmya, is said to have been written by order of SÎLÁDITYA, king of SURAT: the author DHANESWARA SURI, according to a marginal note in the copy I consulted, and which agrees with the traditionary opinion of the Jains, wrote his work in the Samvatyear 477. The same work cites a prophetic annunciation, that the famous VICRAMÁDITYA would appear after 460 years of his ora had elapsed (A. R. ix. 142), which scarcely agrees with the date assigned for the work, as, if SĨLÁDITYA, the son of VICRAMÁDITYA, succeeded his father, it allows but ten years for the reign of the latter. We must revert to this hereafter.

† The famous throne supported by thirty-two female images, animated ones, if we are to believe the legend. Accounts agree of its being lost after Vicrama's death, although it is generally thought to have been found again by Bhoja. We have no further notice of it in our history. Bedia-ad-din carries Pranamasen as to Bengal also, where he subdues Behar Sinh, ruler of Dhacce, and gives the Government to Palais Sinh, on of Siládita, a son of the author's, making apparently the words Palas and Dhac, implying the same thing, a sort of tree.

Thread by Google

return he determined to found a city which should be the capital of his kingdom, and he accordingly constructed the city of Srinagar,* on the banks of the Fitasta, and embellished it with many palaces and temples; he also threw a bridge across the river. His being the founder of this city is confirmed by the Mohammedan writers, although, as one of them observes, it has undergone many vicissitudes since the period of its foundation. Prayara Séna reigned 63 years.

The successors of this prince were his son Yudhishi'nin, who reigned thirtynine years and three months, and his son Narkneraditya, or Lacshmaka,† who
ruled thirteen years; he was succeeded by his younger brother, to whose
reign the extravagant period of 300 years is assigned; an extravagance
the more remarkable, as it is without a parallel in our author's chronology,‡
and which must therefore have been suggested, either by a necessity for
filling up some dark chasm in the annals of Cashmir, or to compensate for
an error in the dates of the preceding monarchs, who may have been placed
two or three centuries too soon: both causes may perhaps have united for this
extraordinary departure from those bounds of possibility, which in all other
reigns have been preserved.

The length of Rasaditya's reign is not the only marvel attached to that prince; he had been in fact, in his former life, a man of dissipated habits, but at last, by his devotion to Bhramaravásini, a form of Durasa, obtained, as a reward, his resuscitation in a royal race, and the goddess herself as a consort, incarnate as Ranarambha, the daughter of Ratiséna, king of Chota.

Division Google

^{• &}quot;The city, which in the ancient annals of India was known by the name of Serinaghar, but now by that of the province at large, extends about three miles on each side of the river Jelum, over which are four or five wooden bridges."—Forster ii. 9.

[†] Jewdishter. Lekhmen. Zebadut.-Abulfazl.

[‡] Unlike the early periods of the Persian Caronicles, in which such a term is far from uncommon.

⁵ The traditions of the South intimate occasional connexions of a like character between the Chola and Cashmir princes. One of the former entitled in one account Satis Séchara and in another, Réjadi Reja Chola was married, it is said, to a daughter of the King of Cashmir.

The divine nature of his queen was the immediate cause of the king's protracted reign, as she conferred upon him the Pátâla Siddha Mantra, by which he was enabled to extend his life as long as he pleased. At last, however, satisted with this world, he entered the cave of Namuchi, in the bed of the Chandrabhága river, through which he passed to Pátâla, and acquired a kingdom in the infernal regions: his wife, regarded rather inconsistently as a Sacti of Vishnu, went upon her husband's death to Swétadwipa. The claims of the next monarch to the throne of Cashmir are not stated by our original, and the enumeration of his genealogical progenitors warrants a suggestion that he might have not been the immediate successor of Rakáditya; he was the son of Vicraméswara the s

A. D. 537—568

A. D.

Bilinta was a prince of a warlike character, and erected his pillars of victory on the shores of the eastern sea: one result of his victorious excursions was his compelling the subjugated monarchs to beautify Cashmir, and

Beckermadut.—Baladut.—Abulfazl. The Mohammedan writers agree with the text except Bedle-Ad-Dill. : he assigns a life of 165 years to this monarch, and a reign of no more than 40 years: he places also the 30th year of his reign as contemporary with the first of the Hijra, and describes his sending an embassador to Mohammed.

† Jayastambha, the Pillars of Sesac and the Trophies of the Greeks and Romans: that it was the custom of Hindu princes to erect these pillars is established by concurrent testimonies, and it is probable that it is to this practice we are to ascribe the origin of several solitary stooc columns still met with in India, as the Lat of Firez-shoft, the Cuttab minar, the pillar at Albabad, and those in Tirhut, and other places: in general however they were constructed, like the wooden trophies of the Greeks, of less dutable materials, and as observed by Plutarch, "Time has gradually effaced these memorials of national hostility."

; I am especially afraid of my manuscript here: it is alone, in this section of the history, and is very inaccurate It is said that this prince conquered Bancala or Bengal, a very uncommon name, however, in Hindu books of any period, Gaur or Banga being the usual term.

Division Google

to construct temples and edifices for the accommodation of such of their subjects, as might visit that kingdom.*

It was foretold to this prince by an astrologer, that he should be the last of the race of Gonerda, and his only daughter should transfer the kingdom to a different dynasty of princes.† The monarch was not well pleased with this prediction, and resolved to prevent its fulfilment, by refusing to grant his daughter in marriage at all: his precautions were unavailing: a descendant of Carcota Nága and protegé of the monarch, succeeded in obtaining privately the affections and person of the princess, and the assistance of the chief officers of state secured his accession to the throne, upon the death of the king, which happened shortly afterwards.

SECTION II.

D 616

DURLABHA VERDDHANA, the descendant of Carcota, thus obtained the princess and the kingdom, and founded a new and powerful dynasty: his reign was chiefly distinguished by his encouragement of religion, and the temples he founded, or the endowments he bestowed upon the Brahmans. He reigned 36 years, and was succeeded by his son.

- * Consistently with the former chronology Bcdia-ad-din makes this prince contemporary with Yczdejird, from whom he wrested the north eastern districts of Persia, but he confounds Báláditya with Pratápáditya here, and passes over the intermediate monarch altogether.
- † We have seen however the crown repeatedly pass into different families, and therefore our author nods; suless indeed he considered the princes so described, as members, not of a different race, but of other branches of the Gonerdiya stock.
- · 1 Dirleyir Dirwun .- Abu/fazl.
- § Carcota is one of the Najas or Serpent demigods; the name occurs, as well as Nila, in the list of them in the Mahabharata: a temple at Benarcs is also dedicated to this serpent deity.

The Less to Google

PRATÁPÁDITYA was the founder of Pratápapur, converied by local pro- A.B.652 nunciation into Tapar, according to the Mohammedan authorities. In this new city a merchant named Nona, of the Rauhitya race, took up his abode, and founded a college for the residence of Rauhitya Brahmans. Of the wealth of the trader it is stated as a proof, that on one occasion he lighted up his house with diamonds to receive the king, whom he had heard formerly complain of being inconvenienced by the smoke of the ordinary lamps. The familiarity between the prince and merchant led to some unexpected results: the former fell deeply in love with one of the merchant's women, and being unwilling either to commit a breach of hospitality, or to forfeit his fair name by a vicious act, he struggled with his passion and endeavoured to subdue it; the contest induced a fever, which threatened his life; he was saved however by the generosity of his friend, who learning the cause of his disease, not only yielded up the woman to the king, but exerted no small ingenuity in argument to persuade him to accept her : his logic however, made a due impression, and Naréndra Prabha was elevated to the royal bed : it was a fruitful one, as she bore the king seven sons, Chandrápíra, Tárápira, Abhimuctápira, Amuctápira, Vajráditya, Udayáditya, and Lalitás ditya; several of whom succeeded in time to the crown. PRATÁPÁDITYA died after a reign of 50 years.

· Chandraga,† the eldest son and successor of the last monarch, was a prince of exemplary mildness and equity. He punished his own officers, for encroaching on the tenements of a Chamar,† or worker in leather, in preparing the site of a temple which he wished to erect, and which design he was prepared to abandon if the consent of the leather-worker could not be obtained. Aliberal reward and his personal solicitation obtained the acquiescence of the Chamar; his ground was duly made over to the king, and the temple was completed. We have another legend of his equity, and dis-

* Pertaubadut .- .1bulfa: I.

+ Chandranund .- Abulfazl,

; Who as an out-cast could have no rights under a strict Hindu administration.

crimination respecting the means employed by him, to detect the murders or of a Brahman, but it need not be here repeated, as the story has been told by Abulfarl.* Chandrápína enjoyed the short reign of no more than eight years and eight months, and was succeeded by his brother Tárápína,† a violent and oppressive sovereign, and an enemy of the priesthood; his reign was fortunately a limited one, and extended to no more than four years, and a few days.

A third brother LALITADITYA; succeeded to the crown: he was a prince of great celebrity, and established by the vigour and success of his arms, his.

• Ay. Ac. ii. 175. 1 do not find in my copies, the description of the punishment awarded. Abulfazl says the murderer was branded in the forehead with the figure of a headless man, and that something of the kind was inflicted appears from the context, from which a stanza has been probably omitted: the punishment is according to law, which on no account permits the infliction of capital punishment on the person of a Brahman, but substitutes brands, exile and, disgrace.

In the Danda Vivica the Law is thus laid down from ancient authorities.

महायातक युक्तीर्धिय न निभेश नथम श्रीतः। विशेशनगढः मीर्थ्यं तथ कुर्व्यक्षहाशिषः ॥ इष्ट्यस्तिः॥

A Brahman guilty of the greatest crimes is not to be put to death; let the king have him shaved, branded, or exiled.— Vrihaspati.

बाह्यसम्बद्धाः मृत्यस्या गृत्यस्य सुरक्षेत्रस्य सुरायानेषु स्वन्धः भगस्यादः अत्रास्तरेनायसेनः स्वताटे अस्थितः स्ववियान्ते निर्वासनम् ॥ वैश्यायनः ॥

A Brahman who causes abortion, defiles the bed of his teacher, steals gold, or drinks spirits, must be branded with a bot iron on the forehead, with a headless figure, the vulva, the foot of a dog, or a flag, (the vintner's sign) and then be banished.—Baudhäyana.

र्षाष्ट्रराः पुरुषः कार्याः खलाटे विजवातिन ॥ गुरुतको भगः कार्यः सुराधाने सुराधनः। क्षेत्रेतु वयदं क्रता क्रिकिपिनेन मूरुयेत्॥ नारदः॥

A headless map is to be stamped on the forehead (of a Brahman) who kills a Brahman; the vulva on his who defiles his Guru's bed; a flag on his who drinks wine, and the foot of a dop his who commits theft; filling the scar with Sichipitta (Peacock's bile, or possibly some caustic substance.)—Nered

† Taranund .- Abulfazl,

1 Lultadut.- Ibid.

Distribution Google

elaims to the supreme sovereignty of India, having made with his victorious armies the triumphant circuit of Hindustan. His first scene of action was in the Antervedi country, the diadem of which he placed upon his own head. He then turned his arms against Yaśovermá, at that time sovereign of Canouj, a prince distinguished for his literary accomplishments, and the patronage extended by him to such eminent Poets, as Cantrácpati, Rájá Saí and Bhannhúth. A peace was soon agreed upon between the monarch's but as speedily violated: some informality in the address of a dispatch from Yaśovermá to Lalitáditya having excited the latter's resentment, led to a renewal of hostilities, and the total subversion of the kingdom of Canouj.

Although thus occupied inforeign war, the prince appears to have devoted some attention to the details of domestic administration, and to have made a new arrangement of the great offices of his court: over the eighteen branches of the government, he instituted five principal departments, the Mahápratiharápíra, or office of high-chamberlain; Mahásandhíveigraha, that of chief minister, or supreme administrator of peace and war; Maháswaśálá, of the Royal stables, or of master of the horse; Mahábhándágára, of the high keepes of the treasury or arsenal, or perhaps both; and the Mahásádhanabhága, an office of which the nature is not fully conveyed by the nomenclature, but which may perhaps be the supreme directorial or executive administration. Sáhi and others were the officers invested with these high functions.

*The two former of these are unknown. The third is celebrated as the author of the Málatt Mádhasa, and the Utara Rôma charitra. He might have been at the court of Canonj, but he was of a Berzer or Viderbha family: he is usually considered as contemporary with Cátidása, and in the Bhaja Prabandha is brought to Bhaja's court. His own works however afford no reason to suppose he was cotemporary with either Cátilnása, or Bhoja, and with respect to the latter, furnish grounds for inferring the prior date of the Poet. The Raja Taringin'i is therefore probably correct in placing him about A. D. 705 or nearly two centuries before the probable period of Bhoja's reign. Yasoverna himself is not known, unless he be the same with KIRTIVERNA', an appellation of like import, and a prince who is mentioned in the opening of the Prabodóa Chandrodaya.

Distrector Google

YASOVERMÁ, after the subjugation of his kingdom, fled across the Yamuna, and nothing more is mentioned of his history: his victorious antagonist followed up his success by an expedition to the shores of the eastern sea: thence marching through Calinga, the Royal Elephants advanced upon the kingdom of Gaur, and effected its subjugation. Lalitáditya thence proceeded southwards, and invaded Carnáta, then subject to a queen named Rafra, who submitted to the invader, after having seen her strong holds in the Vindhyá mountains unavailing to resist him; her submission having disarmed the king's resentment, her beauty seemed his favour, and she was restored to her dominions. . The army then marched to the banks of the Cáveri, whence crossing the Sandal mountains, the king subdued the coast, and the Islands opposite: having reduced the seven Cramucas, and seven Concanas, Lalitáditya continued to follow the shores of the western sea to Dwaraca, which he entered to the delight of his soldiers : he then crossed the Vindhya mountains, and occupied Avanti, whence having made the circuit of India, and received the homage of its numerous princes, he now directed his steps to the north: his march was a series of conflicts and triumplis: he was successively assailed by the princes of the country, like another Indra engaged in clipping the wings of the hostile hills: the studs of Cámboja were vacated at his approach, and Bukhara was deserted by its high-crested steeds: after three successful battles in as many. days, he respected the Musselmans, and directed his attention to other quarters. The pale-faced Bhottas scarcely attracted his regard, as the cold wind, impregnated with the blossoms of the safflower, and the secretion of the Musk deer, fanned the tresses of his soldiers: the city of Pragjyotish was empty on his arrival, and he turned thence to the Stri Rájya, where the queen and her subjects triumphed over the monarch and his soldiers, by other weapons than those of war; after a short delay in that country, he

Distreto Google

^{*} Bedia-ad-dia carries him into Khorasan to aid Yezdejird, but he retreats before the fame of the Arab invaders.

advanced to the realms of Uttara Curu, whence satiate with glory, and 1:-den with plunder he returned to his own dominions.*

On his return to Cashmir Lalitáditya rewarded his principal officers by bestowing upon them subordinate kingdoms: in this way he conferred upon his dependants the principal cities of Jalandhara and Lahora (Lahore:) he also devised particular marks to be borne by the different tribes, as characteristic of their submission to his power. Thus the Turushcas were obliged to shave half the head, and the Dekhinis to let the ends of their waist cloth hang down like a tail behind, and these distinctions are still

· Whatever may be the truth of the military excursion of this Prince, the account of it given in the original, which has been here followed as closely as the state of the manuscript would admit, is a very curious specimen of the author's geographical accuracy and knowledge, and throws some light upon the state of India at the period at which he wrote ; it may therefore bu worth while to revise his track : from Canonj through the eastern districts of the present Company's possessions, Lalitaditya may be supposed to have marched to the delta of the Ganges. and Berhamputra, where we have what our author calls the Eastern Sea; and the coast along the upper part of the bay of Bengal, therefore, constitutes the country that he calls Calinga, whence a slight deviation to the right brings him easily to Gaur, equivalent in its widest sense, to the greater part of the modern Bengal. The transit hence to Carnátá is rather a considerable stride, although it is obvious that the upper part of the Peninsula is intended, by reference to the Durgas of the Vindhya chain of mountains, unless indeed we extend the term to the eastern Ghants, which may be considered as lateral processes from the main ridge; as indeed the next stage is the Caveri river, we come then to the southern limits usually assigned to the ancient Carnátá kingdom. The Sandal or Malaya mountains are the western Ghauts, over which as the king marched from Mysore he would necessarily come into the Concan: the seven divisions of which, as well as the seven Cramucas, are something new to us, although from the voyages of the two Arabians, and of the early Portuguese and Dutch adventurers, we know, that that part of the Malabar coast was divided amongst a great number of petty sovereigns. The seven Concerns are indeed known in the Dekhin still, and comprehend the whole of the Parasu Rama Cshetra, or the greater part of the Malabar coast: they are named Kerala (Malabar), Tulunya or Tulura, Gova Ráshtra or Goa, Concana proper, Kerátaha, Varalatta and Berbera; the seven Cramucas, it might have been conjectured, were connected with the term Cranganore, but the original name of that province is properly written Corangalur काउन्हरून.
and they possibly signify some of the groupes of islands off the coast of Malabar; the island of
Dudracé, in Guzerat, the kingdom of Crishnia, is the next stage, and was visited more in vene. , ration than enmity: from hence across the Vindhya mountains the king comes to Oujein: his march to the north, or rather northwest, brings him to Camboja; according to Wilford (A. R. viii.

observed: • if he thus treated the vanquished with some contumely, he made amends by his munificence, for there was no part of India, where

336,) the ancient Arachosia, and unquestionably a country in that direction, a country bordering on India, to the northwest, and inhabited by impure or foreign tribes, samous also for its breed of horses, a large strong breed of which is still reared in the countries between Persia and India. Bhukhara is the Persian Bokhara or Bucharia; the word rendered in the text Musselman is written in the original Mussuni or Mussulli; it is intended by our author as the name of a person, for it occurs again in the reign of LALITADITYA's grandson JAYAPIRA, who is said in the original to have had Mussuni and others as chiefs of his nocturnal guard; at the same time the recurrence of the name after such an interval, indicates rather more than one individual, an I is an argument in favor of its being a generic appellation: according to Narain Cul it should be Momunkhan, Governor or Prince of Bokhara: if he is right, it should be Al-maimun of the house of Abbas that is intended, and who long resided in Kharasan, but about a century after the reign of Lalitáditya, according to the chronology of our text; the correction that would thus be required does not however seem to be indispensible, as our author's history here, allowing for national partialities, is very strongly anpported by the general historica of the Mohammedau writers. At this very period, or from 697 to 712, the generals of Hijez, the Governor of Khorasan, were engaged in active hostilities with their neighbours, both to the north and east, or in Bokhara and Cabul, the Hindu prince of which latter makes a distinguished figure in several transactions, (Price's Mohammedan History, i. 454, &c.) Such a general coincidence is as much as can be expected, for names are most deplorably distigured by both Hindu and Mohammedan writers, and events, especially when remote in place and time, are not investigated by either with much accuracy or care. LALITADITYA's next route through Butan is rather a remote one, except we suppose the name Bhotcas to be applied to the hill tribes on the northern side of the Himalaya: the route is practicable enough, and would be much the same as that followed by the Lamas in 1712, and by which a considerable intercourse between Cashmir and Chinese Tartary is still maintained, tsee Moorcroft's Travels; that the Bhot eas are scattered through this line we know from late authorities. Hamilton observes that the Bhoteas occupy every where between the hills and the Tista the Alpine region on both sides of the Indus, (Hamilton's Nepal, 58); and Fraser mentions that Hymap, a valley, containing a great number of Bhotea villagea, is only four day's journey from the Capital of Cashmir (Fraser's Himala, 308): however our author evidently intends to carry his hero into Boston proper, a journey of considerable extent although probably not so much so as it appears by the maps we yet possess; Pragjyotish is considered to be Gokati in Asam, (A. R. viii. 336.) the Stri Rajga is probably Tibet, where customs similar to those of the Malabar Nairs prevail, (Tarner's Embassy, 319); it may however be Nepal or almost any portion of the Himalaya, (Kirkpatrick, 187, Fraser, 70, &c.) where the same practice exists, but as the march leads off from Asam apparently to the north, we may regard this region to be Tibet. Of Uttara Curu we shall have further occasion to speak.

* The neighbouring Mussulmans like most Mohammedans indeed, do shave the centre of the head still, and the people of the coast wear their lower garments long: that these habits were

Director Google

he did not erect statues and temples of the Gods: a very long enumeration ensues of these proofs of his liberality, of which it will here be necessary only to particularize a few. He founded the cities of Sunischitapura, Derpitapura, Phalapura, Lalitápura and Parihásapura: in Hushcapur he erected an image of Mucta Swami, and one of Nrihari in the Stri Rájya. In the Bhumi Grama, he built the temple of Jyéshta Rudra, and over and along the $\mathit{Vitust\'a}$ he built bridges and stone ghats. $\mathit{Parih\'asupura}$ was his favorite work; in this city, he built a palace of unhewn stone, and a variety of royal and religious edifices: he raised a column of one stone, 24 cubits long, and bearing on the summit an image of Garuda: he placed in the temples images of metal; one of VISHNÝ as PARIHÁSA CÉSAVA was made of pure silver, weighing 1000 palas, and another colossal figure of Buddha was constructed of 1000 Prast has of brass; a figure of HARI with flowing hair, was set up of gold, and another golden image was made by him of the same deity in the Varáha Avatár. His example was imitated by his queens, by tributary princes, and by his ministers, one of whom, a second Jina, named CHANCUNA, a native of Bokhára, erected a Vihar, and set up in it an image, made in Magadha or Behar, called indifferently by our author Jina Vimba and Sugata Vimbu, and therefore of undetermined character as to its being of Bauddha or Jaina manufacture, although most probably the former: the foundation of Parihásapur* or Parrispur and its embellishment by this prince are recorded by the Mohammedan writers, of whom MOHAMMED AZIM adds,

imposed by the kings of Cachmir may be denied even on Hindu authority. In the Hari Vansa, a portion of the Mahabharata, and certainly much older than the work before us, the following account is given of the imposition, of the distinguishing modes of wearing the bair, upon the tribes of Micché has or foreigners: "The king Sagara in obedience to the orders of his Gara, Vasishta, deprived the Michek has of their Institutes, and imposed upon them these marks: the Sacas had half the head shaved, the Yaranas and Cambojar the whole of their hirst taken off, the Paradas were ordered to wear beards." These customs might perhaps admit of verification, and might enable us to identify the tribes. Some of the Greeks were from a remote period accustomed to shave the fore part of the head: the mountaineers of the Himalya shave the crown, as do the people of Ceferistan with the exception of a single tuft, and some of these people, which is a curious coincidence, are called Caumojees, (Elphinstone's Cabul, 610 and 625); they also some of them wear heards five or six inches long. The Persians also were long heards in the time of Anuminus Marcellinus, as they do still.

Purrispoor is mentioned in the Ay. Ac. ii. 150, with the addition that a lofty idolatrous temple stood there, which was destroyed by Secander. Refi-ed-dia also converts the column into the minarets of a temple.

that the fragments of the pillar of Garuda were visible in his time: the statue of Sugata also remained to the period in which our author wrote.

Lalitáditya is the subject of many marvellous stories, one of which reminds us of the exploit of Zopyrus: the minister of the king of Sicata Sindhu, probably of Tatta, presented himselfin a wounded and deplorable state before the king, upon one of his expeditions. Lalitáditya took him into favor; in return for which he offered to lead the army across the desert, against his native country, and his offer being accepted, he directed the king to provide water for a fortnight's march; at the expiration of the fortnight the army was still in the midst of the sands, and the men were perishing with thirst, the guide acknowledging that he had been employed by his sovereign to effect the destruction of the king and his host: the attempt of the enemy was foiled, however, by the discovery of some springs, and the king returned in safety to Cashmir, after punishing his treacherous guide; the springs then opened were said to exist in our author's time, and to form a considerable stream running to the north called Kuntaváhini.*

Lalitadity a, although the substantial proofs of his devotion left no doubt of his piety, was yet not free from faults: amongst other defects he was addicted to wine, and in one of his drunken fits he ordered the city Pravarapur founded by Pravara Séna to be burnt, that it might no longer emulate the splendour of his own capital. His orders were carried rigidly into effect, to his own deep regret when sobered—and as one proof of the sense he entertained of the transaction, he immediately issued positive commands, for his officers to disregard any mandates whatever, that he should promulgate, whilst under the influence of wine.†

We have an account, in this part of LALITADITYA's reign, of some tu-

^{*} The story is but imperfectly told here, but the text is so corrupt. I should scarcely have ventured to select even the above, had I not been countenanced by Narain Cul, who translates the story in much the same way, altering the name of the country to Maruca (that is, a desart tract) on the ocean.

[†] Soit was related of Trajon, who indulged in a similar propensity. Vinolentiam prudentia molliverat; cuari vetans jussa post longiores epulas.—.Aarelius Victor.

multuous affray having taken place in his capital, between the followers of different deities: the exact nature of it does not satisfactorily appear from the imperfect condition of the manuscripts, but there seems to have been a conflict between a number of Bengali pilgrims, who had come with their prince to Cashmir to visit a temple of Saraswati, and the people of the city: the former had made an image of Parihása Hari, and broken one of Ráma Swámi, and to punish the latter act the citizens assailed them: the Bengalis appear to have had the advantage, as the desolated temple of Ráma Swámi continued to bear witness to their success, and the world was filled with the fame of the exploit: the author of the Wakiat-i-Cashmir calls the king of Gaur, Gośála, without however assigning any authority for the appellation.*

The death of LALITADITYA was worthy of his active reign: he resolved to explore the uttermost limits of Uttara Curu, the regions inhabited by the followers of Cuvéra, and equally inaccessible to the steps ofman, and the rays of the sun: † he accordingly marched northwards, crossing the mountains inhabited by the Dámaras, whom he describes in a letter to his ministers as a fierce intractable race, lurking in caves and fortified passes, possessed of considerable wealth, and equally devoid of government or religion: in the same dispatch he announces the probability of his not returning, for, he observes,

- * The same work speaks of it as a hostile incursion of the Bengalis, and Narain Cul has the same, ascribing that event to the design of revenging the death of their king, who had been invited publicly, and privately put to death by Luttrourts, one of whose faults, he says, was that of disregarding ouths and agreements: a not uncommon falling to princes of Luttrourts, ambition. Beliefs and disagrees with the latter author. There may possibly be some connection between this transaction and what is recorded in the Sancara Digrijaya of the reformer Sancara Kehárya, who, it is said, visited Cashmir, and in despite of strenuous opposition, seated himself on the throne dedicated to the Mos Learned, in the temple of SARASWATI. The place corresponds, so probably does the date: names only may have been changed.
- † This Hindu Cimmeria is of course the land of fable, but as far as it may be supposed to have a real prototype Uttara Curu seems to imply the northern portion of Russian and Chinese Tartary. The name however appears to have been known nearer home, and to have been applied to the North Eastern por-tion of the Himála mountains. Ptolemy places in that position a nation called the Ottorocorce amongst mountains of the same name, and Ammionus Marcellimus calls the same mountain Opurocarra. It is not impossible however that they intend the northern part of Asam called Uttaracora, Uttaracola or Uttaracul. Lalitaditya probably perished amongst the chasms and snows of the Himilaya.

there are no limits to the advance of the ambitious, as there is no return of the water, which the rivers, running into foreign countries, bear far away from its native springs. In consequence of this expectation, he directed the ministers to crown his son, CUVALAYADITYA, with which order they sorrowfully complied. The king's anticipations were realized: neither he nor his army ever returned, and their fate was never exactly known. Some reports say, that he was slain in battle; others that he and his host were overwhelmed and lost in a heavy fall of snow in Aryánaca. Some persons believe that he burnt himself, whilst others credit the tales that carry him to the farthest north, to those climes that are easily accessible to the immortals only, and speak of the wonders there seen and performed by him, and the final destruction of him and his troops. LALITADITYA reigned 36 years and eight months: he was a popular prince, and much beloved by those about his person: his chief ministers were all deeply afflicted by his loss, and one of them, MITRA ŚERMÁ, disdaining to survive his master, drowned himself at the confluence of the Sindhu and Vitasta.

A D.

Cuvalayápíra,* the son of Lalitáditya by Camaládéví, succeeded to his father; in the first days of his reign, apprehending the rebellion of his brother, a prince of a more active and violent temper, he put him and his mother Chaeramerdica into confinement: thus relieved from the fear of domestic disturbances he began to contemplate foreign acquisitions, when he was diverted from his purpose by a change in the tenor of his reflections: having been thrown into a paroxysm of fury by an act of unimportant disobedience, in one of his ministers, he reflected, when he became calm, upon the folly of yielding to the impulses of passion: his meditations extended farther, and convincing him of the futility of human power, and the shortness of human existence, he determined to exchange his kingly throne for the cell of an ascetic. Having adopted this determination, he withdrew to the mountain Driepat ha, leaving, after a short reign of little more than a year, the crown to his brother Vajraddityn.†

* Kulyanund.-Abulfazl.

+ Bijradut.-- Ibid.

Division Google

This prince was of a cruel and abandoned character: he expended his paternal treasures upon sensual gratifications, and drained Parilásapur of its valuables and money, to purchase women for his haram: to raise money also he sold great numbers of his subjects to the Michelihus, and propagated through the country, tenets and practices, fit for them alone: fortunately his reign was a short one, lasting only seven years.

PRITHIVYÁPÍRA, * the elder son of VAJRÁDITVA, by the queen Manjáricá, succeeded his father, both in the throne and in his habits of life: at the end of four years, however, he was dethroned by his brother Sangramapira, the son of MAMMA, one of VAJRADITYA's concubines apparently; this prince reigned seven years, and was succeeded by his younger brother,

JAYÁPÍRA,† a monarch who was emulous of his grand-father's, Lalitádi-TYA's, renown. Shortly after his accession, this prince marched upon an expedition against his neighbours: his army was numerous and well appointed, but not equally so with those which LALITADITYA had commanded, as a proof of which some of the elderly citizens observed to the king, who had questioned them on the subject, that he had but 80,000 litters with his army, whilst his grand-father had 125,000. He proceeded however on his expedition, and when he had marched some distance, JAJJA+ his wife's brother, availed himself of the opportunity to usurp the throne, and prepared for the maintenance of his unjust pretensions. JAYÁPÍRA's first determination, on receiving intelligence of the usurpation, was to march back to Cashmir, but on taking a review of his army, he found so many soldiers had deserted him, that he was not in a condition to vindicate his rights; he therefore disbanded the troops yet adhering to him, and with a few faithful followers retired to Prayaga; arrived here, he gave to the Brahmans, the horses lately belonging to his army, amounting to 100,000 all but one, the grant declaring that whoever should give an entire lac, might efface the seal of Ja-YÁPÍRA, and substitute his own: this grant he committed to the Ganges, the

1 Jujuund .- Ibid.

Districted by Google

t Jeyanund .- Ibid.

waters of which were rendered purer by the ingredient; after a short residence at *Allahabad* he dismissed his attendants, and determined to seek his fortune by himself.

The adventures of JAYAPÍRA at Paundraverdhana* then the residence of JAYANTA, king of Gaur, are the next subjects of our original, and are narrated with a prolixity that we need not emulate; he arrived alone and in humble attire at the city, where his dignified person and manner, attracted the notice of one of the female dancers of a temple, by whom he was taken home and supported: whilst in this situation he killed in private encounter a lion that had alarmed the whole city, and having in the conflict lost one of his bracelets, on which his name was inscribed, he was thence discovered by the emissaries of JAYANTA, and carried before that monarch; his reception was highly favourable. JAYANTA gave him his daughter in marriage, and furnished him with an army for the recovery of his paternal dominions, to which he was also invited by Déva Sermá, the son of MITRA ŚERMÁ, deputed for that purpose by the nobles of Cashmir: he accordingly set forth on his return, the goddess of victory in his van; and in his rear, the two terrestrial goddesses, Calyánandá, the princess his wife, and Camalá the dancer, whom out of gratitude he had also espoused: at a village called Susticala on the borders of Cashmir, he was opposed by the usurper, and a series of conflicts ensued without being attended, for several days, with any decisive result; at last Sridéva, a Chandála, the head-man of a village, who had joined the king, made his way to the spot where JAJJA was stationed, and struck him from off his horse with a stone. Jujja fell dead upon the field, his followers fled, and JAYAPIRA after an interval of three years was again acknowledged as monarch of Cashmir.

The cares of Jayanina were now directed to the cultivation of letters, and the improvement of his kingdom: he devoted much of his time to study, and made himself a proficient in Sanscrit Grammar, under Csuina, a learn-

Dispession Google

A city In Behar, it is believed, but Magadha, in that case, must have been subject to the kings of Heagal, whose power about the time in question, the beginning of the ninth century, does appear to Heagal and the content of the

ed teacher." He invited scholars from foreign countries and so many flocked to him as to occasion a dearth of Panditas every where, except in Cashmir: the chief of the assembly was Bhulla, and he was assisted by Dámodara-GUPTA; the principal poets were MANORATHA, SANC'HADENTA, CHÁTACA and SANDHIMÁN, whilst VÁMANA and others were amongst his ministers.† The principal foundation of his reign was the fort of Jayápura, in the construction of which he was assisted by artists sent him by VIBHÍSHANA, the Rácshasa monarch of Lanca, whilst Achu, the son-in-law of PRAMODA king of MATHURA, and JAYADATTA one of the king's principal ministers, contributed to its embellishment; the one by a temple of SIVA, and the other by a Brahminical college: besides this, JAYAPIRA built Malhanapur in Cashmir, and his wives founded the cities Calyánapur and Camalápur, places named after themselves.

After a short period of tranquillity, JAYAPIRA resumed his military enterprises: his first exploit was the reduction of a strong fort belonging to BHÍMA SÉNA, king of the eastern region, and he thence proceeded against ARAMURI, the magician, king of Nipal, t whom, at the end of two or three days march, he found posted with his forces on the southern bank of a river: the appearance of the enemy inflamed the courage of the king to temerity; without a previous knowledge of the country, he rushed into the river, and left his bravest warriors behind him; the stream at first was no



^{*} This name is not known unless Cahira Swami, the commentator on Amera, be intended; the conjecture is supported by the nature of his instruction, and qualifications, the author calling him श्रद्धि।याध्यायः or teacher of the science of words.

[†] It is not practicable to ascertain with any degree of certainty, any further particulars relating to the individuals named in the text. BHATTA is a title rather than a name, and is applied to several authors known to be natives of Cashmir, as MAUMATA BHATTA, the author of the Kavya Prakkas, and others. DÁMODARA may be the author of the musical work called Sampita Dámodara and there is a Ballariz Rhown to be mattered to the author of the musical work called Sangita Demodera and there is a Vánana Acharya, who is the author of a set of poetical Suitras and of a Vritis or gloss upon them. The poetical propensities of the prince accord with the character of these writings: the other names offer nothing even for conjecture.

I There is no such name however in Kirkpatrick's lists of the Nepal kings.

more than knee deep, but it suddenly rose, and swept away the king and his army: the greater part of the soldiers were drowned. The king, continuing to struggle with the waves, was carried down the stream: the cries of one army were echoed by the shouts of the other, and some soldiers of the enemy mounted on inflated skins, rushed into the torrent, and captured the drowning prince: he was dragged to the shore, and confined in a strong castle on the banks of the Gandicá,* his broken and dismayed army retreating hastily to Cashmir.

The return of the troops, carrying the news of their discomfiture, and of the captivity of the king, spread consternation throughout Cashmir: the ministers immediately assembled to deliberate on what was to be done, when DEVA ŚERMÁ, the son of the faithful MITRA SARMÁ, undertook to effect the liberation of the monarch; for this purpose he wrote to Aramuri, holding out promises of securing to him both the kingdom and treasures of Javá-PIRA, if admitted to his presence. The terms were readily accepted, and the minister attended by a considerable body of forces, entered Nipal; his army he led to the banks of the Gandica, opposite to the fort which held his master captive, whilst he himself repaired to the court of Aranust: at a private conference with the king of Nipal, DEVA SERMA represented to him, that the treasures of Jayápíra were with the army, but their amount and distribution were known to Jayapína alone; that it would be advisable therefore for him to have an interview with that prince, and learn from him under some plausible pretence, these particulars, as otherwise the money might be lost or embezzled, and Aramuri be disappointed of a valuable prize. The Nipalese was deceived: orders were given for Deva Śermá to be admitted privately to JAYAPIRA, and the minister thus found himself in his master's presence.

In the interview that followed, DEVA SERMA urged the king to let him-

^{*} Possibly the Gandari or Gandack river: if however the fort of Bhimasena should be Bime near Nagracot, this appellation must be applied to some other river.

self down from the window of his prison, and swim over the river to his troops, but Jayápíra urged its impracticability, not only on account of the height of the window from the ground, but the impossibility of crossing the torrent without assistance: after some discussion, the minister withdrew, purposing professedly to return, but as a considerable interval elapsed during which he did not appear, the king went to seek him, and found him dead on the floor of an adjoining chamber, strangled with his own turban: beside him lay a leaf, on which he had written these words with his nail; "You must effect your escape; I die to enable you: my body inflated wity your breath will serve you as a float, tie yourself with my turban, and quickly cross the river." Penetrated with admiration at the proof of attachment, and with grief for the loss of so faithful a friend, the king obeyed his posthumous counsel, and safely effected a junction with his troops: eager to wipe off his disgrace he fell upon the unprepared and astonished Nepalese, killed their king, and left their country a depopulated waste.

Returning to Cashmir JAYÁPÍRA spent some time in the enjoyment of the treasures he had acquired by the late expedition, when an extraordinary occurrence gave a new complexion to his character, and changed him into an oppressive and extortionary prince. Манарадма the Naga appeared to him in a dream, and implored his aid against a magician of Drávira, whose enchantments sought to secure the person of the Nágu, and carry him off. MAHÁPADMA promised the king as a reward for his protection, that he would reveal to him the existence of a gold mine, and then disappeared. In the morning, the king not quite satisfied of the veracity of the Nága, sent for the magician, and desired him to shew him the person of the snake God: this the magician effected; the waters of a lake retiring at his command, exposed the Nága and his serpent train. JAYAPÍBA however would not allow the magician to seize his prey, but ordering him to recall the waters of the lake, gave him a liberal recompense, and sent him to his own country. The Nága soon visited him again in his slumbers, but instead of a mine of gold, he punished him for his want of faith, by discovering to him the site of a copper mine, a source of considerable though inferior wealth: the mine was accordingly wrought, and in the course of his reign the king coined 100 crore of Dinars* less one, challenging all the princes of the world to exceed this coinage, and complete the 100 crore.

The taste for wealth acquired by the king, became fatal to his subjects: to accumulate treasure he levied heavy exactions on all ranks of people, and particularly oppressed the brahmans, by resuming the endowments, which he or his predecessors had bestowed upon them: their complaints and remonstrances were unavailing with the king and his ministers, Siva Dasa and others, a set of Cágast has, incapable of any generous feelings, whose extortion drove a hundred brahmans of Túlamúla to drown themselves in the Chandrabhágá: to the supplications of the sacredotal order, the king shewing entire indifference, he at last attracted their menaces: these he ridiculed, but was finally punished for his impiety: in consequence of a curse denounced upon him by one of the order, he met with an accidental fall; a wound ensued in one of his legs, and this breeding a number of worms, which preyed upon the king's body, he died in the greatest agony, after a reign of thirty-one years.† Lalitápíra, who succeeded Jayápíra was his son, by Durgá Dévi; he was a dissolute prince, who lavished his fa-

Marind by Google

[•] These were copper Dinara it is to be supposed.

[†] The fate of this prince, as told with great exultation in the original, is a curious specimen of Brahminical arrogance and superstition: it is not without a parallel however in the writers of Europe, during the ascendancy of monkish authority; the conversation between the prince and priests, narrated in a somewhat dramatic form, is not without spirit; we may easily put it into dialogue.

A Brahman. MENU, MANDIÁTÁ, RÁNA, and other sovereigns, mighty as they were, treated with

A Brahman. MENC, MANDIATA, RANA, and other sovereigns, nighty as they were, treated with reverence and awe the Brahmanical order, whose resistless wrath cogaumes earth and its mountains, hell and its serpent brood, and even Suerge and its gods, and king.

The King. Here's a big mouth, that fed upon a beggar's crumbs, and drunk with pride, talks of its power with all the confidence of a holy seer.

Ittila, a Brahman. The revolutions of time have worked some change, but it is by submitting to a master, that we have coased to be Rithis.

The King. Who art thou? Viswantras perhaps, or Vasisht'ha, or Agastya! I crave your pardon.

Ittile. And thou—thou art Harischandra, Trisancu or Nahusha; if so, I am Viswamitras, or who I please.

The King. By the anger of Viswamitra, Harischandra was destroyed: what am I to dread from your mighty indignation.

ther's ill-gotten treasures on parasites and prostitutes, and instead of pandits and heroes, made buffoons and catamites his companions. He died after a reign of twelve years, of the grossest and lowest debauchery.

Sanghámápíra, his brother by another mother, the princess Calyána Dévínext ascended the throne: he was also known by the name of Prithivyápíra; he reigned seven years.* The next monarch of Cashmir was Chippatalya, a son of Lalitápíra, by a prostitute, named Jáya Déví, otherwise Calvápáli, as the daughter of a Calyapála or distiller, of Acha village: the brothers of this woman had been brought to court by the king, and their nephew, being yet a minor, they took the government into their own hands: they were five in number, named Padma, Utpala, Calvása, Mamma, and Dherma, and their ambition opens a scene of domestic discord and calamity, to which we have yet been strangers in the bistory of Cashmir.

The uncles of the young king divided amongst themselves the places and profits of the government, and assumed the supreme authority in the kingdom: the power they thus enjoyed they were not disposed to relinquish, and when the young prince exhibited a disposition to assert his independance, they deposed and put him to death, having suffered him to enjoy a nominal reign of twelve years: as they were too jealous of each other to suffer the ascendancy of either, they found it expedient to raise another prince to the throne, and they elevated to the titular rank of king, TRIBHUVANÁPÍRA, also called AJITÁPÍRA,† the grandson of LALITÁDITYA, and son of an elder brother of

A. D. 804

fittile. (Rubbing his hand on the ground,) Lord of all time, at my just indignation, let the punishment due to the insulter of a Brahman, fall upon this prince.

ment due to the insulter of a Brahman, fall upon this prince.

The King. Let it fall; why does it delay! (The king's golden staff slips and he tumbles.)

The Brahman. Ha! Babbler, has it not fallen on thee!!

^{*} My manuscript has seven; Asulfazt has thirty-seven; which is an evident error as is shown by the aggregate of the reigns of the Dynasty which he calls 257 years, 6 mouths, and 20 days; but which according to the addition of the several dates is 287-5. There being just the thirty years too much; the names in the translated Ay. Ac. here are written successively, Lulanund, Sunyrumanund, Brigut.

[†] Ajeyanand.-Ay. Ac.

the last monarch. Under the name of AJITÁPÍRA, the five usurpers continued for a period of thirty-six years,* to possess the real sovereignty of Cashmir, and they veiled their violence and injustice by a liberal distribution of the public treasures, and the foundation of splendid temples, and rich endowments. It was not likely that the brothers should always continue on friendly terms, and a dispute arose between Mamma and Utpala, which occasioned a furious battle† on the borders of the Vitastá. Utpala, it should seem, was defeated and killed, chiefly through the valour of Yasovermá, the son of Mamma: the victor proceeded to dethrone and kill the king, his accession having been principally the work of Utpala, and place Anangápíra, on the throne.

The principal actors in the turbulent period of the last reign, now disappear from the history, and are succeeded by their sons, without our being informed further of the fortunes of the usurping fraternity. The princes became mere pageants in the hands of these enterprising chiefs, with the unenviable distinction of being the first victims to the resentment of the conquerors. Alitapíra, we have seen, was put to death by the son of Mamma: his successor was not more fortunate; as after a short reign of three years, he suffered a similar fate from the hands of Suc'ha Vermá, the now triumphant son of Utpala. This chief, created king, the son of Alitapíra, the predecessor of the last monarch; his name was Utpalapíra, and he was to be the last of the Carcota dynasty, for Suc'ha Vermá being slain by a kinsman, his friends and followers, determined to place his son, Avanti

[•] Reckoning, says our author, from the death of their nephew which happened in the year 80, start-ling us at once with a new computation, familiar of course to the Cashmirians, but to others requiring an explanation, which he has not given of it: the kind of date frequently recurs, and it is observable that it always stops short of 100, as if a cycle of 100 years had been adopted in Cashmir: sometimes, as in the present instance, the date nearly corresponds with the odd years of the centuries of the Hijra, but the approximation is not always near enough to make it probable that reference to the Hijra is jatended.

 $[\]dagger$ It has been parrated, according to Calhana, by Sancaca, a poet, in a poem named Bhuvanabhyudaya.

¹ Anthanund.-Ay. Ac. 4 Atbalanurg H Ay. Ac.

VERMÁ on the throne. Utpalápírá was accordingly deposed, and the son of Suc'ha Vermá, the founder of the Utpala* dynasty, succeeded.

SECTION III.

THE accession of Avanti Vermát was not suffered to take place without opposition, and he had to undergo many conflicts with his own cousins, and even with his brothers, before his dominion was established. By his valour and prudence, however, aided by the sage counsel of Sura the minister, to whom he was chiefly indebted for his crown, he overcame all opposition, and remained the undisputed sovereign of Cashmir.

Having restored order and tranquillity, the king nominated Suravermá his brother by a different mother, Yuvaraja, and the two brothers were both distinguished for their liberal and public spirit: the king gave large resents to the Brahmans, and the Yuvaraja bestowed upon them the Agraháras, K'haduya and Hastikerna, constructing a temple and statue of Gocula. Their example was followed by the younger brothers, and the ministers of the two princes, and a variety of towns, temples and images embellished the kingdom. Amongst these we may specify the following; Avantipura, a city founded by the king at Visuakéswara Cshétra, in which he also erected a temple to Avantiswar, or Siva, whose worship he had now adopted, in place of the Vaishnava tenets in which he had been educated. He also erected

• His grand-father: it is difficult here to keep the narrative clear, amidst the rapid succession to many uncommon names. The deposition of Utpalapira happened, according to the author of the Wakiat-i-Cashmir, in the year of the Hijra 209; he is not quite right in his computation, as agreeably to our author's series of dates it must be placed about A. D. 862. It may be here observed that Abulfazi has altered what may be called the family designation of most of the Carcota princes, and has changed the terminating name Apira to Anenda. In the next list we have another change but that is a mere misreading, the family name Verms is converted into Derma the Vax 2 and Dal 2 being easily mistaken for each other. Varna is an adjunct expressing a Coherriya or military descent; the present possessors however seem to have assumed it, as the founder of the family, UTPALA, and his brothers were apparently of a less respectable origin.

† Aduniderma._Abulfazl.

United by Google

here three statues of the same deity, under the names of TRIPURÉSWARA, BHUTÉSA and VIJAYÉSA, with bathing vessels and stools of silver.

Surapur, a city founded by the minister; also a temple of the associated Sivas, and a college for Ascetics at Suréswari cshétra. His son also established a Mat'ha, and his wife built the temple of Sada Siva at Surapur, a city which has since changed its name to Dhucca.*

The minister who was thus the founder of cities, was also a munificent patron of the learned, and the names of Muctacána, Siva Swámí, Ananda-Verdhana, Retnácara and Ramaja are enumerated as illustrious objects of his patronage.

The reign of AVANTIVERMÁ was rendered remarkable by a severe famine, occasioned it is said by the rivers deserting their customary beds, and deluging the surrounding country, destroying the crops and submerging from time to time whole villages: the dearth was so excessive that many perished, amongst whom were Callatta Bhaita, and other eminent men. A kharit of grain sold for a thousand and fifty dinars.

This impoverished state of the country continued for ten years, till SUJJYA remedied the evil: the birth of this person was regarded as mysterious; he was found exposed in an earthen vessel by a *Chandáli*, by whom he was suckled and brought up: hearing the causes of the irregular swelling of the river discussed, he expressed his conviction that he could apply a remedy, and his words having been reported to the king, he was brought before Avantivermá. The mode, he proposed to adopt, he declined explaining,

United by Google

Not the modern Dhacca of course. There is a place so called in Cashmir upon the Jelum, south-west of Hijore. At present indeed it is scarcely within the limits of the province, and must be comprised in the states, said in Elphinstone's map, to be subject to independant Rajas, immediately south of Cashmir.

[†] They are names however not now known. ; The Khari is equal to two bushels, two pecks, one gallon and two-thirds (A. R. v. 98.) or about the third of a quarter. The Dinars, it may be suspected were of copper.

and he was looked upon by the ministers as an idiot or a cheat: the king notwithstanding determined to give him a trial, and allowed him at his request to take from the treasury several bags of Dinars: with these in his possession, Sujjya retired to the site of a village named Anandaca, where, getting into a boat, he advanced into the water: when in the centre of the pool he threw into it a bag of Dinars, and he repeated this wherever the water was collected: the villagers tempted by the hope of obtaining the money, combined to effect its recovery: they first blocked up with large stones, the channel of the Vitastá where it issues from the mountains, the banks being there contiguous: they then drained the country of the accumulated water, by cleaning the canals and outlets, through which it was accustomed to run: the passages being cleared by this contrivance, the dyke was broken down, and the Vitastá rushing forth with an impetus, proportioned to the obstruction it had encountered for several days, hurried away every obstacle, and flowed in a rapid and fertilising torrent through its old, and through many new channels, to its junction with the Sindhu.* These two streams formerly met near the temple of Vainya Swámí, but they now unite, observes our author, between that place and Vishnuswámi or the towns of Parihásapur and Phalapurt and he adds, that some old trees existed in his time, bearing the marks of the ropes which the Nishádas+ had fastened there. Having collected massive stones to confine the Vitastá, Sujiya constructed the Mahapadma Saras; springing from which receptacle, the Vitastá darts forward with the rapidity of an arrow from a bow.§

Sujiya was not contented with remedying the evil: he also provided

- This cannot be the Indus, but must be the Sind river, which has its source in great Fibet.—Ay.
 Ac, ii. 158. It is not improbably a branch however of the Indus.
- The last must be Shehabedinpur where the Behut and Sind unite their streams.—Ay. Ac. ii. 158.
- ? The low casts of villagers, he means, it may be supposed, and the ropes may have been part of a Jaula or swinging bridge.
- § This should be the reservoir or bason at Virn Nog noticed by Forster, ii. 4, and, according to the report which he repeats, constructed by Johangir: this is an evident error however, as the same bason is then mentioned by Abulgat: "at Weerir' is the source of the river Bebut, with a bason measuring a jerset, whence the water ranks out with an actorishing noise. The spring is called Wirney; it has a stone border and on the east side are temples."—Ay. Ac. ii. 155.

Director Google

against its recurrence, by the construction of dykes and canals, by which without fear of a deluge, the waters were distributed equally and plentifully to all parts of the kingdom; such was the beneficial result of his measures, that a khari of grain, which before the late dearth, sold for 200 Dinurs has ever since been restricted to no more than thirty-six.* Sujiya was bountifully rewarded for his labors, and was enabled to perpetuate his name by founding Sujjyapur on the banks of the Vitastá, where it issues from the reservoir.

After enabling the ingenuity of Sujiya to execute the beneficial ar rangements above described, and witnessing the improving condition of his kingdom, Avantivermá being taken ill, determined to end his days at Tripura Cshétra, and accordingly proceeded thither, where he resumed the Vaishnava faith, and listening to the perusal of the Bhágavat Gitá, he terminated his career in the year 59, after a reign of 28 years and 3 months.

A. D.

As Avantivermá was not succeeded by his brother, and not only a new king, but a new Ywearája was appointed upon his death, we are left to conclude, either that Sura Vermá was dead, or the office of Ywearája conferred no title to the succession, and was held at pleasure: it appears too, that at this time, the great officers of the state continued to exercise the authoritative interference they had obtained under the last dynasty, and disposed at will of the functions of royalty. It is said accordingly that the son of Avantivermá, Sancaravermᆠwas made king, by the power of the chamberlain Retnaverdhana, whilst Kernapa, sprung from one of the late king's brothers, procured the nomination of Suc'ha Vermá, the son of Suravermá, to succeed his father in the Yaurarájya, in opposition to the chamberlain and the king, a circumstance which led to a civil war between

[•] This confirms what I have kinted that these Dinars were copper. The Klari is probably Abulfus!. Klarnar in which he says every thing is estimated in Cashmir: the average price of this, ascertained when fixing the revenue of the province, tursed out to be twenty-nine dams or proc.—As A. cl. i. 10.

[†] Sunkerderma .- Abulfazl.

the superior and subordinate princes. In the contest, many distinguished chieftains were slain, as SIVARACTI and others, but the king, with the gid of SAMARA VERMÁ, and other leaders of note, finally prevailed, and established his authority in the kingdom.

Having thus secured himself at home, he directed his views to foreign conquest, and being joined by the king of Darvábhisára and other princes, he led into the plains an army said to consist of nine lacs of foot, one of horse, and three hundred elephants: he first subdued PRITHIVÍ CHANDRA king of Traigerta,* who having left his son in his capital, advanced to do him homage, but upon beholding the immense host collected by the king, he was alarmed for his personal safety, and suddenly made his escape. SANCARA VERMA then rooted up the power of Alak'hanat king of Gurjara, seizing his treasures, and kingdom, and leaving him only Tacca Désa. He entirely subverted the universal supremacy which had been seized by Bhoja, ‡ and made himself formidable to his neighbours on either side of him, the kings of Darat and Turushca, placed between them like Aryaverta between the Himalaya and Vindhya mountains: on his return to Cashmir he founded in Panchasatra, a city named after himself: it was constructed chiefly of materials furnished by the ruins of Parihásapur, and was distinguished by a temple dedicated to Siva as Sancara Gaurisa, and Sugandhésa, the latter named after the queen Sugandhá, the daughter of the king of the North.

The disposition of Sancara Vermá to accumulate wealth, degenerated

· Part of Labore

- † This is a strange name: it should be that of a Musselman but the Musselman princes could not have been the a establi-haddin Gazerat. There is however a Gazerat in the Panjat, to which the Mo-keumedens were beginning to extend themselves, and which may be the state intended.
- † Not in his life time it may be supposed, but Sancara Verma вошізьює about half acentury earfier than has hitherto been assigned as Вноја's date.
- 4 We still have the Dards north west of Cashmir; the Turusheas should be therefore to the south-east, and they were the Gainman Governors, probably, then dependent on the Samanian princes of Bokhera; the simila is applicable to such a position.

I

United by Google

into the most insatiable avarice, and subjected his people to every kind o. extortion: he levied heavy tolls and taxes, exacted undue proportions of the produce of land, and let out to farm those lands which were the property of the temples: he cheated his cultivators in the weight of the seed corn, and expected a full return, and he seems to have established a monopoly of sandal, incense, oil, and many other articles of trade: his chief instruments in these oppressions were the Cayast'has, and especially one named LAVATA, who received from the king a stipend of 3000 Dinars, whilst Bhallata and other eminent poets about the court, were kept without any pay: the chief minister represented the harshness of his commands in vain to the monarch; to his son who had expatiated to him on the afflictions of his people, he replied by desiring him to wait till he was king, when he might, if he pleased, relieve them, and he was equally insensible to the lesson he might have learnt from the neighbouring country of Darvábhisara, the king of which, with all his sons, had been lately killed in a popular commotion, occasioned by his oppressive government.

Sancara Vermá possibly thought he should divert the attention of his subjects to less unpopular occurrences, by engaging them in military expeditions; for he is said now to have led an army to the north, where he subdued the people along the Indus, and entered the Urasa country, where he was shot in the neck with an arrow by a mountaineer; he was immediately put into a litter, and his death, which took place shortly afterwards, cencealed from the troops, who were immediately marched back to Cashmir

Un and by Google

^{*} Bedia-ad-din says, against the Mohammedans of Khorasan; the followers of Islam having according to him spread their empire even to the Punjab in the preceding reign.

[†] The Sindåu, here the large river, as the other or smaller was already in his possession; the invasion took place into little Tāklet, but the invaders could not have proceeded far, as they reached on their return the frontiers of Cākletir in six days. Who the Auresus, the people of Ursus, were, is not easily conjectured: they could scarcely have been the Russiaus, called in the cast Ursus, whose power at this period, was first making its appearance in a different direction, and it is only in the absence of more satisfactory illustration, that I venture to suggest a connexion, between this word and the Ooloos, the bordes of the Taturs, and claus of the Afghans: the derivative name, applied to the people, is in favor of the conjecture, as it means children, whom the Hindoos consider legitimate, being born of a man and woman of the same cast or tribe.

with all possible expedition; they reached *Holyásaca*, a place on the frontier, in six days, where, being now out of danger, they halted to perform the funeral obsequies of the monarch: he was consumed on a stately pile: three of his queens, a pandit, named JAYA SINHA, and two of his servants, burning themselves with the body.*

The son and successor of the last king, Gopála Vermá, being yet an infant, was placed under the tutelage of his mother Sugandh: she became regent during his minority, and her ascendancy involved the country in a series of intestine disorders, as she seems to have been a woman of a weak, if not vicious character; the minister and chief treasurer Peabhácara Déva was her favorite, and engrossed the whole power of the state. This man made Camalaca, also named Sahi, Governor of Bháñdapur, but he proving disobedient, it was taken from him, not without a conflict apparently, and given to Torámána, the son of Lalita.

The reign of Gopála was short; he was carried off by magical incantations, it is said, by the contrivance apparently of Prabhácara, who was afraid of being called to account for the great deficiencies in the public treasury, which were ascribable to his own peculations; Ráma Déva, the person employed by him, afterwards confessed the fact, and as the minister disappears from the history, we may suppose he paid the penalty of his crime. Sugandá, in the midst of her faults, appearing to entertain no ambitious views for herself, and to have cherished the memory of her son.

A brother of Gorala succeeded him, but he expired after the short term

These accompaniments of his cremation find an analogy in many parts of the south of India, as noticed by early travellers; they are not however directed by the Sestras, any more than the self-immonation on account of sorrow or sickness, of which we have had several instances; the latter indeed in the present age is prohibited at any place except Prayaga. Several instances of suicide occur in the Illiodu books, as Bhishma in the Makshbarata, and the father and the mother of the young ascetic killed accidently by Dasaratha, who mounted the funeral pile with their son, as told in the Rayhuvenss, see A. R. x. These cases however are referred to former periods.

of ten days; and as with him the race of Sancara Vermá ended, the kingdom was now without a legal occupant: Sugandhá seated herself on the throne, but either at her own desire, or compelled by the military leaders of the kingdom, she soon made way for another prince.

In this stage of Cashmirian history we are introduced rather abruptly to some new actors in the scene, who continued for a long period to influence very materially the disposal of the crown; they are of a military character evidently; it is only doubtful, whether they were part of the native forces, or whether they were mercenary bands of foreign adventurers. They are dominated Tatris and Ecángas, and it is perhaps not straining probability overmuch, to conjecture that our author intends these words to represent what we should write Tatars and Afghans; men, who at all times have sold their services to the princes of India, and have not unfrequently become the masters of those whom they originally obeyed.

Whatever may have been her inducements, Sugandia, after holding the reins of government for two years, recommended to the ministers and officers to chuse as king Nirjita Vermá the grand-son of Sura Vermá: it was objected to him however that he was a cripple, and therefore not fit to rule, but as his family descent was highly respectable, the chiefs determin-

* The word Tater, for Tatri, is an obvious conjecture: Ectaga for Afghan, is not so satisfactory. Eca means one, and Anga limb or holy figuratively as well as literally, and Ectaga may refer to some percitainty of discipline, as to troops, fighting in a body. The origin of the word Afghan, and Ephantene, is entirely uncertain, but it is probably modern: it is known to the Afghans themselves only through the medium of the Persian language; it has no meaning however in Persian, and they therefore probably between the anomal property of the probability therefore about the Etymology suggested: the Afghans it is asserted inhebited the mountains of Ghbr at a very remote period, and setze to have been established in the north exacter mountains of Afghaniza in the ninth century, (Exploitance's Cebus, 1807, expelling thence, probably absentiantime, the Distarcia, who from our history appear to have occupied, till the sinds century, that part of the vicility of Cechmir: the mercenary character of the Tatric is repeatedly alluded to: they are maid to have been intracted into the country by the Handiews of the king of Cennyi, and they are compared to one place to prostitutes who saw no merit in a man but his money.

Distand by Google

ed to nominate his son, and Pier'na was accordingly crowned king of

At the end of ten years, the leaders of the Ecangus dissatisfied with the prince, and jealous of the greater share which the Tatri foot had in his nomination, determined to replace Sugandháin the government: they accordingly proceeded to her residence at Hushcapur, and placing her at their head returned to the capital: they were met by the Tatris in the pay of the king, and after a severe conflict were totally routed : the queen was taken prisoner and put to death at Nishpalacaluhar.

The victorious troops now considered the kingdom at their disposal, and yielded reluctant obedience to their prince for a further period of five years; at last their insubordination broke out with ungovernable force, and their avarice, which was insatiable, led them to accept the offers of the father of Part'na, and to place the cripple on the throne. The revolution was facilitated by a period of general distress, occasioned by a famine, consequent upon the unseasonable inclemency of the weather.

The reign of this prince lasted but one year: his throne and life were assailed by various enemies; his son Part'ha was endeavouring to recover . his supremacy; his ministers Sancara Verdhana and Sugandhaditya were plotting for their own accession, and his queen was engaged in a criminal intercourse with the latter, and prepared to commit any atrocity to secure the undisturbed gratification of her libidinous passion : it is not at all extraordinary therefore, that he should have been crowned one year, anddeposed and slain the next.

The successor of the cripple was an infant son, named Chacka Vermá who A.D. 918 under the protection of his maternal grand-father, enjoyed the sovereignty c.y.er ten years : at the expiration of this time however, the sons of Menu Veruna-

. Bareth .- Ay. Ac.



NA, the elder of whom, SANCARA VERDHANA, was minister to the late king, set up another of his sons, Sura Vermá, and expelled the reigning prince : a most turbulent period now ensues, and the several princes rise and fall, sometimes repeatedly, in rapid succession.

A.D. Sura Vermá, after a nominal reign of one year, was deposed by the discontented Tatri troops, and Part'ha again crowned king; he soon made way for Chacka Vermá, once more, whose bribes had won these venal soldiers to his interest: unable however to satisfy their repeated demands, he was obliged to abdicate, and seek safety in flight, whilst SANCARA VERDHA-NA endeavoured to effect a purchase of the crown from the mercenary troops; in this he was foiled; his embassador to them, his own brother SAMBHU VERDHANA, making the bargain for himself, and being elevated by them to the throne: a measure however that appears to have contributed to check, if it did not annihilate the power, of the pretorian Tatris.

CHACRA VERMá in his flight had found an asylum near Dhacca, at the house of a Dámara, and one it may be presumed who was possessed of powerful influence with the mountain tribes: induced by the liberal promises of the king, and his reiterated assurances of eternal gratitude, he collected a considerable number of his countrymen, and advanced with CHA-CRA VERMA once more towards the capital,

The entrance of the king into Srinagar was effected without opposition: indignant at the fraud practised on him by his brother, SANCARA VERDHA-NA had assembled an army, and advanced from Maruwa,† where he was stationed at the period of his negotiation for the crown: to maintain the

^{*} See the note on Surapur, the city itself must have been in the quarter of Cashmir peopled by the

[†] Any dry or desart soil, of which we have several extensive tracts to the south-west of Caspmir.

kingdom, Samber Verdeana had marched to oppose him with the troops in his interest, and the capital of Cushmir, being thus left without defenders, fell an easy prey to the invader: the approach of Chacra Vermá appears to have reunited the two brothers, as we find them both present in a furious conflict fought near Pudmapur between their forces, and the Dâmaras under Chacra Vermá, in which the latter obtained a most decisive victory: five or six thousand of the Tatris were slain, Śancara Verdhana graced the bed of heroes, and Sambhu Verdhana attempting to reassemble the scattered fugitives of his army, was shortly afterwards taken prisoner and put to death: the power of the Tatris appears to have been completely broken by their defeat, as although mention of them does recur in the course of the history, no important part in the revolutions of the crown, is henceforward assigned to them.

CHACRA VERMÁ returned to the capital in triumph: mounted on a superb charger, in the centre of his victorious cavalry, holding in his left hand his helmet, and touching his turban in conrtesy to the crowd with his right, he entered the city, amidst the clamour of kettle drums and the shouts of the nultitude; be soon however forfeited his popularity; being fascinated by he attractions of two daughters of a *Dombha** who, as public singers, appeared before the king, he took them into his haram, and devoted his whole time to their impure society; the consequences were obvious; he incurred the reprehension of the wise and respectable, and what was of more importance to him, by promoting the low connexions of his favorites, above his former ministers of the military and sacerdotal orders, he roused their indignation and resentment.

Amongst those who felt aggrieved by the preference thus shewn to an out-cast tribe, the *Dámaras* were particularly distinguished. They who had been the chief instruments of the king's triumph, were now neglected with the rest of his adherents, and compelled to make way for those, whose birth and services gave them no claim to pre-eminence. They felt the neglect of

A man of the lowest class, by whom all impure offices are performed.

On Tend by Google

the king the more severely, as contrasted with his past assurances of favor, and they determined to make him suffer the effects of their vindictive spirit: a party of them accordingly contrived to gain by night, admission into the palace, and falling upon the king, in the apartment of his favorite mistress, unarmed and unprepared, they easily sacrificed him to their fury: he was slain after a reign of nearly fourteen years, interrupted from time to time, by the temporary rule of his occasionally successful competitors.

UNMATTI VARTI, a son of PART'HA, was now placed upon the throne, in preference to his father, who was still alive; his claims to this election cannot be easily conceived, especially, as in the grovelling tastes of this prince, as well as in ferocity of temper, he exceeded all who reigned before or after his time; his associates were dancers, singers, and buffoons; his favorite pastime, fighting birds or beasts, in which PARVAGUPTA, by his superior skill, was his principal minister and friend; notwithstanding which, he engaged in treasonable designs, aided by Bhubhaffa, Servata, Saja, Cu-MUDA and AMRITACARA: these individuals divided amongst themselves the chiefoffices of profit and power, whilst RACCASA, a Dámara, commanded the army. By the advice of these miscreants, and the suggestion of his own sanguinary disposition, the king commanded a general slaughter to be made of all whom he thought he had occasion to hate or fear, and did not spare the members of his own family; his brothers he shut up in a dungeon, and starved to death, and his own father was dragged from his retirement, and murdered by order of this unnatural son: his barbarity did not stop there, he went to view his father's corpse, and made the murderers shew the wounds, that each had inflicted : they he itated to do this in the king's presence, when PARVAGUP-TA, to reprove the backwardness of one of them, his own son, Dévagueta, struck his dagger into the lifeless body, to the great mirth and satisfaction, it is said, of the king: in further proof of this prince's atrocious characterit is related that upon its becoming necessary to oppose the Dúmaras, who pillaged the country with impunity, the king used to amuse himself with cutting off the heads of his attendants and subjects and the breasts of the women, in order to try the temper of his sword, and perfect himself, he said,

On and by Google

in the use of his weapons. Death put a stop to his ferocious practices, and released *Cashmir* from his tyranny, after it had endured it little more than two years,

The son of the Parricide, Sura Verma* succeeded him: he was yet an infant, under the management of his mother, and his nominal reign was of short duration. Camala Verdhana, who had been employed to clear the country of the *Dámaras*, had succeeded in the undertaking, and had made peace and alliance with the chiefs of *Campana* and *Marauca*. He now returned accompanied by all the leaders, and the *Tatris* and *Ecángas*, and displayed all the point of royalty, although he had not assumed the name of king: doubtful of his purpose, and deserted by all her late adherents, the queen fled with her infant, unattended, into the forests.

Camala Verdhana although now in possession of the military power, and consequently of the kingdom, hesitated to mount the throne: a piece of folly our author observes, only ascribable to the treacherous counsels of unfaithful ministers or to the adumbration of his intellect, as a punishment of evil done in a former life. His moderation did not proceed from indifference to royalty, as he collected the brahmans, and desiring them to nominate a king, attempted to win them over to his interests. The opportunity was lost; the brahmans desirous of selecting a suitable person, or instigated by other motives, deliberated for some time about the choice, and dispatched emissaries to ascertain the merits and claims of various candidates.

Amongst others, the widow of Unmattiverti sent messengers to the brahmans to solicit their support of her son. On their road, they were encountered by a youth, who was just returning to his own country, and who accompanied them to the capital, where the brahmans, unable to re-

Abulfazi confounds this with the former prince of the same name.

- On and by Google

sist what our author thinks the impulse of destiny, proclaimed him, as soon as they beheld him, sovereign of Cashmir.

The person thus suddenly elevated to the throne was Yasascara Déva: he was the son of Cámadéva, born of Viradéva, an inhabitant of the village of Pisáchapur. Cámadéva in his youth had been brought up by Méruverdhana, and being a lad of abilities, rose with the patronage of that minister to the Ganjádhicarya, the command of the guards, which he held under the reign of Śancara Vermá. Having occasion to dread the hostility of Prabhácara, the favourite of Sugandha, he determined to place his son out of danger, and sent him into another country with a young friend named P'halguna. They had resided abroad for some time: at length his father being dead, and propitious dreams exciting his hopes, Yasaścara resolved to return to his native country, and it was upon this occasion that he encountered the agents of the queen, and learning from them the object of their journey, accompanied them to the capital, where he so unaccountably gained the unsolicited choice of the sacerdotal electors.

A. D.

The vigour and equity of the new king fully justified his election; he re-established order and security, and gave to Cashmir a period of repose which had been long unknown: theft and murder were abolished; the roads were perfectly safe, and the shops were left open throughout the night without a guard; the distinction of classes was rigidly maintained, and the Chandalas no longer administered the affairs of state, nor did the Brahmans carry arms; we have several anecdotes of this king's acumen and justice: one of them is narrated by Abuljuzl, a reference to whom will perhaps be sufficient to satisfy any curiosity that may be excited on this head.

After promoting the happiness of his subjects for several years, YASAS-CARA was doomed to suffer the loss of his own: one of his wives was detected in an intrigue with a watchman of the palace, a man of low cast, and it appears that the king was more afflicted by this latter circumstance, than any thing else, as it had prophaned the purity of his birth: to expi-

Distinct by Google

ate the stain thus contracted, he made liberal donations to the *Brahmans*, and founded a *Mat'ha*, but continuing to dwell upon his disgrace, his health became affected, and he retired to the college of his own foundation to expire.

Before leaving the palace, the king directed the nobles and leaders to elect as his successor, his kinsman Vernáta, the son of Rámadéva, passing over his own son Sangrámadéva, of whose legitimacy he entertained some doubts: the arrangement thus made was far from agreeable to the men in power, for Vernáta was a prince in the vigour of life, whilst Sangrámadéva was an infant, during whose feeble administration they flattered themselves they should be able to appropriate the wealth and influence of the government to themselves and their adherents: by their intrigues, therefore, Vernáta was thrown into prison, and although he appears to have escaped at the time, he shortly afterwards fell a victim to the jealousy and ambition of the strongest party, by whom Sangrámadéva was established in the government.

The old king lingered some time after he had made the fruitless disposition of the succession, but he was surrounded by the creatures of the intriguers, and there is reason to suppose that they accelerated his death by poison; Párvagueta and his partizans had now obtained what was still but a secondary object of their ambition, and their past success encouraged them to elevate their views to royalty itself: the seasons befriended their designs, and the discontent of the people occasioned by the pressure of a general scarcity, afforded them a ready instrument for effecting their purpose: an insurrection was speedily excited: a tumultuary mob, chiefly composed of the military, and headed by Párvagueta and his confederates, attacked the palace; they slew Ráma Verdhana, the chief minister, who had attempted in vain to defend it, and penetrated to the presence of the king—Seizing his person they bound him with fetters of flowers, drag-

ged him to another apartment, and put him to death; after which, they tied a stone to his neck, and threw the body into the Vitastá. Pányagupta then in complete armour, and with his sword drawn, seated himself on the throne, and received the homage of his accomplices and of the terrified citizens.

After a short reign of little more than a year, this prince suffered the fate due to his crimes; he was slain by a party of enemies at Suréswarí Cshétra and left the crown to his son.

CSHÉMAGUPTA was a prince of depraved habits, and spent his time in low and sensual indulgence; wine and women occupied his whole attention, and profligate characters engrossed his company; it was not at all extraordinary therefore that the kingdom should become a prey to civil dissension and foreign inroad, as the affairs of state were entirely neglected, and the ministers alone fit to conduct theni, were obliged to abandon the court in order to avoid the ridicule and abuse, or even personal contumely which they were compelled to receive from the prince and the companions of his revels: consequently, besides private hostilities between P'halguna, and some of the king's friends, the ruler of Campana engaging in a contest with the Dâmaras, burnt and destroyed the Vihar of Jayêndra, and demolished a brass image of Sugata, and the king of the C'hasas compelled CSHÉMAGUPTA to cede to him six and thirty villages, after burning many Vihars.*

The ruler of Lahore, Sinha Raja, now gave Cshémagupta in marriage his daughter Diddá, the grand-daughter by the mother's side of Sahi; a princess destined to bear an important part in the subsequent revolutions of Cashmir: her charms seem to have had little effect upon her husband, for after his marriage he adopted a new amusement, and devoted all his time to the pleasures of the chace. They were the occasion of his death, for

marrian Google

[•] These broils which are very obscurely and confusedly narrated in the original, were perhaps of a religious complexion, and may be connected with the persecution of the Bouldhas, of which so much is said and so little is known, by the Hindus.

having pursued a jackall for a considerable distance, and urged the beast to the pains of death, the prince observed flame issuing from the mouth of the animal as it expired; struck with alarm at this portent, he was instantly seized with a fit of trembling which terminated in the Lutamaya* fever, a fever that is invariably fatal: he was carried to Cshéma Mut'ha near Hushcapur, where he died, after a reign of eight years and six months.

ABHIMANYU, the son of Cshémagupta, succeeded his father; at first his early age, and afterwards his tranquil temper, left the reins of administration in the hands of his mother, whose defective character was far from equal to the task, and whose supremacy introduces us consequently to a scene of unprecedented tumult and disorder.

The queen's first impulse was to burn herself with her husband, from no better motive the Hindu writer admits, than the pride of birth, and fear of P'HALGUNA, the late king's minister, and father-in-law, by another of the monarch's wives, and who on that account had always been hostile to Didd: she was also embarrassed at the outset of her career, by a conflagration of a most alarming nature, which broke out at the fair of Tungtmara, and extended to Vitala Sutrapátá, consuming an immense number of villages, and many large palaces and temples. This added to the dread of P'HALGUNA, now all powerful, would certainly have given her a claim to the honors of a Sati, had she not been dissuaded from it by NARAVÁHANA, a man of great merit and fidelity, attached to her service. The return of one of the king's sons, Kerdama, contributed also to the consolidation of her authority : he had been to the Ganges with the bones of CSHEMAGUP-TA, attended by a select body of troops, and as he was no friend to the usurping P'HALGUNA, that minister thought it politic to come to an accommodation with the queen, and upon the reconciliation taking place, he withdrew for a season from public affairs.

[•] We have here two strange subjects; in the superstitious idea of flames issuing from the jack-all's mouth, and the nature of the Lutemage disease: the first is common; it is the current belief in India that any animal urged to death by a chace emits flames from his mouth before he expires.



The next opponent of the regent's authority, and she appears to have encountered opposition in rapid succession, were Mahimán and Patala, the sons of Suja and Bhubhaffa, two of Párvagupta's friends and coadjutors: these youths had been brought up in the palace, but jointly resenting some personal affronts offered by the queen regent to Mahimán, they plotted a conspiracy for his elevation to the throne. In this they were joined by several of the leading men of Parihásapur, and Lulitapur and succeeded in levying a respectable force, and leading it against the Ráni Diddi, with the assistance of Naraváhana, prepared to engage them, but unwilling to trust the decision to the chance of war, she engaged by large presents, the Brahmans of Lulitapur to come forward as mediators: their mediation was irresistible, and Mahimán and his confederates were compelled, although reluctantly, to abandon their design, and submit to the forgiveness of the queen: a curious proof of the influence of the sacerdotal order in Cashmir in comparatively modern times.

One of the chief leaders of the late conspiracy was Yasodhara, to whom the queen gave the government of Campana, to bind him more firmly to her interests: a war now arose between him and Sāhi, governor or king of Dhacca, and the latter was defeated, and compelled to pay tribute: proud of his success, and instigated by evil counsellors, Yasodhara soon found cause of complaint against the regent, and led his army against her, supported by Narayáhana. The regent resolved to encounter him in the field, and a battle accordingly ensued, in which Yasodhara was defeated: he was taken prisoner, and thrown into confinement with all his family, whilst many of his adherents, also captives, were thrown into the Vitastá, with large stones fastened to their necks.

. It would be useless to prosecute the story of civil discord further: the nobles and governors had in fact all become more or less independant of a monarchy, long feebly administered, and were ready on every slight pretext to lead their military followers to the field. By the counsels and conduct of Naraváhana, the regent uniformly triumphed, and appears to have

Distract by Google

deserved the success she enjoyed: his death however was the ruin of her credit, if not of her power, and she appears hereafter in the character only of a cruel, libidinous, and ambitious woman.

At this period Abilimanyu died, our author says of a consumption: Mohammed Azim asserts that he was poisoned by his mother. The former account, however, is most trust-worthy, especially as corroborated by the sequel, which represents her as engaged for a year afterwards, in laying the foundations of cities, and pious and public edifices, in order to dispel her grief. In this way she is said to have founded Cancanapur and Diddapur Mat has, for the Saura and Láta Brahmans, and the temples of Abhimanyu Swámi and Didda Swámi; several Chatur Sálas or Serais, and many Vihárs, and to have made the conflux of the Sundh and Vitastá a place of great sanctity. At the end of twelve months, however, her ambition revived, and upon reassuming the administration she thought it advisable to rid herself of her grandson Nandigupta, who had succeeded his father, and whom she put to death.

TRIBHUVANA, another grandson, was next placed upon the throne, but speedily shared the fate of his brother, and a third named BHÍMAGUPTA was elevated to the dangerous distinction. DIDDÁ now chose a new favorite, and a Chasa named Tunga, originally a keeper of buffuloes, and subsequently a courier in the service of the minister, enjoyed her affection and favours. He soon acquired the ascendancy at court, and thrust himself and his five brothers into all the most important posts. The intrusion of this upstart race, was warmly resented by the Cashmirian nobles, who called to their assistance VIGRAHA VÁGA, a nephew of the queen's, and a man of high spirit and great power: Tunga was obliged to resign his newly acquired authority, and preserved his life only by the interference of the Brahmans, whom the bribes of the queen had induced to intercede. VIGRAHA, finding it impracticable therefore to afford that redress to the Cashmirians which they had solicited, retired to his own territory, and left the ascendancy to be recovered by the favorite of the queen, who notwithstand-

United by Google

A D. 1023. ing his low origin, appears to have been a man of firmness and activity. The young prince Bhimadupta betraying, as he advanced in years, some indications of an independent spirit, was now removed from the throne, and privately put to death. Kerdama Rájá and several of the leading men, suffered the same fate, and the Brahmans who had saved the life of Tunga were thrown by him into prison, probably to compel them to refund the reward of their late mediation. Seriously alarmed for their safety, the nobles now called to their aid the Prince Prithivipála, who marched with his troops to their aid, and occupied the capital. Tunga, however, foiled his adversaries: advancing upon the city with a large force, he set the suburbs on fire, and cutting off the retreat of the enemy, effected the destruction of a great part of their army. Prithivipála was compelled to submit to Tunga, and to purchase his safety by engaging to pay tribute to the sovereign of Cashmir

The transaction thus described, is the last instance of civil dissension that seems to have occurred under the reign of DIDDÁ RÁNÍ: triumphant over both foreign and domestic foes, she was now at leisure to regulate the succession to the kingdom, and adopted Sangrámadéva, the son of her brother Udaya Rájá, as her associate in the government, and as the future supreme ruler of Cashmir. This was the last act of her life, and is the last event recorded by our author, whose history closes with the death of DIDDÁ RÁNÍ, and accession of Sangrámadéva in the 79th year of the Cashmirian cycle, or the year of our Lord 1025, and after the queen had held the sole sovereignty of the country for three and twenty years.

• The territory governed by this prince is not named: he might in fact have not yet been in possession of any, as the son only of the sovereign of Lahore, Anandapala, whose successor about the date of the above events, is named by Feriahta, Pitterugpell, Daw, i. 58. It must be observed however that if the dates of our history and the Mahommedan history accorded, we should have had in the former, some notice of the repeated visits to Cashmir paid by Mahmood in 1005, 1015 and 1018; the history of Culhan coming down to 1025; one or other however may err by a few years, if indeed the error is not as much of facts as of dates. The author of the Tobots Aeberi states indeed that Mahmond was repeatedly foiled in his attempts to penetrate into Cashmir.

United by Google

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE.

	COL	Date ac-		SECOND OF	R ADIT	A DVN	ASTY		
FIRST PER	uob,								
(Tambilla)		cording	Adjusted						
(In which the durat			date.	Pratápáditya	32	B.C. 168	-9 1 BC	7. 10	
veral reigns is n	st specified.) riginal.		Jalaucas	32	120		0.22	
		1		Tunjina	36	104		54	
Cashmir colonise	d by Casya	-		Viinin	8		3-9	90	
pa		. B.C.3714	B.C.2064.	Jay éndra	37				
Fifty-three Prince	· names un		51014000				1-9	88	
known; reigned	,	/rs. 1260	1266		47 -	2	1-9	136	
anown, respued		9134 1200	1200	Six Princes reigned		122 year			
			-	Average		32 years			
Gonerda 1st. Cali	653 or .	. B.C.244	1400			oz jent			
Dámodara 1st									
Gonerda 2nd				THIRD OR GONE	RDIYA	DYNAST	Y AGAI	IV.	
Thirty-five Princes	;names for	- 1							
gotten		. 1	- 1	Méghavábana j	34	A.D. 23	9 1		
Lava		. []		Sréshtaséna	30	67		_	
Cusésaya			1	Hiranya	30-2			_	
Khagendra		. 1		Mátrigupta	4-9	87			
Sure ndra			1	Pravaraséna		117		471	
				Fravarasena	63	122		476	
Suverna		• 1 1		Yudhisht'hir 2d	39 3	185		499	
I				Nan drávat	13	224		522	
Janaca	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· [Ranáditya	300	237		545	
Sachinara		. 1		Vicramáditya !	42	537		568	
		· []		Báláditya	36	579		592	
Jaloca		. [034	
		. [Ten Princes reigned	592 year	s and 2	months.	BC-	
Hushea >				cording to the	original e	omputatio	n : 433	30-	
Jushea Tart	ar Princes.	1 1		cording to the adi	usted one	. furnishi	og in eit	her	
Canishea	ni i imeco			case an inadmissi	ble avera	re.			
Abhimanyu		1 1							
дишиануи				FOURTH OF	a r'nac	d			
710		B.C.118	388	FOURTH OR	CARCO	TA DYN	ASTY.		
	nding	, 'D.C.118	3,98		- 1	Reign.	Date A.	D	
Futy-one reigns e									
				Durlabhaverddhana					
he grounds on w	hich the a	justments a	re made	Durlabhaverddhana Pratápáditya		36	615-	5	
he grounds on w	hich the a	justments a	re made	Pratapaditya		50	651-	5	
The grounds on ware explained	in the follo	wing remark	re made	Pratápáditya Chandrápira		8 8	651- 701-	5	
The grounds on ware explained	in the follo	wing remark	is.	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira		8 8 4	651- 701- 710-	5	
The grounds on ware explained	in the follo	wing remark	is.	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya		60 8 8 4 36 7	651- 701- 710- 714	6 6 1	
The grounds on ware explained	in the follo OND PEI tion of the	wing remark	is.	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya		50 8 8 4 36 7	651- 701- 710-	6 6 1	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura	in the follo OND PEI tion of the eifted.	wing remark RIOD, several reign	us is spe-	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya Vajráditya		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7	651- 701- 710- 714	5 5 1 1	
The grounds on ware explained	in the follo OND PEI tion of the eifted.	wing remark RIOD, several reign	us is spe-	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya Vajráditya Prithivyápira		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2	701- 710- 714 750-	5 1 1 8	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura	in the follo OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERDI	wing remark RIOD, several reign KA DYNAS	ns is spe-	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalaváditya Vajráditya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751-	5 1 1 8 8	
the grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura	in the follo OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERDI'	Wing remark RIOD, several reign KA DYNAS	is, ns is spe- TY. Adjustes	Pratápácitya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalaváditya Vajráditya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira Jajja		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762	5 5 1 1 8 8 8	
the grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura	in the follo OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERDI	Wing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the ori-	ns is spe-	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya Vajráditya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762 769-	5 5 1 1 8 8 8	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura	in the follo OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD! Years of reign.	Wing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the ori- gingl.	TY. Adjusted	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya Vajráditya Prithivýápira Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762 769- 772-	5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR G	in the follo OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD! Years of reign.	Wing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the ori- gingl.	TY. Adjusted	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cavalayáditya Vajráditya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd.		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762 769- 772- 503-	5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR G Generda 3d (ibhishana	in the follo OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD! Years of reign.	Wing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the ori-	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388	Pratápácitya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya Vajráditya Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápíra Lalitápíra Sangrámápira 20d.		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 31 12 7	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 769- 772- 503- 815-	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR G Conerda 3d cibhishana ndrajit	in the follo OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERDI' Years of reign. 35	Wing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the original, BC, 1182	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388	Pratápácitya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya Vajráditya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd. Vrihaspati		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12 7	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822-	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura FIRST OR G Sonerda 3d (jbhishana ndrajit	in the folio OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD I Years of reign. 35 53 35 6	Wing remark RIOD, several reign KA DYNAS Data of the ori- ginal, BC. 1182 1147 1096	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352	Pratápácitya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya V ajráditya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 20d. Vrihaspati Ajidpira		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12 7 12 36	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762 769- 772- bu3- 815- 822- 834-	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR G Generda 3d ibhishana ndrajit tawana	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cyfied. ONERDÍ Years of reign. 35 53 36 6	Wing remark RIOD, several reight YA DYNAS Data of the original, BC, 1182 1147 1096 1060-6	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334	Pratápáclitya Chandrápira Tärápira Lalitáditya Cuvalaváditya Vajráditya Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápíra Lalitápíra Lalitápíra Anyapíra Anticápíra Annorápíra Ajjápíra Annorápíra		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 31 112 7 12 36 3	651- 701- 710- 714- 750- 751- 758- 769- 772- 803- 815- 823- 870-	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR Gonerda 3d ibhishana melrajit tavana (ibhishana 2nd	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERDÍ Years of reign. 35 53 35 6 30 35 6	Wing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the ori- ginal. BC.1182 1147 1096 1030-6	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316	Pratápácity a Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya Vajráditya Prithiyápira Sangrámápira Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 20d. Vrihaspati Ajitápira Anomápira Utpalapira		50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12 7 12 36 3 3	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762- 769- 779- 815- 823- 834- 873-	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR G Conerda 3d. ibhishana ndrajit tawana 'ibhishana 2nd. ara	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD I' Years of reign. 35 53 35 6 30 35 6 39 9	Nowing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the ori- ginal. BC. 1182 1147 1096 1060-6 1030-6 993	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 208	Pratápáclitya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitádítya Gavalayádítya Vajrádítya Vajrádítya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd. Vrihaspati Ajitápira Anoncápira Utpalapira Seventeen Princes Seventeen Princes	Pelgued	50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 31 112 7 12 36 3 3	651- 701- 710- 714- 750- 751- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822- 834- 670- 873-	5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
the grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura FIRST OR G Conerda 3d	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD! Years of reign. 35 53 6 30 36 6 39 9	Wing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the ori- ginal. BC. 1182 1147 1096 1030-6 903 953-3	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 298	Pratápáclitya Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitádítya Gavalayádítya Vajrádítya Vajrádítya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd. Vrihaspati Ajitápira Anoncápira Utpalapira Seventeen Princes Seventeen Princes	Pelgued	50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 31 112 7 12 36 3 3	651- 701- 710- 714- 750- 751- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822- 834- 670- 873-	5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
the grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR G concrda 3d. ibhishana ndrajit tavana ibhishana 2nd. isra iddha	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERDI' Years of reign. 35 53 36 6 30 56 89 9 60 30 6	Noting remark RIOD, several reign VA DYNAS Data of the ori- ginal, BC, 1182 1147 1096 1030-6 1030-6 903 903-3 803-3	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 208	Pratápáclity a Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Cuvalayáditya Vajráditya Prithivyápira Sangrámápira Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd. Vrihaspati Ajitápira Anoménjira Lutpalapira Seventeen Princes months, averasin	reigued gr little u	50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 3 1 112 7 12 7 12 36 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3	651- 701- 710- 714- 750- 751- 759- 772- 503- 815- 822- 834- 670- 873-	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
he grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR G concrda 3d. ibhisha na aderajit áwana iibhisha na aderajit iibhisha na aderajit iibhisha na irra iidha irra iidha irra iirray ácsba	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD! Years of reign. 35 53 6 30 36 6 39 9	Noting remark RIOD, several reign VA DYNAS Data of the ori- ginal, BC, 1182 1147 1096 1030-6 1030-6 903 903-3 803-3	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 208 280	Pratápáclity a Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Guvalaváditya Vajráditya Vajráditya Pritihyyápira Sangrámápira Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd. Vrihaspati Ajitápira Anoncápira Utpalapira Seventeen Princes months, averagin to a reigri; from	reigued g little u	50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12 7 12 36 3 2 2 260 year over than 6	651- 701- 710- 714- 750- 751- 758- 762- 769- 772- 845- 822- 834- 873- 4 and filtern year	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
the grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR Gonerda 3d	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERDI' Years of reign. 35 53 36 6 30 56 89 9 60 30 6	Wing remark RIOD, several reign YA DYNAS Data of the ori- ginal. BC. 1182 1147 1096 1030-6 903 953-3	TY. Adjustee date. BC, 388 370 352 334 316 208 280 262 244	Pratápáchiya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádira Vajradira Vajradira Vajradira Prithiyapira Sangramápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Zangramápira Zangramá	reigued g little u	50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12 7 12 36 3 2 2 260 year over than 6	651- 701- 710- 714- 750- 751- 758- 762- 769- 772- 845- 822- 834- 873- 4 and filtern year	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
he grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR G ionerda 2d. iohisha na ndrajit hayan hay	in the folk OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD! Years of reign. 35 53 35 6 30 35 6 39 9 60 30 6 37 7	RIOD, several reigi YA DYNAS Data of the original, BC. 1182 1147 1096 1030-6 903 903-3 803-3 802-9 825-2	TY. Adjustee date. BC. 388 370 350 334 316 298 280 262 244 226	Pratápáclity a Chandrápira Tárápira Lalitáditya Guvalaváditya Vajráditya Vajráditya Pritihyyápira Sangrámápira Jayápira Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd. Vrihaspati Ajitápira Anoncápira Utpalapira Seventeen Princes months, averagin to a reigri; from	reigued g little u	50 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12 7 12 36 3 2 2 260 year over than 6	651- 701- 710- 714- 750- 751- 758- 762- 769- 772- 845- 822- 834- 873- 4 and filtern year	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura FIRST OR G Concrete 3d Con	in the folk OND PEI (1907) The foliation of the eight. ONERD! Years of reign. 35	Noving remark RIOD, several reign VA DYNAS Date of the original, BC 1182 1147 1096 1090-6 1030-6 903 953-3 803-3 803-3 803-9 825-2 765-2	TY. Adjustec date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 298 280 262 244 226 218	Pratápádirya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádirya Vajradira Vajradira Prithivyapíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Zalitápira Sangrámápira Jajapira Sangrámápira Zalitápira Sangrámápira Sangrámápira Sangrámápira Seventeen Prinesa montha necesajira to a refyra from anaty therefore requires no modifi	reigued g little u the community cation.	60 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 112 7 7 12 36 3 2 2 260 year ore than 6 encement ology of 1	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762- 769- 772- 803- 815- 822- 834- 870- 873- 9 and fifteen yet of this is	5 5 1 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
the grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR Good of the second of the	in the following from the following fixed. ONERD I Years of reign. 35 53 35 6 30 35 6 30 60 37 7 60 60 70	Normal Temark (NOD), teveral reign (NA DYNAS) Data of the original, BC, 1182 1147 1096 1030-6 1030	TY. Adjusted date. BC, 388 370 352 334 316 298 290 2944 226 218 200	Pratápádirya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádirya Vajradira Vajradira Prithivyapíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Zalitápira Sangrámápira Jajapira Sangrámápira Zalitápira Sangrámápira Sangrámápira Sangrámápira Seventeen Prinesa montha necesajira to a refyra from anaty therefore requires no modifi	reigued g little u the community cation.	60 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 112 7 7 12 36 3 2 2 260 year ore than 6 encement ology of 1	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762- 769- 772- 803- 815- 822- 834- 870- 873- 9 and fifteen yet of this is	5 5 1 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained in a capital and a capital a	in the foll OND PEI cliffon of the cefted. ONERDÍ ' Years of reign. 35 53 35 6 30 35 6 39 9 60 37 7 60 60 70 63	wing remark RIOD, several reign File of the original. BC. 1182 1147 1096 1030-6 903 953-3 808-3 808-2 765-2 705-2 635-2 175-2	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 350 334 316 208 280 280 281 202 244 226 218 200 182	Pratápáchiya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádira Vajradira Vajradira Vajradira Prithiyapira Sangramápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Zangramápira Zangramá	reigued g little u the community cation.	60 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 112 7 7 12 36 3 2 2 260 year ore than 6 encement ology of 1	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762- 769- 772- 803- 815- 822- 834- 870- 873- 9 and fifteen yet of this is	5 5 1 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained in a service of the s	in the following the following of the cyfied. ONERDÍ' Years of reign. 35 63 36 6 30 35 6 30 9 60 37 7 60 60 60 30 63 30 6 63 7 7 60 60 60 30 63 30 6 63 30 6 63 7 7 60 63 30 6 63 30 6 63 7 7 60 63 30 6 63 30 6 63 7 7 60 63 30 6 63 30 6 63 7 7 60 63 30 6 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	wing remark RIOD, several reigi RIOD, several reigi RIOD, and	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 208 280 262 244 226 218 200 182 164	Pratápádirya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádirya Vajradira Vajradira Prithivyapíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Zalitápira Sangrámápira Jajapira Sangrámápira Zalitápira Sangrámápira Sangrámápira Sangrámápira Seventeen Prinesa montha necesajira to a refyra from anaty therefore requires no modifi	reigued g little u the community cation.	60 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 112 7 7 12 36 3 2 2 260 year ore than 6 encement ology of 1	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762- 769- 772- 803- 815- 822- 834- 870- 873- 9 and fifteen yet of this is	5 5 1 1 1 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC Jn which the dura FIRST OR Gonerda 3dibhishana adrajit ad	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD I' Years of reign. 35 53 35 6 30 35 6 30 30 6 37 7 60 90 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	wing remark 310 D, several reigi 16 A DYNAS Date of the original, BC, 1192 147 1096 1000-6	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 350 334 316 208 280 280 281 202 244 226 218 200 182	Pratápádirya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádirya Vajradira Vajradira Prithivyapíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Zalitápira Sangrámápira Jajapira Sangrámápira Zalitápira Sangrámápira Sangrámápira Sangrámápira Seventeen Prinesa montha necesajira to a refyra from anaty therefore requires no modifi	reigued g little u the commutation.	50 8 8 4 3 6 7 1 1 7 4 2 2 7 3 3 1 12 7 7 12 3 6 3 2 2 2 2 0 0 year aore than feencement ology of t	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822- 823- 870- 873- 9 and fifteen yeight of this is the origin	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained in a service of the s	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cyfied. ONERD! Years of reign. 35 63 6 830 9 60 37 7 60 60 70 63 30 52 2 60	wing remark IIOD, several reigi VA DYNAS [Date of the original, BC, 1182 1147 1696 1690-6 1030-6 903 808-3 808-3 808-2 965-2 765-2 705-2 572-2 542-2 490	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 208 280 262 244 226 218 200 182 164	Pratápádiya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádira Tárápira Laditádira Vajrádita Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápíra Lalitápira Janja Jayápíra Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd. Vrihaspadi Ananchápira Utpalapira Seventeen Princes montha nevenzán no a reign: from nastv therefore requires no modifi	reigued g little u the commutation.	60 8 8 4 36 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 112 7 7 12 36 3 2 2 260 year ore than 6 encement ology of 1	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822- 823- 870- 873- 9 and fifteen yeight of this is the origin	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained in the state of the state o	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD! Years of reign. 35 53 35 6 30 35 6 39 9 60 70 60 70 63 30 60 70 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	wing remark 310 D, several reigi 16 A DYNAS Date of the original, BC, 1192 147 1096 1000-6	TY. Adjusted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 298 262 226 216 218 200 182 164 146 128	Pratápádiya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádira Tárápira Laditádira Vajrádita Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápíra Lalitápira Janja Jayápíra Lalitápira Sangrámápira 2nd. Vrihaspadi Ananchápira Utpalapira Seventeen Princes montha nevenzán no a reign: from nastv therefore requires no modifi	reigued w little u the communication. LA OR V	50 8 8 8 7 1 7 2 7 3 31 12 7 7 3 31 12 7 7 12 36 3 2 2 2 2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	651- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 769- 772- 503- 815- 823- 84- 870- 873- and fifteen version of this a he origin	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
In which the dure FIRST OR G Concrda 3d. i bhisha na ndrajit tivana (ibhisha na 2nd. iibhisha na 2nd. iib	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cyfied. ONERD! Years of reign. 35 63 6 830 9 60 37 7 60 60 70 63 30 52 2 60	wing remark 310 D, several reigi 14 A DYNAS Date of the original, BC, 1142 147 1096 1600-6 1030-6 1030-6 1030-6 2765-2 765-2 765-2 572-2 572-2 490 430 430	TY. Adjunted date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 298 280 202 244 276 218 200 182 164 146 128	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Cavalavédítya Vajrádítya Vajrádítya Jaján Ja	reigued g little u the commune the chrone leation. LA OR V Reign. 28 3	50 8 8 4 3 7 1 7 4 2 7 7 3 31 12 7 7 12 2 36 3 2 2 260 year ore than 6 encement ology of 1 2 ERM A D Date A. II 875-10	851- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822- 834- 873- and fifteen yee of this a	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR Good of the second of the	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cified. ONERD! Years of reign. 35 53 35 6 30 35 6 39 9 60 70 60 70 63 30 60 70 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	wing remark IAIO D, several reigi RIA DYNAS [Date of the original, BC, 1192 1147 1096 1030-6 1030-6 1030-6 2705-2 765-2 705-2 572-2 5490 430 370	TY. Adjustee date: 370 352 334 316 298 280 202 244 226 216 216 200 182 164 148 100 82	Pratápáchiya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádirya Cuwalayáchiya Cuwalayáchiya Cuwalayáchiya Prithiyapira Sangramápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Ajátapira Ajátapira Lipahapira Sengramápira Ulpahapira Seventen Driness montha averagin anastv therefore requires no modif	reigued ge little u the community control of the chron leation. LA OR V. Reign. 28 3 18 8	50 8 8 8 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12 7 7 12 36 3 2 2 20 year anore than fire encement ology of t 1 875-10 904-1 875-10 904-1	851- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 762- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822- 834- 870- 873- and fifteen yes of this c of this c	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR Good of the second of the	in the foll () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	wing remark IIOD, several reigi VA DYNAS Date of the original. BC. 1182 T 1080-6 1080-	TY. Adjustec date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 208 280 262 244 226 218 200 182 164 128 100 82 64	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Jajápira Jajápira Jajápira Jajápira Jajápira Jajápira Jajápira Ananrápira Jitápira Ananrápira Utpalapira Seventeen Princes montha averagin to a rejyn: from nastv therefore requires no modif FIFTH. THE UTPA Aditva Vermá Sancrav Vermá Gopála Vermá	reigued g little u the community cation. LA OR V. Reign. 28 3 18 8 2	50 8 8 4 3 7 1 7 4 2 7 7 3 31 12 7 7 12 2 36 3 2 2 260 year ore than 6 encement ology of 1 2 ERM A D Date A. II 875-10	851- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822- 834- 873- and fifteen yee of this a	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained in a second and in the second and in	in the folic OND PEI tion of the cifical. ONERD! Years of reigns. 35 83 85 6 80 30 60 30 6 37 7 60 60 60 60 60 57 39 3 3	wing remark IIOD, several reigi KA DYNAS Date of the ori- the ori- 147 1096 1090-6 903 802-9 825-2 705-2 572-2 572-2 572-2 449 30 370 310 253	TY. Adjustec. date. BC. 388 370 3502 334 316 208 2800 2902 2144 226 218 200 182 164 148 100 82 64 466	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Cavalavádítya Vajrádítya Vajrádítya Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajjára Lalitápira Sangrámápira Lalitápira Anonrápira Lutitápira Anonrápira Lutitápira Anonrápira Lutitápira Anonrápira Lendrápira Anonrápira Lendrápira Lendrápira Lendrápira Lendrápira Lendrápira Lendrápira Anonrápira Lendrápira Lendráp	reigued g little n the community community community. LA OR V	50 8 8 8 8 9 36 7 1 7 9 12 2 7 7 3 31 12 2 7 7 12 36 36 3 2 2 260 year core than a cancement ology of t 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	851- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 762- 769- 772- 503- 815- 822- 834- 870- 873- and fifteen yes of this c of this c	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura FIRST OR Good of the second of the	in the follow of the following	wing remark IIOD, several reigi VA DYNAS Date of the original. BC. 1182 T 1080-6 1080-	TY. Adjustec date. BC. 388 370 352 334 316 208 280 262 244 226 218 200 182 164 128 100 82 64	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádrya Vajrádítya Vajrádítya Vajrádítya Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápira Lalitápira Anonrápira Anonrápira Anonrápira Lupalapira Seventeen Princes months averagin to a reign: from nastv therefore requires no modif FIFTH. THE UTPA Aditva Vermá Sancara Vermá Gopála Vermá Sancara Vermá	reigued or little matter that the community community community. LA OR V. Reign. 28 3 18 8 2 10 dys. 2 2 2	50 8 8 8 7 1 7 4 2 7 3 31 12 7 7 12 36 3 2 2 20 year anore than fire encement ology of t 1 875-10 904-1 875-10 904-1	851- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762- 762- 762- 772- 803- 815- 822- 834- 870- 873- and fileen yeight of this is he origin	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dura FIRST OR Good of the second of the	in the follow of the following	wing remark IIOD, several reigi KA DYNAS Date of the ori- the ori- 147 1096 1090-6 903 802-9 825-2 705-2 572-2 572-2 572-2 449 30 370 310 253	TY. Adjustec. date. BC. 388 370 3502 334 316 208 2800 2902 2144 226 218 200 182 164 148 100 82 64 466	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Cavalavádítya Vajrádítya Vajrádítya Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajjapira Jupiajpira Seventeen Princes montha averagin to a reign: from requires no modif FIFTH. THE UTPA Aditva Vermá Sancrán Vermá Sancrán Vermá Sancrán Vermá Sancrán Ráni Patriba	reigued g little n the community community community. LA OR V	50 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	651- 701- 710- 710- 714 7500- 751- 758- 762 769- 772- 503- 815- 823- 816- 870- 873- 8 and fifteen year of this a the origin YNAST Cashmir 59 77	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
the grounds on ware explained in a second of the second of	in the folic OND PEI tion of the ceified. ONERD! Fright. 35 53 36 6 30 35 6 30 30 60 37 7 60 60 60 60 57 38 3 4	wing remark IIOD, several reigi KA DYNAS [Date of the original, BC, 1182] BC, 1182 [147] 1096 [169-6] 903 [169-6] 903 [169-6] 904 [169-6]	TY. Adjunted date. BC. 388 370 3502 334 316 208 280 262 244 226 216 108 109 82 100 82 100 82 64 128 100 82 82 88	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Tárápira Laditáditya Vajráditya Vajráditya Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápíra Lalitápira Anoncápíra Anoncápíra Lalitápira Anoncápíra Lupalapira Seventeen Princes months, averagin to a reign: from nastv therefore requires no modid FIFTH. THE UTPA Aditva Vermá Sancara Vermá Gopála Vermá Sancara Vermá Gopála Vermá Sancara Vermá Rági Pártha Rági Pártha Rági Pártha Rági	reigued or little matter that the community community community. LA OR V. Reign. 28 3 18 8 2 10 dys. 2 2 2	50 8 8 8 8 9 36 7 1 7 9 12 2 7 7 3 31 12 2 7 7 12 36 36 3 2 2 260 year core than a cancement ology of t 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	851- 701- 710- 714 750- 751- 758- 762- 762- 762- 772- 803- 815- 822- 834- 870- 873- and fileen yeight of this is he origin	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR Good of the second of the	in the folic OND PEE of the copied of the co	wing remark IIOD, several reigi IIOD, several IIIOD, several IIOD, several IIIOD, several IIOD, several IIOD, several IIOD, several IIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIOD, several IIIOD, several IIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Adjustee date. Adjustee date. BC. 388 370 352 352 280 280 280 280 202 244 226 181 200 182 164 180 180 282	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Tárápira Laditáditya Vajráditya Vajráditya Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápíra Lalitápira Anoncápíra Anoncápíra Lalitápira Anoncápíra Lupalapira Seventeen Princes months, averagin to a reign: from nastv therefore requires no modid FIFTH. THE UTPA Aditva Vermá Sancara Vermá Gopála Vermá Sancara Vermá Gopála Vermá Sancara Vermá Rági Pártha Rági Pártha Rági Pártha Rági	reigued or little matter that the community community community. LA OR V. Reign. 28 3 18 8 2 10 dys. 2 2 2	50 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	651- 701- 710- 710- 714 7500- 751- 758- 762 769- 772- 503- 815- 823- 816- 870- 873- 8 and fifteen year of this a the origin YNAST Cashmir 59 77	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
the grounds on ware explained in a second of the second of	in the folic OND PEE of the copied of the co	wing remark IIOD, several reigi KA DYNAS [Date of the original, BC, 1182] BC, 1182 [147] 1096 [169-6] 903 [169-6] 903 [169-6] 904 [169-6]	Adjustee date. Adjustee date. BC. 388 370 352 352 280 280 280 280 202 244 226 181 200 182 164 180 180 282	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Tárápira Jaján Ja	reigued gr little uthe community the chronication. LA OR V. Reign. 28 3 18 8 2 10 dys. 2	50 8 8 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 8 8 8 9 9 8 8 9 8 8 9	651-1701-710-710-710-710-710-710-750-750-750-750-750-750-750-750-750-75	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The grounds on ware explained SEC In which the dure FIRST OR Good of the second of the	in the folic OND PEE of the copied of the co	wing remark IIOD, several reigi IIOD, several IIIOD, several IIOD, several IIIOD, several IIOD, several IIOD, several IIOD, several IIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIOD, several IIIOD, several IIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIOD, several IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Adjustee date. Adjustee date. BC. 388 370 352 352 280 280 280 280 202 244 226 181 200 182 164 180 180 282	Pratápáditya Chandrápira Tárápira Laditádrya Tárápira Laditádrya Vajrádítya Vajrádítya Prithivyápíra Sangrámápira Jajja Jayápíra Laditádrya Jajja Jayápíra Laditápíra Anonrápíra Anonrápíra Anonrápíra Lipalapíra Seventeen Princes months averagin to a reign: from nastv therefore requires no modif FIFTH. THE UTPA Aditva Vermá Sancara Vermá Gupála Vermá Sancara Vermá Suncara Vermá	reigued or little matter that the community community community. LA OR V. Reign. 28 3 18 8 2 10 dys. 2 2 2	50 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	651- 701- 710- 710- 714 7500- 751- 758- 762 769- 772- 503- 815- 823- 816- 870- 873- 8 and fifteen year of this a the origin YNAST Cashmir 59 77	5 5 1 1 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	

HISTORY OF CASHMIR.

	Reign. Date A. D. Cashmir			LAST OR MIXED DYNASTIE			
Chacra Verma	10	942-9	years.		. D.:	Date A. D	
Sura Verma	1	952-0	7		Itrign.	pate A. I	
Part'ha, a second	-			Yasascara Déva:	9	960-3	
time	0.6	953-9	8	Sangrama Déva	0.6	969 - 3	
Chacra Vermá do.	0.6	954-3	8 6	Parvagupta	16	969-9	
Sancara Verdhana	16	954-9	9	Cshémagupta	8 6	971-3	
Chacra Verma, a			1	Abhimanyu	14 0	979- 9	
third time,	1 4	956-3	10 6	Nandigupta	1.1	993-9	
Unmatti Vermá	2 2	957-7	11 10	Tribbuvana	20	994-10	
Sura Verma 2d	06	959-9	14	Bhimagupta	4 3	996-10	
				Didda Rani	23 6	1001-1	
Twelve Princes reigi	ned 84 ye	ars and five	months.	Sangrama Déva		1024-7	

Welve Princes reigned 84 years and five months, averaging little more than eight years to a reign. Besides the Silinahana war, the original introduces with this dynasty a new method of computing, by a cycle of 100 years,

Nine Princes reigned to the accession of Sangrama Deva 64 years and four months, averaging rather more than seven years to a reign.

Remarks on the History and Chronology of Cashmir.

HAVING now completed the sketch of Cashmirian History, it may be expected that we should revert to it for a moment, for the purpose of taking a concise view of the light which it reflects upon the General History and Chronology of the Hindus; objects of more interest than the local transactions which it details, and which, circumscribed within the narrow limits of a petty state, of remote site and difficult access, are neither in themselves, nor in their effects upon their neighbours, of any magnitude or importance: it may be added however that they are of the same general character, as the events which make up History in all countries, and may not be therefore devoid of interest, to the mind that can be contented to contemplate man, in so sequestered a region, as the valley of Cashmir.

It appears very evident that Cashmir has been a regular kingdom for a period, that transcends the limits of legitimate history, and even if we feel disposed to contest the accounts of our author, and to dispute his series of Dynasties and Princes, we must still rest satisfied with the proof of its existence either under the names of Caspapyrus or Abisarus,* as early as the days of Herodotus and Alexander: there can be no doubt however of the regular organization of this state at a period, much antecedent, and it is probable that in remote times it exercised a more decided interference in the concerns of India, than it has done for many centuries past: it seems

? See Appendix, No. VIII.

Ultimed by Google

highly probable also that it was the original dominion of the *Páńdava* princes, and that it furnished in them, Sovereigns to the plains of Hindustan.

The religion of Cashmir has in like manner been Hindu from a very remote date. Originally no doubt it was the Ophite or snake worship, but this is a part of the Hindu ritual, and the Nágas are included in the orthodox pantheon: the adoration of Siva was soon ingrafted upon this, even if the two rites were not originally identified.

It appears that the Bauddha schism was known in Cashmir at a very early period, and possibly preceded the introduction of a fully organized Brahmanical priesthood: it probably in short preceded the introduction of the Brahmanical caste. Asoca, although a worshipper of Siva, is said to have countenanced this new faith. His son Jaloca commenced his reign with serious efforts to suppress it, and it was possibly partly with this view, that he introduced the colony of Brahmans from Ganoui, Towards the close of his reign however he relaxed in his hostility to the Bauddhists, and his successor, although a pious worshipper of Siva, appears to have participated in the same feeling. The legend of Dâmodara's transformation, indicates his having incurred the enmity of the Brahmanical order.

The period that immediately ensues, is of great interest in the religious History of India. Cashmir became a Bauddha country under Tartar princes, shortly after the death of Sácya Sinha, according to our author, and he agrees nearly with the Chinese authorities, as to the period at which that legislator flourished, and according to them, in this very country. The latter seems to be an error; it may however indicate the direction where the birth of the elder Buddha happened, and in connexion with the circumstances narrated by the Sanscrit writer, seems to point out an extra-In-

Dhi ind by Google

dian origin for this religion: its predominance in Cashmir was of short duration, as although not extirpated, it speedily and finally gave way before the preponderance of the Brahmanical faith. If any conclusion might be drawn from such imperfect premises, it might be supposed, that the inhabitants of Cashmir originally followed an idolatrous system of their own, to which they superadded a few ill defined Gods and ceremonies, borrowed from the Brahmans of the plains; that whilst they were yet open to conversion, an attempt was made from the other side, or from Tartary, to introduce Buddhaism amongst them, which was combated and finally frustrated by southern assistance: the national faith of Cashmir has ever since continued Hindu, and the almost exclusive form of adoration has been that addressed to Siva and his Sacti.

The chronology of the Raja Taring in is not without its interest. The dates are regular, and for a long time both probable and consistent, and as they may enable us to determine the dates of persons and events, in other parts of India; as well as in Cashmir, a short review of them may not be wholly unprofitable.

. The more recent the period, the more likely it is that its chronology will be correct; and it will be therefore advisable to commence with the most

*Sir William Jones allodes to the white and ruddy complexion of Buddha as calculated to convince Mons. Bailly of the Tariar origin of this legislator. Mons. Remusar (in the Journal Datesease, Octr. 1819), commenting on some of the epithesis descriptive of Buddha, contained in a Polygiot Benddha vocabulary compiled in China (or rather translated from some Indian work, one of the Ianguages being Sanserit.) notices this spitch *Superpachhavi*, *QF dim G(nt)\$ the golden hand, but without being inclined to draw such a conclusion from it as Mons. Bailly might have drawn. The description of this *Buddha* however*, as contained in the Vocabulary described by Mons. Rumusar, *Bines de L'Orient, vol. iv. connected with the circumstances we have had occasion to notice in the text, tends very much to confirm the idea of the original Bauddha schism having been imported from Tartary. The mane of this legislator, *Supe*, is further evidence to the same effect: its Sanseritetymologies are very unsatisfactory, and it was not improbably connected with the national name Sace by which the Eastern Seythians or Tartars were formerly known both in Earope and India. The distinction between the different Buddhas of whom Oautama, prince of Behar, was one, must always be borne in minde

United by Google

modern, and recede gradually to the most remote dates. The Table prefixed was necessarily constructed on a different principle, and depends upon the date of Gonerda the 3rd, which, as I have previously explained, is established according to the Chronology of the text. Gonerda 3rd lived, according to Calhama Pańdit, 2330 years before the year of Saca 1070, or A.D. 1148, and consequently his accession is placed B. C. 1182: the periods of each reign are then regularly deduced till the close of the history, which is thus placed in the year of Christ 1025, or about 120 years before the author's own time. That the reign of the last sovereign did terminate about the period assigned, we may naturally infer, not only from its proximity to what we may conclude was the date at which the work was written, but from the absence of any mention of Mahmud's invasions, and the introduction of a Prithity Pála, who is very possibly the same with the Pitteruge Pal of Lahore, mentioned in the Mohammedan histories.

Taking therefore the date of *Didda Ráñi*, as being at least very near the truth, we may go up the list with some confidence through three dynasties at least. The three last series present an aggregate of thirty-eight princes, and but 409 years, giving us less than eleven years to a reign, an average rate, with which the most cautious chronologist may be contented. The first of the three series, which presents the longest average, gives us less than 16 years to a reign, which is equally unexceptionable, and we have therefore every reason to conclude that the chronology of our author is perfectly accurate, as far back as the year 616 of the Christian æra.

The History of Cashmir is too purely domestic during the period comprised within these limits, for us to be able to apply the chronology of the author to the establishment of dates, for incidents or persons of interest in the records of Hindustan. Sancara Vermá is said to have subverted the extensive empire acquired by Bhoja, and he may be supposed therefore to have been nearly contemporary with that prince. Sancara Vermá reigned from 904 to 922. The date of Bhoja is now fixed with tolerable certainty, within the limits of the beginning of the tenth and that of the eleventh cen-

Dig and by Google

tury. The Rájá Taringiñi however would throw him farther back, if he preceded Śancara Vermá, and place him in the close of the ninth century. We need not expect however extreme accuracy in this matter, and may rest satisfied with considering it as an approximation to the truth, and generally as an additional testimony of Bhoja's having flourished early in the tenth century.

The reign of Jayápíra from 772 to 803 may hereafter throw some light upon the literary history of the Hindus, when the writings of the authors patronized by him shall be met with. It is highly probable that Cshíra is the commentator on Amera, in which case, we have advanced one step in the antiquity of that philologist. What author is meant by Bhalla is not ascertained; it cannot be Mammaffa Bhaffa, the Cashmirian author of the Cávya Pracása, as that writer must have been subsequent to Saí Hersha, a king of Cashmir, who reigned about 40 years after our history closes, and to whom, or to whose works, frequent reference is made in the Cávya Pracása.

Another set of names of literary interest occurs in the reign of Lalitadity, from A.D. 714 to 750. Two of the three are yet to be ascertained, but it is highly satisfactory to have fixed the date of so eminent a writer as Bhavabhuti. If Yasovermá, king of Canouj, should elsewhere appear to be the same as Kírii Vermá, it would tend to some important conclusions in this branch of literary enquiry. The state of India at the period of Lalitaditya's reign is tolerably well detailed by our author, but it is unnecessary to examine the subject here, as it has been dwelt upon at some length in the note relating to his supposed military marches.

After passing the limits of the year 616, the character of our author's chronology undergoes an unfavourable change. Thirty-seven princes in three dynasties reign 1797 years, or on an average more than 48 years each, an average term which very much exceeds possibility, and which can only be explained by supposing either, that the number of princes is defective, and that the reigns of those who have disappeared from the record,

The and by Google

have been added to those of the princes lucky enough to have escaped oblivion, or that the whole are carried too far back into antiquity, and the date of GONERDA from which it starts, having been made much too remote, it was necessary to elongate the respective reigns to fill up the protracted interval: both these sources of error most probably exist, but there seems reason to suppose, that the first is more particularly the cause of the objectionable duration assigned to several reigns.

The Third Dynasty embraces ten princes, and a period of 592 years, but as one of them RANADITYA engrosses three centuries, the remaining 292 years are to be divided amongst nine kings, giving an average of little more than 32 years to a reign. The most remarkable person alluded to as connected with general history, is VICRAMÁDITVA, the second king of that appellation introduced by our author: he is placed in a period new to the many enquiries regarding his date, or in the year A. D. 117, shortly after which he must have died, according to CALHANA Pandit. We have no clue therefore to the identification of this prince, and, in the absence of better grounds of conjecture, may attempt it by adverting to the erroneous reign of RASADI-TYA of three centuries, as well as the long reigns of almost all the princes of the dynasty. It seems likely, that the VICRAMÁDITYA, who put the brahman MATRIGUPTA on the throne of Cashmir, was the prince of that name who lived in the 5th century, or in 441:* that Calhana, or preceding writers, confounding him with the Sacári prince, although they did not make him exactly contemporary even with Sáltváhan, placed him fully three centuries too early: that when they came to the Cárcota dynasty, they found out their mistake, and could devise no other method of correcting it, than by adding the deficient years to the reign of RANADITYA, and thus embellishing their history with a marvel. The defeat of SILADITYA by PRAVARASÉNA, as has been noticed, confirms this view of the subject. The VICRAMÁDITYA of the 5th century reigned, it is said, 100 years, dying in A.D. 541 but according to the Satrunjaya Mahatmaya, Śiláditva was king in 447; we may therefore restrict the father to a sufficiently probable reign of about 35 years, when we shall have PRAVARASENA, king of Cushmir, in 476. Between his accession, and that of DURLABHA VERDDHANA, we shall then have an

interval of 139 years, to be divided amongst six princes, and although this will give us a little more than the probable average reign, or 23 years for each prince, yet it still is not extravagant, and the excess may either go to form an unusually long reign for Ranaditya, whence arose the tradition of its lasting for three centuries, or it may be required for the apparent chasm that exists between his reign, and the unconnected succession of the Cashmirian prince, named also Vicramáditya.

By bringing the reign of Pravaraséna so low as A.D. 476, we are involved in some perplexity, as to the propriety of subjecting the preceding dynasties to a proportionate reduction. If the series of the princes were accurately stated, this would seem to be a necessary consequence, and if besides this we should restrict the duration of each reign to the highest possible average or 20 years, we shall then effect a very material modification of our author's chronology, and reduce his first date from 1182 B.C. to no more than 144 years before that æra. There are however some difficulties in the way of this computation:

The first is the reign of Pratápáditya, a kinsman of Vicramáditya, placed however by our author 168 B. C. and consequently, according to him, not connected with the Vicramáditya, from whose time the Samvat æra is dated. This inference so obviously arises from the system of our author's chronology, that it is entitled to but little weight, unless that can be proved unexceptionable. We may therefore conclude that Pratápáditya was connected with the family of the SACÁRI VICRAMÁDITYA, and that he lived about the commencement of the christian æra: it does not appear that he was contemporary with his illustrious kinsman. From Pratápáditya, to Pravaraséna, we have ten princes, and 486 years, which gives us consequently the inadmissible duration of 48 years to a reign. The original chronology is less extravagant, but equally improbable, as that gives us an average of 29 years to a reign: there is an error therefore somewhere in this part of the history, and either the chronology is wrong, or the series of princes is inaccurate. It is worthy of remark, that the course of succession is a very interrupted one throughout the whole period: PRATAPADITYA himself ascends the throne

The read by Google

without any apparent cause. VIJAYA who succeeds TUNJINA seems to have had an equally undefined claim. ARYA or the resuscitate d Sandhimati, was evidently an impostor, who succeeded JAYÉNDEA, after an interval, which is not specified. MÉCHAYÁHANA, though called the great grandson of Yudhishfile might have been a more remote descendant, and the period assigned for the foreigner Matrigupta's election and government, appears to be much too contracted: it is not unlikely therefore that the transactions of the period are imperfectly narrated, and that the blank intervals created by the omission, have been distributed amongst such portions of the record as have been preserved.

The farther back we proceed, the more likely it becomes, that such omissions have extensively and frequently occurred, and accordingly we find the reigns increase very materially in their assigned duration. The average of the 21 reigns of the first dynasty, exceeds 48 years; there are however several chasms in the history, which have been noticed at the time of their occurrence, and it is difficult to admit any very material reduction of the date of the first of the series, in consequence of our author's near agreement with the Chinese and Tibetian writers as to the existence of Sacra about ten centuries anterior to the Christian æra. We have only one clue to a reduction of this date: it is possible, that the text has confounded the original Buddha, with the Sacya of the 6th century before Christ. This is the more probable, because from earlier events it appears that, Bauddhism preceded in Cashmir the Sácya alluded to; consequently he could not have been the primitive Buddha, the founder of the faith: if this be the case, we shall reduce the date of the 3rd Gonerda to something more than a century and a half subsequent to the GAUTAMA, who flourished about 542 Å.C. or to about B. C. 388 and this will leave us an average of no more than 18 years for the reigns of this dynasty.

That the third GONZEDA reigned about the beginning of the fourth century before Christ, derives some support from the possible connexion between

some of the Transactions recorded in the history of Cashmir, and those which took place in the neighbouring countries in collateral periods, especially the Turushka or Scythian invasions of Persia.

The temporary occupation of Media by the Scythians, took place according to the most approved computations about the end of the seventh century before the christian æra and they were defeated and expelled about the beginning of the 6th.* This period should correspond in Cashmirian history, on the principles we have adopted for its chronology, with the reign of Asoka the third prince anterior to the Tartar rulers, and we find it particularly noticed in his reign that Cashmir was over-run with Mlech chhas or barbarians, possibly some of the fugitives from the power of the Persian monarch, who endeavoured in their retreat to establish themselves in Cashmir.

The Scythian subjugation of Media appears as a single and transitory, revolution as recorded by Herodotus, but in the pages of the Persian writers it occurs, only as one of various vicissitudes, in the long struggle for superiority between the sovereigns of Iran and Turan. This war began it appears with Feridun, whom modern writers agree to place about 749 B. C.† Kai Kaus according to the Persians, and Cyrus according to the Greeks, invaded the Massagetæ and was defeated if not slain in the engagement. It was in the reign of this prince and that of his successor, KAI Knossu, that the prowess of Rustem was displayed so fatally in opposition to Afrasiab, and the armies of Turan, and whatever Grecian princes may be regarded as the representative of his masters, it is unquestionable that the periods in which they reigned approach to those of the Tartar conquest of Cashmir. Perhaps however it may be still more satisfactorily associated, with events, undoubtedly posterior to the wars, in which Rustem's celebrity

According to Larcher (Traduction D'Herodote) The first 633 B.C. and the second 605 B.C. According to Falsey (Chronologic D'Herodote.) The Scythian invasion occurred B.C. 625 and their exputation in 598.

[†] Malcolm, i. 213. 220. Kennedy, (Bombay Transactions,) ii. 120.

was first acquired, and may have formed an Episode in the furious and for a time triumphant invasion of Persia, by the Tartar king ARJASP; when Khorasan was plundered, Balkh was taken, and the old king of Persia LOHRASP was included in the general massacre of the priests and followers of Zoroaster. If the king of Persia, Gushtasp, the object of these hostilities, be the same with DARIUS HYSTASPES, as seems probable, these events should have occurred between the years B.C. 521 and 435-By the computation of the Sanscrit text, the Turushka princes must have reigned some time subsequent to Sácya Sinha, who as Gautama dates B.C. 542, but it is not at all clear that the three princes were cotemporary, and we have no guide to the duration of their authority, beyond the inferences already alluded to, derived from its ceasing within a century and a half after the death of the legislator: supposing them then to have been half a century later, they will be cotemporary with the war between the Persian and Tartar monarchs, and may have been individual adventurers who took advantage of the temporary confusion to establish themselves in Cashmir: it is also worthy of observation, that as they brought with them a new impulse to the Bauddha religion, so the war between ARJASP and GUSHTASP was entirely religious, arising out of the attempt of the former to compel the latter to revert to the common faith of their ancestors, very probably the Bauddha or Sákyan, that of the Sacæ or Scythians, which Gushtasp had abandoned for the religion of the Medes, the worship of Fire.†

If the Tartar princes then governed Cashmir through the greater part of

[•] Malcolm's Persia, i. 62.

[†] In the days of Cyrus, as well observed by Volney, the Persians did not worship the elements: this opinion is founded on the account given by Nicolas Damascenus of the pile prepared to burn Creasus, which Volney infers he derived from Xanthus who wrote a history of the kings of Lydid 60 years before Herodotus: it was on that occasion the historian states, that the Persians established the law, conformably to the oracles of Zoroaster, that Fire should be no more contaminated with the carcases of the dead. Chronologic D'Heredotz, 251. In the code of the Parsis however the other elements receive equal veneration. Elementa enim omnia tenentur servari pura. Hyde Hist. Relig. vet. Per. 414. Persoc nolentes Terram pollecre defenetorum corpora non humant, &c. Bisi. Yet the Tomb of Cyrus was very celebrated, and even Darius Hystaspes himself is said by Ktesias to have had his tomb prepared whilst living—how are these contradictions to be reconciled.

the fifth century before the Christian æra, as appears likely, the accession of Gonerda the third must of course be assigned to the commencement of the fourth, and as the year 150 of Sácya or B. C. 392, fell according to the original within the reign of Abhimanyu, we may place it a few years subsequent or B. C. 388.

Without venturing to place much reliance on the coincidence of names adverted to in the note (p. 27), we may observe that both it, and the frequent mention of the *Mtech'chhas* which occurs in the succeeding reigns, are favourable to our hypothetical adjustment of the dates, if the barbarians and foreigners alluded to, can be considered to bear any relation to the Macedonian invasion or Bactrian kingdom.

It were too wild an attempt to carry the investigation of our author's chronology beyond the period at which we have now arrived. He pretends not to precision himself. Of the fifty-three princes with whom he has peopled the years that clapse between the first and third Goneroa, thirty-five are without names, and the rest without dates. The singular view he has taken of the ara of Caisna will be fully commented on, but it is still too far remote to bear any historical character. We may perhaps however derive from the Rájā Taringiñi, a confirmation of the theories, that place the Yádava and Páñdava associates within the limits of the 14th century before the Christian ara.

The eighteen Princes whose names occur in the list will give us, upon the average of 20 years to a reign, 360 years. There are however but sixteen reigns particularised, and supposing these to be the whole number, the computation is but 320 years, which, being added to the date of Gonerda the third, as above conjecturally fixed at B.C. 338, gives us 708 B.C. for the date of Catshna and Yudhishfhir. But it is admitted that the first Buddha, whose date may be considered at least 1000 B.C.* was something pos-

* Buddha, according to Abul-fazl, B. C. 1306 | De Guigues, 1027 | Jones, 1627 | Giorgi, 959 | Rentley, 1081 | Ditto, 1004

The later date assigned to this legislator undoubtedly refers to a different person,

Marind by Google

terior to the heroes of the great war,* and we require therefore a considerable addition to the years that clapsed between the first and third Gonerda. This addition we may derive from the thirty-five nameless kings, whose insertion probably was designed to fill up the chasm, and will allow two or three centuries to be added to the interval: we shall then perhaps, as a matter of chronological, though not historical accuracy, be near the truth, if we admit the 61 reigns, and give them an average length of 20 years, as we shall then have Crishna alive about 1400 B. C. a computation which will agree well enough with those which have been made by our most eminent scholars.†

The period that intervenes between the first Gonerda and the colonization of the country under Caśyapa is stated in the original to be 1266 years: that the precise extent of this interval has not been recorded with that precision which the author affects, may easily be granted, but there is some reason to suspect that it is very near the truth, and in that case it so fon small importance, as it gives probability to the whole scheme of our conjectural chronology for the Hindu history, and furnishes an additional testimony to the veracity of the Mosaic record.

If Gonerda the first lived about 1400 years before Christ, and 1266 years intervened between his reign and the desiccation of Cashmir, we place that event 2666 years before the Christian æra, and in fact within a near ap-

Director Google

SIR WM. JONES SAYS 200 years, according to the Cashmirians, who boast of his (Buddha's) descent in their kingdom, (A. R.i. 425.) If he alluded to the Rájá Taringin'n', and there is no other Cashmirian authority yet in the possession of Europeans, he must have been misinformed, as far as regards the latter part of this statement; the birth of Buddha, either the first or second, being no where mentioned in the work of Kalhana, to have occurred within the limits of Cashmir.

[†] Mr. Colebrooke supposes the Vedas were not arranged in their present form earlier than the 14th century before the Christian zera, (A. R. vii. 21:) but Vrast, the compiler was contemporary with the heroes of the Mahbharat, consequently they flourished about the period assigned in the text. Major Wilford computes the close of the great war, as having taken place B.C. 1570 (A. R. ix.) Dr. Hamilton considers Sri Krishna to have lived somewhat later; or in the 12th century before our zera, (Genealogies of the Hinday, Introduction, p. 24.)

proximation to the period at which the Deluge may be supposed to have occurred,* and to which event therefore the tradition really relates.

• The ordinary computations place this event A. C. 2349, but late writers of equal research and various sentiments agree in considering this too recent.—Dom Clement." L'Art de verifier les dates, "make its date A. C. 3310. (Journal Des Savans. Fevrier, 1820). A writer in the Classical Journal (Sir Mm. Drummend apparently) estimates the date 3128 years before the birth of Christ (C. J. 24. 133) and the Res. G. C. Fader, following the chronology of the Samaritan Pentateuch, places the Deluge A. C. 2038. (Origin of Pagan Idolatry, vol. ili. 600.) Even then if we admit the original chromology without alteration, it will not be very for beyond the first of these periods, and it comes within the limits of the two hundred theories of Christian writers, which have taken a range of from 6081 to 3010, for the number of years that elapsed between the creation and the commencement of our arca.

Whitedby Google

APPENDIX.

No. I.

Legend of the drying of the Valley of Cashmir, from the Wakiat-i-Cashmir.

كويا كه عالم آب بود وجاي براملان نداشت ودرميان اب جلديو نام ديوى ادم عور سكرت ورزيده علم تسلط وتعلب بوانواشت اطواف وجوانب انوا صوركوده هرجا چيزي ميالت بوده و صوردة و ويران كوده ميامل مدتي برين منوال كل شت اتعاقا كشف يام عابلاي بسر ماريح كه بعقيده اكثر هنود نميره برهماست وتي بزيارت معابل ميونت مهري از وصيده ان ولايت واعواب يافت و در تحقيق وتغتيش سبب ان شتافت مورم اطراف كتنف كه جلديو نام ديوي است ميان صتي در ازالجا بوامده مودم والمي على وباز باب ميوود دل كشف برحال مودم بلدرد امل وهزار سال دروضع نويدك كه مكان مشهور نزديك هيروبر است عبادت كرد ومهاديو كه باه علاج هنود بورود كاز واكويدل از كويدل از كويدل ازكوت عبادت دريافت او برحم امل وملعاي او رابرصيل او اصتدعاي ده غر جلديو كود مهاديو بش وبرهها را كه از كاركينان مهاديو انله بلدخش خويدات بدخن تاصل سال با جلديو جنگ كود بنابر شلت اب وكثرت سيلاب دست بهر نيافت امر الامر حيله انكيشت در نواحي با روموله لختي از كوي كنده بلدرو اب بهر رسانيل و زمين مسطح كثت ان ديو را بدست اورده كشت و مكان وصيع از اب ظاهر كوديد و بكشف سركو وا كويند يعني كوه كشف

The country was entirely covered with water, in the midst of which a demon, Jaladeo, resided, who preyed upon mankind, and seized on every thing and person he could meet with in the neighbouring regions. It happened at length that Cashep, the son of Marichi, and according to some accounts the grandson of Brahmá, visited this country, and having spent some time in pious abstraction on mount Sumer, turned his attention to the desolated appearance of the earth, and eaquired its cause: the people told him of the abode of Jaladeo in Sati Sur, and his predatory incursions upon them. The heart of Cashef was moved to compassion, and he took up his residence in Noubadan, near Hirapur, for a thousand years, employing that period in religious austerities; in consequence of which, Ma-

United by Google

HÁDEO appeared to him, and assented to his prayers for the extirpation of Jaladeo. MAHÁDEO accordingly sent his servants VISHNU and BRAHMÁ to expel the demon. VISHNU was engaged in the conflict 100 years, when finding that the mud and water afforded a secure retreat to the Deo, he at last made the chasm at Baramouleh, by which the waters were drained off, the demon exposed, taken and slain, and the country recovered and rendered habitable; being thence called Cashef-Sir, or the mountain of Cashef.

No. II.

Snake worship in Cashmir.

We have frequent occasion to notice the important figure which snakes and snake deities make in the worship and traditionary history of Cashmir. The extent and permanence of the superstition we may learn from Abulfazl, who observes, that in seven hundred places there are carved figures of snakes, which they worship. Ay. Ac. ii. 148. His statement is in fact taken from the text of Punya Bhatta: for its being as old as the age of Alexander, we have what may be regarded as sufficient, though indirect testimony; for Onesicritus, as quoted by Strabo, avers, that Abisards, who we shall hereafter see is a misnomer for Cashmir, or a part of it, is said by his embassadors to cherish two enormous dragous, παρ' δοδο δράκολος απγγηλλονδι παρ' αίδου πρ' επ' είξι τρ' εφεσ') οι τον μὲν δγδοί κούλα πηχών, τον δὲ τετιοράκολος, πρὸς τοῖς έκατὸν, ως ἐιργικο 'Ονισικρίος.

Apud quem, Abisarum, legati ab eo missi, nunciaverunt, duos dracones nutriri, alterum octoginta cubitorum longitudine, alterum centum et quadriginta, ut Onesicritus refert. The Oxford editor judiciously observes on this passage; "Serpentes in India nonnulli pedes 30 longitudine æquant; nulli autem superant. Quo circa hæc legatorum relatio, ad cultum Idolatricum referre videtur, nam Idola esse magnitudinis vere mirabilis, in templis Indorum constat. Evinde Dracones esse ingentes figuras in templis suspicor, et legati vel vivos existire finxerunt, vel Maccdones eorum Lin-

District by Google

guam minus intellexerunt. Page 994 and note.-Mr. R. P. Knight, in his inquiry into the Symbolical language of ancient Art and Mythology, (Classical Journal, vol. xxiii. p. 14) states, upon the authority of Maximin of Tyre, that when Alexander entered India, "TAXILUS, a powerful prince of the country" (he was raja of the Tacshasilas) "showed him a serpent of enormous size, which he nourished with great care, and revered as the image of the God whom the Greek writers from the similitude of his attributes called Dionysus or Bacchus." Whether the Cashmirian worship of snakes was mystical, at least in the earliest ages, may be questioned. There is likewise reason to suppose that this worship was diffused throughout the whole of India, as besides the numerous fables and traditions relating to the Nágas or snake gods, scattered through the Puránas, vestiges of it still re-that the destruction of the whole serpent race by Janamejaya, the son of PARICSHIT, recorded in the Puranas as a historical fact, may in reality imply the subversion of the local and original superstition, and the erection of the system of the Vedas upon its ruins.

No. III.

Of the Pandaa Regio of the Classical Writers.

In this as in several instances we may trace apparently very different places, some not very far remote, however, and all not improbably resolvable into the same, or at least in some manner connected. We have in the first place a city of the Sogdians, called Panda, as PLINY, vi. 16, Ultra Sogdiani, oppidum Panda: and Solinus (c. 49) Ultra hos (Bactros) Panda, oppidum Sogdianorum. The same authorities mention a Gens Panda or Pandea gens, whom Pliny (vi. 20) places low down on the Indus, near its mouths. Solinus (c. 52) probably intends to assign them a similar site. Arrian says the Pandæan region was denominated after Pandæa, the daughter of Hercules, it being the country in which she was born, and

My and by Google

which he governed nat the xwopy wate everilo nat follows thetpeter adopt a prese · Η ρακλέης, Πανδαιην, της παιδός επώνυμον, but he does not indicate its locality beyond the remark that Hercules was particularly venerated by the Suraseni, the people on the Jobares, whose chief cities were Methora and Kleisobora, these being in fact the Surasenas on the Jamuna, one of whose capital cities was Mat'hura, and we might consequently suppose he meant by the Pandaa regio, the country along the western bank of the Jamuna. The next authority, and who first speaks with precision of the situation of the northern Pandyans, (for we need not here advert to the Pandion of the Peninsula) is PTOLEMY; he fixes them at once in the Punjab, about the Hydaspes, the Vitasta, or river of Cashmir; Περίδε τον Βιδάς την ή ΠΑΝΔΩΟΥ (πονδοούων) χώρα, Circa autem Bydaspum, Pandovorum regio; a place, where, agreeably to the views of the text, we might expect at the period of the history of the Mahábhárat to find them. That they came originally from Sogdiana would be also in harmony with our view of the subject, and their occupation of the upper part of the Doab is matter of fact. It is also probable that the same race extended themselves southward to Cambay and Guzerat, and ultimately to Madura, in the south, known to the classical Geographers as Madura Pandionis, the various positions being all correct at various epochs, and marking the migratory course of the descendants of PANDU. The accounts gathered by MEGASTHENES, which are adopted by ARRIAN and PLINY, of the customs of this country, and its traditionary history, are obviously to be traced to Indian sources, and are connected with the history of the Pándavas. It was the only Indian country governed by Queens they observe. We have a Stri Rájyam, or feminine government, frequently noticed in the text, but this lay to the east. The notion seems really to have originated in the practice of one woman being married to several husbands, a practice prevailing still throughout the Himalaya, and of an antiquity prior to the marriage of the five Pandava brethren to DRAUPADI; YUDHISHTHIR observing, in answer to the objection urged by her father DRUPADA, that they only follow in this polyandrian marriage, the path trod by other princes, प्रखेवासानु प्रखेवायानं वर्कानुवासकी। (Mahabh. Adi. p.) We have seen above that the Pandean country, according to Mer GASTHENES was denominated after a Queen who was the daughter of Hercules, a demigod, especially venerated by the Suruseni, and these ideas are of Indian origin although corrupted and disfigured, for Prithi or Cunti wife of Pásóu and mother of the Pasóavas, was the daughter of Sóra, king of the Surusenas. মুইবোন এইঅভিবেশন নাৰ্বা ব্যাল্ভাই আৰু কিন্তা কৰিব দুলি । "Śóra the most illustrious of the Yadus, was the father of Vasudeva; his daughter named Prithi was of unequalled beauty." (Mahabh. Adi. P.) The identity of place and persons is therefore unquestionable: as to Hercules he may have been readily fabricated out of Súra which, in its usual import means "a Hero," or the Herculean exploits of Balarama may have given to the Greek a reasonable pretext for assigning to him a Grecian appellation.

No. IV.

On the date of Yudhishthir, &c.

THE original passage is here subjoined together with its most obvious translation, and the chronological results which it appears to authorize.

ष्टिम्ह्याधिकामन्द्रशतकार्वियति ह्याः। स्वाधिकां कामोरान् गीनदीसाः कसि युते।
भारतं वापराति भूवार्वविति विमाधिताः। सेविदितां स्वया तयां कास्त्रसंखां प्रचानिते।
स्वयाधिपव्यसंकानां वर्धान् संव्याय भूभूतां। भूतात्वालाकां स्वितः गास्त्रतं विविद्यांति विविद्यांति विविद्यांति स्वयाधितः। भूति स्वयाधितं प्रवासित् स्वयाधितः। स्वयाधितं स्वयाधित

Gonerda and other kings governed Cashmir in the Caliyug 2268 years. Misled by the Bhárata (war) being said to take place at the end of the Dwapara age, some consider these computations as incorrect. Taking the

number of princes, and the aggregate of their reigns, and deducting it from the portion of the Cali (that has past) the remainder does not agree with that (that should be left:) abandoning that (computation,) the year of Cali 653, being passed, the Curus and Pandus existed. In the current year the 24th (of the Cashmirian cycle) of the present are or Saca 1070, from the 3rd Gonerda 2330 years have elapsed; the sum of the reigns of the fifty-two princes was 1266. Confirmation of the date is derivable from the calculation made by astronomical writers of the motion of the seven Rishis, which goes from star to star (i. e. performs a complete revolution,) in 100 years, and the Munis being in Magha, the earth was governed by Yudhishihir, the wrap of whose Government is 2526,

The 3rd Gonerda is the Kenund who succeeds Abhimun, the first prince, whose term of ruling is particularized in the Ayin-Acberi, and in the original: the preceding series of princes in both is without specific dates, but as the number of reigns in that series may be considered as either 50 or 52, it so far agrees with that of the first fifty-two monarchs whose names are not recorded, and the aggregate of their reigns although not mentioned, may probably be considered the same, or 1266 years. I am not quite sure indeed that the 1266 years do not belong to the series of which the names are specified, and that Abulfazl or his guide have not erred in placing them opposite to the fifty-two unknown sovereigns: however, be that as it may, if we allow 1230 years from Gonerda the third, and 2330 years from Gonerda the third to the years of Sáliráhana 1070—A. D. 1148, we shall come pretty near to the æra of the Curus and Pandavas as given in the above extract. Sáliráhana 1070+78. A. D. 1148

A. D. 1820

Years 672 ago.

Present year of the Cali 4920-672=4248 years.

From Gonerda 3rd, - - 2330

Gonerda 1st - - - 1266

359

Unaccounted for years of the Cali, : 652

This rest by Google

being the period that preceded Gonerda 1st, but he was contemporary with Yudhishihir and consequently that prince was alive in the year of Cali 652, which sufficiently corresponds with the notion mentioned by our author, of the Curus and the Páñdus existing after the year of the Cali Yug 653.

A different mode of calculation will come much to the same thing, making however the period close in the Saca year 1073 instead of 1070 as above: what the author means by the Laukika or current year 24, is explained in the close of the history, and refers to the year of a particular cycle peculiar to Cashmir.

	Years.
From the 3rd Gonerda,	2330
From the 1st to the 3rd,	1266
-	3596
Years of the Cali to the 1st Gonerda,	653
3 m a s 3 m s 3 m s	4249
Deduct from the present Cali year	4920
	671 years ago.
Deduct the same from the present Suca year 1744-6	3711073

Year of Saca referred to - - - 1073=A.D. 1151

A third calculation turns upon the time specified on the authority in fact of Varáhámihira, as the duration of Yudhishthir's gra, which according to the opinion of most Pandits ceased with the institution of Vicrama's.

This period comprehends - - - - - 2526 years.

Added of Saca years - - - - - 1070

The Saca year 1070 (1744-1070) was 674 years ago and 4920-674=4246

United by Google

of the Cali. But by this only 3596 years are accounted for, and there is remaining of the Cali 650: however our author here brings Yudhishthira's æra to the Sáliváhana æra, as otherwise the difference between that and Vicrama's or 134 years, must be added to the 650; he also computes the difference between Vicrama and Sáliváhana to be 135 years; we are then quite in possession of his meaning, for;

Years of the Cali to the æra of Yudhishthir, - 653
Year from Yudhishthir to Sáliváhana, - 2526
Years from Sáliváhana to our author's own date, 1070

Total of the Cali 4249

being nearly the same as the result of our first calculation, and but three years more than in our last calculation being the difference required in confirmation of our author's theory. Major Wilford makes the years of Yudhishfhir extend to the Sáliváhaña æra (A. R. ix. 211.) Mr. Colebrooke has given the passage relating to the revolution of the seven Rishis, and has described the theory which states it; as has Major Wilford in the first pages of the same volume-(87, 89, &c.) With respect to the period of the commencement of the Cali age our author's notions are the same as those commonly received.

No. V.

On the War between JARASANDHA and CRISHNA.

ALTHOUGH the name of Gonerda does not appear in the Mahábhárat, yet there is an account of an inveterate and sanguinary war between Jarasandha and Crisháa, in the course of which a battle on the Yamuná took place, when Hamsa and Dimbica two princes in alliance with the former, were killed. Hamsa was defeated by Balaráma, driven into the Yamuná and drowned. The cause and course of this war are narrated in the Mahábhárat with great appearance of probability, and throw considerable

light on the history of Carsasa and of India, in his time: its substance may therefore be not unacceptable. JARASANDHA, king of Magadhá, is described. as a powerful prince: he held in alliance or subjection, Sisupala, king of Chedi; VACRA OF VACRADANTA, king of Cárusha; the powerful prince of the Yavanas; BHAGADATTA, king of the south and west; the kings of Banga and Pundra, of the Surasénas, Bhadracáras, Bodhas, Sálwas, Paráwaras, Sust'halas, Mucutas, Pulindas, Sálwáyanas, Cuntyas, Southern Pánchalas and Eastern Cosalas, and he had driven eighteen families of the Northern Bhojas to the westward, and the Matsyas to the south. CANSA, king of Mat'hurá was married to the daughter of JARASANDHA, and it was to revenge the murder of his son-in-law, that the latter levied war upon CRISHNA. According to the Mahabharat this war continued for three years, and in the Bhágavat it is said, that JARASANDHA besieged Mat'hurá eighteen times. Both authorities agree in the result. CRISHNA was obliged to fly, and take refuge with his family and followers, in a strong place on the west coast of India, where he built the city of Dwaraca. Jarasandha's power was an insuperable obstacle to YUDHISHTHIR'S performance of the Rajasúya sacrifice, or in other words to his pretensions to be considered supreme monarch of India. This impediment was sagaciously interwoven by CRISHNA with his own quarrel, and induced the Pandava princes to arm in his behalf. Accompanied by Bhima and Arjuna, Crishna entered Behar by a circuitous route, passing under the hills through Gorackpore and Tirhut, and he thence appears to have taken JARASANDHA unprepared for defence; the text when reduced to common sense, importing, that the monarch was surprised in his capital, and after a conflict of some days killed in single combat by Вніма. The occurrence does not appear to have produced the expected consequence, as it was undoubtedly one of the causes of the great war between the Pándava and Caurava princes, one of the effects of which was to prevent CRISHEA from recovering the territory, he had murdered his uncle to obtain. Kerna, the illegitimate son of Cunti, the daughter of Sura king of Mat'hurá, who appears to have held that territory after JARASANDHA'S death, being probably placed, and undoubtedly maintained in it, by the

Caurava princes, to whom he was a faithful and valuable ally. These occurrences furnish a satisfactory clue to the close confederacy that subsisted between CRISHEA and the Páńdava brethren; his expulsion from Mat'hura, and foundation of a city on the Malabar coast. Before closing the note, we may advert to the mention of the powerful Yavanadhipa, amongst JARA-SANDHA's allies or tributaries: he is said to possess boundless authority, and to reign over the west like another Varuna. From this passage, and others not unfrequent, in which respectful mention of the Yarana power is made in the Mahábhárat, we may at least infer that the date of its composition was posterior to the Macedonian invasion of India. By the time of the composition of the Sri Bhágavat, the Yavanas had assumed a new shape, the name being applied to the Mohammedans, and the feelings of the author have evidently influenced his narration. The prince, who in the Mahábhárat is a powerful king, and is no otherwise distinguished than as one of JARA-SANDHA's many allies, becomes in the Bhagavat, Yavanasur, a titan or fiend who attacks Crishna of his own accord, and whose assault, combined with the approach of Jarasandha, with which however it is not connected in the way of confederacy or alliance, causes the Demi-god to remove his family to Dwáracá; he himself leads the Demon into a snare, and destroys him. The whole story of the war and the character of CRISHNA indeed are changed from history to legend in this work, which is manifestly the most modern of the Puráñas. The precise dominion of the Yavanádhipa, said to comprise Maru or Muru and Naraca, is not easily identified, although many traces of the former name present themselves, as in the Maruca of Ptolemy, a city of Sogdiana, and in the two Merus, Meru al Rud and Meru Shajehanabad of Khorasan, of which, the latter is an antient city, its foundation being ascribed to Tahmuras, or in later times, to Alexander, whilst, as the same with Antiochia or Seleucia, it was at one period the capital of the Bactrian kingdom. If the Maru of the Mahábhárat be either of these, therefore, the king of the Yavanas is the Bactrian monarch: indeed the same prince is most probably intended even if we carry the application of the terms to a more southerly latitude to which they very legitimately appertain. Maru (HE) properly means a desert and ill-watered region; hence it is applied to the sandy

desert along the Indus, extending westward to Kirman and Mukran, Mapu, and Naraca may then imply the Sindhie provinces, and these were reduced under the authority of the Bactrian monarch, if we may trust to Strabo and his guides, who state that that sovereign not only held Pattalene, but the territories of Tessariostus and Sigertis along the sea coast. οὐ μόνου πην Επατία χου ἀλλὰ καὶ τῆς ἀλης παραλίος τήν τα Τεσσαρίος ου καλουμίτην και τήν Σιγέρτιδος δοσιλείαν.

No. VI.

On the Gandharas or Gandarii and other Nations of the Panjab and North
West of India,

Sindhu Gandhar, fernant, is the phrase of the original—the Gandhar of the Hindu writers has been always regarded by them as the Candahar of the Mohammedans, and the text here not only corroborates the notion, but by connecting the Indus with the province, shews, that at least a subdivision of it extended beyond the limits now assigned to Candahar, and carries it across the southern portion of Afghanistan; the Hindu name was known to the ancients, and Herodotus, enumerates the Gandarii, as a people of one of the twenty satrapies of the Persian Empire under Darius Hystaspis, and subsequently as serving in the army of Xenxes Σατταγύδαι δι καὶ Γανδάριο καὶ Δαδίκαι τα καὶ Αταρθαί ες τανθο δισαγμίνοι ἐξδοήκονθα καὶ ἐκ διδο τάλαντα προσίφερον νομὸς δὶ δύθος ἱξδομος.

Tha. 91. "The Sattagydæ, Gandarii, Dadicæ and Aparytæ, were classed together and contributed 170 talents, and this was the seventh prefecture." Again, Πάρθοι δὰ καὶ Χοράσμοι και Σογδόι τε καὶ Γανδάριοί και Δαδίκαι ἐσθρατεύ τοῦ. The Parthi, Chorasmi, Sogdii, Gandarii, and Dadicæ served in the army. Pol. 66. The two last it appears were united under one command Γανδάριων τοῦ καὶ Δαδίκεων, Αρτύφιος 'ο Ααρίδνάου. "Artyphius, the son of Artabanus, commanded the Gandarii and Dadicæ."—Ibid. By the Dadicæ were no doubt intended the Daradas or Daradacas (হাহহ ο হাহহয়) with whom we often meet in the text, as the inhabitants of the rugged tract lying west

Dhiland by Google

of Cashmir, or the site of the modern Durds: the term however is applicable to any of the tribes inhabiting that portion of the great Indian chain, as its import is merely, mountaineers, and the Dadica as the contiguous neighbours of the Gandarii were therefore probably the mountaineers of Ghizni and Ghaur. In Ptolemy's time the position of the Durds, or as he calls them almost correctly, Daradra, was pretty accurately known: he says Υπό δὲ τὰς τοῦ Ἰνδου πηγάς ΔΕΡΑΔΡΑΙ, καὶ ἡ ορακή ἀυλιῶν ὑπέρκειλαι. sub fontibus Indi, Daradræ, et horum montana supereminent. the Sattagydæ and Aparitæ were, is not so satisfactorily traceable: if we may take etymology as a guide they were Hindu tribes: Sattagyda, may be resolved into Sátgerhi, the district of the seven strong holds, a sort of nomenclature very common in India; and the Aparitæ may be derived from Apara, ulterior or western, or it Aparbartica, the reading of Isidore of Charax be applied to the same people, as suggested by Major Rennell, we may refer this to Apárbataca, a low-lander, one not a mountaineer, in opposition to the Dáradacas or Dadica before mentioned: a more satisfactory evidence of Hindu identity may be derived from the lists of countries extracted from original Sanscrit works, and published by Major Wilford in the 8th volume of the Researches: amongst the northern countries (p. 340,) and in a series including Gandhar, we have the Sátucas who may be the same as Suttagydæ, and in another groupe of a miscellaneous character, but comprehending Balkh, Arachosia, &c. we have the Aparitas, a very close approximation to the Aparytæ of Herodotus. In short from these considerations it appears that there is some reason to doubt the accuracy of the opinion entertained by the able geographer of Herodotus, that the 7th Sutrapy consisting of the above tribes, lay to the west of Bactriana and Aria, and that the Gandarii may be traced to a town called Caendar on the frontiers of Khowarezm. (Geography of Herodotus 295 et seq) there does not appear any occasion to seek for this Satrapy in so westerly a position and as far as the Gandarii are concerned, their easterly situation rests not only on Hindu but classical authorities.

The Gandaritis of STRABO which furnishes an approximation to the

Un and by Google

Gundarii of Herodotus is placed nearer even to the Indus fran the modern city of Candahar: he observes, it was watered by the Choaspes which falls into the Cophenes: he has also a Ganduris which he places between the Hydraotis (the Ravi) and the Hydaspis, (the Beyah) and consequently towards the eastern part of the Punjab. Ptolemy only notices the first position, bringing it rather more to the west, unless as Salmasius conjectures, his Suastus is the Cophenes of Strabo, and making the Indus the eastern boundary of the Gandari. "Inter Suastum et Indum sunt Gandara." The Hindu system agrees with, and reconciles these different accounts, for according to the Mahubharat, the Gandhari are not only met with upon crossing the Betlej, and proceeding towards the Airáratí (Ravi) or where STRABO places Gandaris, but they are scattered along with other tribes throughout the Punjab, as far as to the Indus, when we approach Gundaritis. According also to our text, one body of the Gandhari appear to occupy a division of their bwn, on the last river, which is named after that very circumstance, Sindhu Gandhar, and these may have extended westward as far as the modern Candahar. PLINY and POMPONIUS MELA evidently intend a different people by their Gandari, or more properly Candari, who were a Sogdian not an Indian tribe, as Salmasius observes, and as is stated by Ptolemy. These may perhaps be referred to the Caender of Major Rennell, but analogies resting on a supposed similarity of sound, are very fallacious, as D'Anville has shewn, when he criticises De Barros for inferring that Candahar was one of the cities built by Alexander, of whose name its appellation was a corruption: the city being called corruptamente Candar, havendo de dizer Scandar, nome per que os Persas chamam Alexandre (Decade iv. Ivi. c. i.) when at the same time he falls into a like error, and derives Candahar from Kond ou Kand qui dans le Persan designe une Fortresse (Antiquité geographique de L'Inde ;) a meaning which قند, the word being written no where possesses. De Barros is not singular, for D'Herbelot has the same conjecture, respecting the origin of Candahar, and he is followed by Meninski, but the name of Alexander ... is never written by the orientals with the Arabic 3, the initial of Candahar, and it was no doubt employed to express the harder sound of the \(\pi\) in the Hindi name \(\pi\) the aspirate also is preserved in both these words whilst none is to be found in Alexander's name.

The confusion arising from an inaccurate mode of writing or reading names, prevailed as much amongst ancient as modern writers, and in classical authors much unnecessary perplexity has been occasioned, by their erroneously confounding the Gandaritæ or Gandaridæ of the Punjab, with the Gangaridæ or the nations along the river Ganges. They seem indeed to have gathered scattered notices of places and nations from different sources, perhaps originally tolerably accurate, but which were distracted and confounded in the hands of the writers themselves. Something of this nature occurs in the Periplus of Arrian. Between Barygazæ, unquestionably as has been shewn by Dr. Vincent, Baroach, and Bactria, he places various nations as το τε των Αρατρίων και Ραχύσων και Τανθαραγών και της Προκλίδος εν δις η Βοκέφαλος Αλεξάνδρεια και το των επ άνω ຕົ້ນເຊ Bax pianur. The author as Dr. Vincent observes is a better merchant than a historian, and it may be added, than a geographer, beyond the maritime districts: his meaning however is clear enough, and he passes from Guzeratto the Punjab, as appears by the situation he has given Alexandria Bucephalos, which according to Strabo was built upon the Hydaspes. Proclis is possibly the same with the Proclais of Ptolemy and Peucolais of Strabo, supposed by Major Rennell to be the modern Pekheli (Memoir of a Map of Hindostan, 171). The Tantharagi, Salmasius conjectures with probability to be an erroy for Gandarida. The Rac'hosi inhabit most probably the Roh Cuj of the Puráhas identified by Col. Wilford with Arachosia (A. R. vol. viii. 336.) and it only remains to dispose of the Aratri, which we are able to do most satisfactorily, the Mahábhárat declaring that the countries situated upon the Satadru (Setlej), Vipasa (Beyah), Airávati' (Ravi), Chandrabhaga (Chinab), Vitastá (Jelum), and the Sindhu (Indus), and without the range of the Himálaya, are all called Aratiás, भत्र व विषामा च हतीयैरावतो तथा। चन्नभागावित काच सिनुःपंछी बिचिमिरेः ॥ आर्फा नाम ते देशान्छधमें हतानुजेत्। Mahábhárat

Kerna Par. One of their cities, Sácala, is palpably the same with one of the Pándava cities of PTOLEMY, or Sagala.

We have in the Mahábhárat another people of the Punjab, intimately connected with these tribes, the Madrás, and whom we may endeavour to trace in classical writers. They are sometimes confounded with the Gandharas, but are really distinct, having a different sovereign, and being both separately mentioned in various lists of the northern countries: the nearest classical approximation to them is the Mardi of Pliny, the mountaineers bordering on Bactria, who, Major Rennell supposes were the mountaineers of Gaur. (Geography of Herodotus 283). Major Rennell following Monsr. D'Anville infers from the repeated occurrence of this name to designate various fierce intractable tribes, that it was the generic name of such nations. Monsr. D'Anville too derives it from the Persian (مرد) mard, a man, "un terme qui appartient a plusieurs idiomes de l'orient ct entre autres le Persan, pour designer au propre ce que vir designe en Latin, se prenant aussi pour l'equivalent de Bellator, et meme dans une qualification injurieuse comme celle de Rebelli:" he connects also the character of these people with the old stories of Martichora, the man-eaters of KTESIAS, to whom THEVENOT found a modern parallel in some Indian tribes of the Dekhan, and who were denominated Mardi Coura ou mangeurs d'hommes by their neighbours. It might be inferred that Kiesias intends Maslixwea which he explains Anthropophagos, to be the Indian denomination of his man-eating monster, but as he received his fables through a Persian medium, he has retained the Persian not the Indian name (مردخور), from Mard, a man, and Khor, who eats : for this particular notion, a source is easily found in the Rácshasas or fiends of the Hindus, and the le-'gend relating to the sons of VASISHT'HA, who were all devoured by CALMA-SHAPÁDA, which is told in the Mahábhárat, and the scene of which lies in the Punjab, might have furnished KTESIAS with the fiction in question.

Monsr. D'Anville observes " n'est on pas fort etonné que dans un pays ou par un principe de religion tres ancien, l'abstinence en nourriture de toute.

N 2

Distand by Google

chair d'animal est recommandée, il y ait des anthropophages;" and the incongruity of several Indian customs as described by the historians and geographers of antiquity is in many other instances no less surprising. The incompatibility of their accounts with our received notions, has reflected some discredit upon the veracity of the authors, but making due allowance for imperfect information, and a leaning to the marvellous, inseparable from our nature, we have no reason to accuse Megastheres particularly of untruth; of this the Madri or Mardi will furnish us with an illustration: they are described along with the other people of the Punjab by Sanscrit authorities, in terms which fully justify the classical writers, and which prove that the various restraints of Hindu polity were either unknown to the north western tribes, or were very little regarded by them: a few passages from the Kerna Parra of the Mahábhárat will afford to the scholars of Europe an opportunity of instituting a more particular comparison. Karna addresses Salya, king of Madra, to the following effect:

"An old and excellent Brahman, reviling the countries Báhica and Madra in the dwelling of Dhritarashtra, related facts long known, and thus described those nations. External to the Himaván, and beyond the Ganges, beyond the Saraswati and Yamuna rivers and Curucshetra, between five rivers, and the Sindhu as the sixth, are situated the Bahicas; devoid of ritual or observance and therefore to be shunned. Their fig-tree is named Goberdhana, (i. e. the place of Cow-killing,) their market place is Subhadram, (the place of vending liquor: at least so say the commentators) and these give titles to the door-way of the royal palace. A business of great importance compelled me to dwell amongst the Báhicas and their customs are therefore well known to me. The chief city is called Sácála and the river Apagá: the people are also named Jartticas and their customs are shameful: they drink spirits made from sugar and grain, and eat meat seasoned with garlic, and live on flesh and wine: their women intoxicated appear in public places, with no other garb than garlands and perfumes, dancing and singing, and vociferating indecencies in tones more harsh than those of the camel or the ass; they indulge in promiscuous intercourse, and are under no

Distand by Google

restraint. They clothe themselves in skins and blankets, and, sound the cymbal and drum and conch, and cry aloud with hoarse voices; " We will hasten · to delight, in thick forests and in pleasant places; we will feast and sport; and gathering on the high ways spring upon the travellers, and spoil, and scourge them." In Śácála, a female demon (a Rácshaśi) on the fourteenth day of the dark fortnight sings aloud "I will feast on the flesh of kine, and quaff the inebriating spirit, attended by fair and graceful females." The Sudra-like Báhícas have no institutes nor sacrifices, and neither Deities, Manes, nor Brahmans accept their offerings. They eat out of wooden or earthen plates, nor heed their being smeared with wine or viands, or licked by dogs, and they use equally in its various preparations the milk of ewes, of camels, and of asses. Who that has drank milk in the city Yugandhara can hope to enter Swerga. Bahi and Hica were the names of two fiends in the Vipása river; the Báhicas are their descendants, and not of the creation of Brahmá: some say the Arallas are the name of the people, and Báhica of the waters. The Vedas are not known there, nor oblation, nor sacrifice, and the Gods will not partake their food. The Prasthalas, (perhaps borderers,) Madras, Gandháras, Áraflas, Khasas, Básas, Atisindhus, (or those beyond the Indus) Sauviras, are all equally infamous. There one who is by birth a Brahman, becomes a Cshetriya, or a Vaisya, or a Súdra, or a Barber, and having been a Barber, becomes a Brahman again. A virtuous woman was once violated by Aratla ruffians, and she cursed the race, and their women have ever since been unchaste, on this account their heirs are their sister's children not their own. All countries have their laws and Gods: the Yávanas are wise, and preeminently hrave: the Mlechch'has observe their own ritual, but the Madracas are worthless. Madra is the ordure of the earth: it is the region of ebriety, unchastity, robbery and murder : fie on the Pánchanada people! fie on the Aratta race !"-MAHABHABAT. KERSA PARVA.

Dig and by Google